

A Beginner's Introduction to Heuristic Search Planning

7. Going Further

Malte Helmert Gabriele Röger

AAAI 2015 Tutorial

January 25, 2015

What else happens...
... in heuristic planning?

Heuristics

Other current topics on **heuristics for classical planning**:

- making delete relaxations less relaxed
 - **semi-relaxed planning** (Keyder et al., ICAPS 2012)
 - **red-black planning** (e.g., Katz et al., AAI 2013)
- heuristics based on linear programming
(e.g., Pommerening et al., ICAPS 2014; AAI 2015)
- heuristics vs. search vs. inference
(e.g., Lipovetzky & Geffner, ECAI 2012)

Search Algorithms

Search algorithms mostly interesting for **satisficing planning**:

- escaping **local minima** and **heuristic plateaus**:
 - using multiple heuristics (e.g. Röger & Helmert, ICAPS 2010)
 - combining local and systematic search
(e.g. Hoffmann & Nebel, JAIR 2001; Xie et al., AAAI 2014)
 - randomized systematic search (e.g. Valenzano et al., AAAI 2014)
 - random-walk algorithms (e.g. Nakhost & Müller, IJCAI 2013)
- search algorithms tailored to specific objectives:
 - **anytime** strategies for satisficing planning
(Richter & Westphal, JAIR 2010)
 - many papers from Wheeler Ruml's research group
(e.g., Thayer et al., ICAPS 2012)

Search Space Pruning

Search space pruning (mainly for optimal search):

- with **symmetries** (e.g., Pochter et al., IJCAI 2011)
- with redundant paths (“**partial-order reduction**”):
(e.g. Wehrle et al., ICAPS 2013)

Invariant Synthesis

- **invariant**: property of all **reachable** states
(e.g., Rintanen, ECAI 2008)
- **example**: **mutually exclusive atoms**
 - set of atoms of which at most one is true in each state
 - basis of translation from PDDL to SAS⁺ (Helmert, AIJ 2009)
 - useful for strengthening heuristics, e.g., constrained PDBs (Haslum et al., AAI 2005))

Preferred Operators

- heuristics often can identify **promising actions**
- for example **helpful action**: first action in a relaxed plan
(Hoffmann & Nebel, JAIR 2001)
- try these actions first during search
- often highly positive impact on overall performance
(Richter & Helmert, ICAPS 2009)

Portfolios

- **Idea:** solve tasks by running multiple (more or less) independent planning systems
- different strategies:
 - fixed schedule
 - select planners after task analysis
- ↪ results of IPC 2014 “classic tracks”
<http://helios.hud.ac.uk/scommv/IPC-14/>
- ↪ results of IPC 2014 “learning track”
<http://www.cs.colostate.edu/~ipc2014/>

What else happens ...
... in classical planning?

Symbolic Planning

Symbolic search algorithms:

- search processes **sets of states** at a time
- operators, state sets, heuristics etc. represented by **binary decision diagrams (BDDs)** (or related structures)
- planning systems:
 - **Gamer** (Edelkamp & Kissmann, IPC 2008)
 - **SymBA*** (Torralba et al., IPC 2014)
 - ...
- comprehensive treatment: Álvaro Torralba's PhD thesis (Torralba, 2015)

SAT Planning

SAT planning:

- create **propositional formula** that is satisfiable iff there is a plan with at most k steps
- use off-the-shelf or specially tailored **SAT solver** to find plans
- e.g., **Madagascar planner** (Rintanen, IPC 2011 & 2014)
- many papers by Jussi Rintanen (e.g., Rintanen; AIJ 2012)
- related: **property-directed reachability** (Suda, JAIR 2014)

Other Approaches

Many other approaches:

- partial-order causal link planning (POCL)
- compilation to (mixed) integer programming (IP/MIP)
- compilation to answer-set programming (ASP)
- compilation to quantified boolean formulae (QBF)
- ...

... but heuristic search, symbolic search and SAT
are currently working best

What else happens ...
... in planning?

More general planning topics

More general kinds of planning include:

- ~~offline~~: online planning; planning and execution
- ~~discrete~~: continuous planning (e.g., real-time/hybrid systems)
- ~~deterministic~~: FOND planning; probabilistic planning
- ~~single-agent~~: multi-agent planning; general game playing; game-theoretic planning
- ~~fully-observable~~: POND planning; conformant planning
- ~~sequential~~: e.g., temporal planning

Domain-dependent planning problems in AI include:

- pathfinding, including grid-based and multi-agent (MAPF)
- continuous motion planning

Resources

Resources

- ICAPS conferences (International Conference for Automated Planning and Scheduling):
<http://www.icaps-conference.org/>
<http://icaps15.icaps-conference.org/>
- Planing Domain Definition Language (PDDL):
<http://ipc.informatik.uni-freiburg.de/PddlResources>
- International Planning Competitions (IPC):
<http://ipc.icaps-conference.org/>
- Fast Downward planning system:
<http://www.fast-downward.org/>
- VAL plan validator:
<https://github.com/KCL-Planning/VAL>

The End

Thank you for your attention!