## **Metis 2018**

**Silvan Sievers** 

University of Basel Basel, Switzerland silvan.sievers@unibas.ch

## Abstract

Metis 2018 is a Fast Downward based planner that uses the pruning techniques partial order reduction and structural symmetries. The two variants that participate in the competition use the LM-cut and and the landmark heuristic. The former essentially is a remake of Metis that participated in the IPC 2014, and the latter only differs in the used heuristic and the symmetry-based pruning algorithm.

## **Metis 2018**

Metis is a planner that participated in the IPC 2014 (Alkhazraji et al. 2014), called Metis 2014 henceforth. Our planner, Metis 2018, is a remake of Metis 2014, and comes with similar three core components:

- an admissible heuristic: LM-cut (Helmert and Domshlak 2009) or the max heuristic over LM-cut and the landmark heuristic with the landmark generation method of LAMA (Richter, Helmert, and Westphal 2008) and  $h^m$ landmarks with m = 2 (Keyder, Richter, and Helmert 2010),
- pruning based on structural symmetries (Shleyfman et al. 2015) using DKS (Domshlak, Katz, and Shleyfman 2012) or orbit space search (OSS) (Domshlak, Katz, and Shleyfman 2015), and
- pruning based on partial order reduction using strong stubborn sets (Wehrle and Helmert 2014).

Notable differences to Metis 2014 are that we do not use the incremental computation of the LM-cut heuristic (Pommerening and Helmert 2013) and that we include the landmark heuristic for one of our planner variants. Furthermore, we do not only use OSS, but also the DKS algorithm for symmetry-based pruning in one of the variants. The partial order reduction component is the same as in Metis 2014.

In addition to the above differences in ingredients of the planner, Metis 2018 is implemented on top of a recent version of Fast Downward (Helmert 2006). To support conditional effects, we implemented a variant of the LM-cut heuristic that considers effect conditions in the same way as Metis 2014 does. However, we refrain from choosing the regular LM-cut heuristic or variant that supports conditional effects depending on the requirements of the input planning task, and instead always use the latter implementation that Michael Katz

IBM Research Yorktown Heights, NY, USA michael.katz1@ibm.com

comes with a small overhead due to the need for different data structures.

The implementation of symmetry-based pruning is the same in both versions, including the extension of the symmetry graph to support conditional effects, which was recently also defined formally by Sievers et al. (2017) in the context of structural symmetries of lifted representations.

Metis 2018 uses the implementation of strong stubborn sets available in Fast Downward, which is based on the original implementation of Alkhazraji et al. (2012) and Wehrle and Helmert (2012) that has also been used in Metis 2014. However, the current implementation has been improved in terms of efficiency since its original development.<sup>1</sup> To support conditional effects, we extended the implementation in the same way as in Metis 2014. We also use the same mechanism that disables pruning after the first 1000 expansions if only 1% or fewer states have been pruned at this point.

To conclude this abstract, we describe the variants of our planner submissions to the IPC 2018. Both use a postprocessing step to transform the SAS<sup>+</sup> representation obtained through the translator of Fast Downward (Helmert 2009) by using the implementation of  $h^2$  mutexes by Alcázar and Torralba (2015). Furthermore, both use A\* search (Hart, Nilsson, and Raphael 1968) with an admissible heuristic and with the same configuration of strong stubborn sets described above for pruning. Regarding the other components, the two variants have the following differences:

- Metis 2018 version 1 essentially is a remake of Metis 2014 and uses OSS for symmetry-based pruning and the LM-cut heuristic.
- Metis 2018 version 2 uses DKS for symmetry-based pruning and the maximum heuristic over the LM-cut heuristic and the landmark heuristic, with the two landmark generation methods described above.

## References

Alcázar, V., and Torralba, Á. 2015. A reminder about the importance of computing and exploiting invariants in planning. In Brafman, R.; Domshlak, C.; Haslum, P.; and Zilberstein, S., eds., *Proceedings of the Twenty-Fifth International* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>See http://issues.fast-downward.org/ issue499 and http://issues.fast-downward. org/issue629.

*Conference on Automated Planning and Scheduling (ICAPS 2015)*, 2–6. AAAI Press.

Alkhazraji, Y.; Wehrle, M.; Mattmüller, R.; and Helmert, M. 2012. A stubborn set algorithm for optimal planning. In De Raedt, L.; Bessiere, C.; Dubois, D.; Doherty, P.; Frasconi, P.; Heintz, F.; and Lucas, P., eds., *Proceedings of the 20th European Conference on Artificial Intelligence (ECAI 2012)*, 891–892. IOS Press.

Alkhazraji, Y.; Katz, M.; Mattmüller, R.; Pommerening, F.; Shleyfman, A.; and Wehrle, M. 2014. Metis: Arming Fast Downward with pruning and incremental computation. In *Eighth International Planning Competition (IPC-8): planner abstracts*, 88–92.

Domshlak, C.; Katz, M.; and Shleyfman, A. 2012. Enhanced symmetry breaking in cost-optimal planning as forward search. In McCluskey, L.; Williams, B.; Silva, J. R.; and Bonet, B., eds., *Proceedings of the Twenty-Second International Conference on Automated Planning and Scheduling (ICAPS 2012)*, 343–347. AAAI Press.

Domshlak, C.; Katz, M.; and Shleyfman, A. 2015. Symmetry breaking in deterministic planning as forward search: Orbit space search algorithm. Technical Report IS/IE-2015-03, Technion.

Hart, P. E.; Nilsson, N. J.; and Raphael, B. 1968. A formal basis for the heuristic determination of minimum cost paths. *IEEE Transactions on Systems Science and Cybernetics* 4(2):100–107.

Helmert, M., and Domshlak, C. 2009. Landmarks, critical paths and abstractions: What's the difference anyway? In Gerevini, A.; Howe, A.; Cesta, A.; and Refanidis, I., eds., *Proceedings of the Nineteenth International Conference on Automated Planning and Scheduling (ICAPS 2009)*, 162–169. AAAI Press.

Helmert, M. 2006. The Fast Downward planning system. *Journal of Artificial Intelligence Research* 26:191–246.

Helmert, M. 2009. Concise finite-domain representations for PDDL planning tasks. *Artificial Intelligence* 173:503–535.

Keyder, E.; Richter, S.; and Helmert, M. 2010. Sound and complete landmarks for and/or graphs. In Coelho, H.; Studer, R.; and Wooldridge, M., eds., *Proceedings of the 19th European Conference on Artificial Intelligence (ECAI 2010)*, 335–340. IOS Press.

Pommerening, F., and Helmert, M. 2013. Incremental LM-cut. In Borrajo, D.; Kambhampati, S.; Oddi, A.; and Fratini, S., eds., *Proceedings of the Twenty-Third International Conference on Automated Planning and Scheduling* (*ICAPS 2013*), 162–170. AAAI Press.

Richter, S.; Helmert, M.; and Westphal, M. 2008. Landmarks revisited. In *Proceedings of the Twenty-Third AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence (AAAI 2008)*, 975–982. AAAI Press.

Shleyfman, A.; Katz, M.; Helmert, M.; Sievers, S.; and Wehrle, M. 2015. Heuristics and symmetries in classical planning. In *Proceedings of the Twenty-Ninth AAAI Con*-

*ference on Artificial Intelligence (AAAI 2015)*, 3371–3377. AAAI Press.

Sievers, S.; Röger, G.; Wehrle, M.; and Katz, M. 2017. Structural symmetries of the lifted representation of classical planning tasks. In *ICAPS 2017 Workshop on Heuristics and Search for Domain-independent Planning (HSDIP)*, 67–74.

Wehrle, M., and Helmert, M. 2012. About partial order reduction in planning and computer aided verification. In Mc-Cluskey, L.; Williams, B.; Silva, J. R.; and Bonet, B., eds., *Proceedings of the Twenty-Second International Conference on Automated Planning and Scheduling (ICAPS 2012)*, 297– 305. AAAI Press.

Wehrle, M., and Helmert, M. 2014. Efficient stubborn sets: Generalized algorithms and selection strategies. In Chien, S.; Fern, A.; Ruml, W.; and Do, M., eds., *Proceedings of the Twenty-Fourth International Conference on Automated Planning and Scheduling (ICAPS 2014)*, 323–331. AAAI Press.