Abstraction Heuristics for Factored Tasks

Clemens Büchner¹ Patrick Ferber¹ Jendrik Seipp² <u>Malte Helmert¹</u> June 4, 2024



















Overview of Abstraction Heuristics



projections/pattern databases

(Culberson and Schaeffer 1998)



projections/pattern databases

(Culberson and Schaeffer 1998)

domain abstractions

(Hernádvölgyi and Holte 2000)



projections/pattern databases (Culberson and Schaeffer 1998)

domain abstractions (Hernádvölgyi and Holte 2000)

Cartesian abstractions (Seipp and Helmert 2013)



projections/pattern databases (Culberson and Schaeffer 1998)

domain abstractions (Hernádvölgyi and Holte 2000)

Cartesian abstractions (Seipp and Helmert 2013)

merge-and-shrink abstractions (Dräger, Finkbeiner, and Podelski 2006)



Limitations of Abstraction Heuristics

- efficient domain-independent algorithms for SAS⁺
- no compact models in SAS⁺ for some problem domains
- some compact models rely on conditional effects

Issue with Compact Problem Representations

For tasks with general conditional effects, deciding whether a transition exists between two abstract states is NP-hard.

Limitations of Abstraction Heuristics

- efficient domain-independent algorithms for SAS⁺
- no compact models in SAS⁺ for some problem domains
- some compact models rely on conditional effects

Issue with Compact Problem Representations

For tasks with general conditional effects, deciding whether a transition exists between two abstract states is NP-hard.

Good News!

Abstractions can be computed efficiently for factored tasks.

Factored Conditional Effects



Definition (factored task)

A factored task is a 4-tuple $\Pi = \langle \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{O}, \textit{I}, \textit{G} \rangle \text{ with }$

- variable space ${\cal V}$
- factored operators ${\mathcal O}$ consisting of
 - factored state relations with
 - associated costs
- factored state sets / and G



$$\label{eq:V} \begin{split} \mathcal{V} &= \langle \textit{V}_1, \dots, \textit{V}_n \rangle \\ \text{with domains } \textit{D}_1, \dots, \textit{D}_n \end{split}$$



 $\mathcal{V} = \langle V_1, \dots, V_n \rangle$ with domains D_1, \dots, D_n

state

 $s = \langle d_1, \ldots, d_n \rangle$ with $d_i \in D_i$



 $\mathcal{V} = \langle V_1, \dots, V_n \rangle$ with domains D_1, \dots, D_n

state

 $s = \langle d_1, \dots, d_n \rangle$ with $d_i \in D_i$

factored state set

 $S = S_1 \times \cdots \times S_n$ with $S_i \subseteq D_i$



Х

у

Ζ

 $\mathcal{V} = \langle V_1, \dots, V_n \rangle$ with domains D_1, \dots, D_n

state

 $s = \langle d_1, \dots, d_n \rangle$ with $d_i \in D_i$

factored state set

 $S = S_1 \times \cdots \times S_n$ with $S_i \subseteq D_i$

factored state relation

 $R = \langle R_1, \dots, R_n \rangle$ with $R_i \subseteq D_i \times D_i$



Properties of Factored Tasks

- alternative view as set of automata
- factored tasks generalize SAS⁺
- additionally they support limited forms of
 - multiple initial states
 - disjunctive preconditions
 - conditional effects
 - angelic nondeterminism

Properties of Factored Tasks

- alternative view as set of automata
- factored tasks generalize SAS⁺
- additionally they support limited forms of
 - multiple initial states
 - disjunctive preconditions
 - conditional effects
 - angelic nondeterminism
- as general as possible given independent variables
- progression and regression are symmetric
- Cartesian sets are everywhere
 - factored state sets I and G
 - operator preconditions
 - operator postconditions

- find abstract plan
- execute in original
- if fails: fix flaw and repeat
- else: return solution



- find abstract plan
- execute in original
- if fails: fix flaw and repeat
- else: return solution



- find abstract plan
- execute in original
- if fails: fix flaw and repeat
- else: return solution



- find abstract plan
- execute in original
- if fails: fix flaw and repeat
- else: return solution



- find abstract plan
- execute in original
- if fails: fix flaw and repeat
- else: return solution



Components of Cartesian CEGAR

- compact representation of abstract states
- check whether abstract state contains concrete state
- progression for executing plans
- regression for splitting abstract states given flaw

Components of Cartesian CEGAR

- compact representation of abstract states
- check whether abstract state contains concrete state
- progression for executing plans
- regression for splitting abstract states given flaw

Good News!

Factored tasks support all of the above efficiently.

- progression and regression yield factored state sets
- not true for tasks with general conditional effects



Runtimes TopSpin



new benchmark set with 431 tasks

	coverage
PDBs	250
SymBA*	220
domain abs.	218
Cartesian abs.	189
M&S	175
h ^{max}	164
LM-Cut	134

Runtimes TopSpin



- factored tasks generalize SAS⁺
- Cartesian sets are everywhere in factored tasks
- common abstractions work efficiently for factored tasks

Future work:

- efficient abstractions beyond factored tasks
- heuristics for factored tasks beyond abstractions