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## Motivation

- state of the art in optimal classical planning: explicit heuristic search with abstractions
- goal: use abstraction heuristics also with decoupled search
- contribution: pattern database (PDB) and their additive combination for decoupled search
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- pattern database (PDB) heuristics:
- project variables to a subset
- store perfect heuristic values of abstraction
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- partition state variables to decompose the task: center factor and leaf factors
- branch over center states and actions, handle leaves separately
- decoupled state $s^{\mathcal{F}}$ :
- center state
- pricing function: cost of reachable leaf states
- $\rightarrow$ represents exponentially many (explicit) member states
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## Heuristics for Decoupled Search So Far

- previous approaches based on buy-leaves compilation:
- compile prices of current state into task
- compute arbitrary explicit heuristic on transformed task
- problems:
- can be information-lossy (e.g., delete relaxation)
- impractical for abstraction-based heuristics
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explicit decoupled heuristic
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## Decoupled PDB

$$
\operatorname{dPDB}\left(h^{P}, s^{\mathcal{F}}\right)=\min _{s^{P} \in S^{P}} \operatorname{price}\left(s^{\mathcal{F}}, s^{P}\right)+h^{P}\left(s^{P}\right)
$$

## Combining Multiple PDBs
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## explicit search

- given: $\mathcal{H}=\left\{H_{1}, \ldots, H_{n}\right\}$ with $H_{i}$ additive set of (PDB) heuristics
- canonical combination:

$$
h^{\mathcal{H}}(s)=\max _{H \in \mathcal{H}} \sum_{h \in H} h(s)
$$

- how to transfer to decoupled search?
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## reminder: canonical heuristic

$$
h^{\mathcal{H}}(s)=\max _{H \in \mathcal{H}} \sum_{h \in H} h(s)
$$

- compute decoupled PDBs individually:

$$
h_{\mathcal{F}, \text { naïve }}^{\mathcal{H}}\left(s^{\mathcal{F}}\right)=\max _{H \in \mathcal{H}} \sum_{h \in H} h_{\mathcal{F}, \text { ex }}\left(s^{\mathcal{F}}\right)
$$

## properties

- information-lossy: use different minimizing member state in each PDB
- inadmissible: may count prices of leaves multiple times in different heuristics
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reminder: explicit decoupled heuristic

$$
h_{\mathcal{F}, \operatorname{ex}}\left(s^{\mathcal{F}}\right)=\min _{s \in\left[s^{\mathcal{F}}\right]} \operatorname{price}\left(s^{\mathcal{F}}, s\right)+h(s)
$$

- now: $h(s)=h^{\mathcal{H}}(s)=\max _{H \in \mathcal{H}} \sum_{h \in H} h(s)$
explicit decoupled canonical heuristic

$$
h_{\mathcal{F}, \text { ex }}^{\mathcal{H}}\left(s^{\mathcal{F}}\right)=\min _{s \in\left[\mathcal{S}^{\mathcal{F}}\right]} \operatorname{price}\left(s^{\mathcal{F}}, s\right)+\max _{H \in \mathcal{H}} \sum_{h \in H} h(s)
$$

## Explicit Decoupled Canonical Heuristic (2)

```
complexity
computing \mp@subsup{h}{\mathcal{F},\textrm{ex}}{\mathcal{Y}}\mathrm{ is NP-complete}
```


## Explicit Decoupled Canonical Heuristic (2)

## complexity <br> computing $h_{\mathcal{F}, \text { ex }}^{\mathcal{H}}$ is NP-complete

- practical implementation via branch-and-bound
- incremental computation of member states allows pruning
- worst case: enumeration of all exponentially many member states
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- expensive part: finding minimizing member state $s$
- alternative: consider each $H \in \mathcal{H}$ independently, i.e., swap min and max:

$$
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- admissible, but lossy approximation
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## Leaf-Disjoint PDBs

additive sets: pairwise leaf-disjoint PDBs

## Single-Leaf PDBs <br> each PDB affects at most one leaf

- minimize sum of prices and heuristic separately for each set of affected leaves
- heuristic value equals $h_{\mathcal{F}, \text { ex }}^{\mathcal{H}}$
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## Experiments

|  | explicit search |  |  | decoupled search |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | expl. dec. heur. |  | poly. approx. |
|  |  | LD | SL | no pruning | pruning | LD |
| F | 284 | 206 | 293 | 206 | 212 | 210 |
| MM | 749 | 662 | 743 | 596 | 628 | 607 |

## Experiments



## Conclusions

- alternative way of computing explicit heuristics for decoupled search
- efficient computation of PDBs for decoupled search
- admissible combination of sets of additive PDBs NP-complete
- practical implementation and polynomial-time approximations

