### Discrete Mathematics in Computer Science D1. Syntax and Semantics of Propositional Logic

Malte Helmert, Gabriele Röger

University of Basel

November 19/24, 2025

M. Helmert, G. Röger (University of Basel) Discrete Mathematics in Computer Science

November 19/24, 2025

D1.1 Introduction to Formal Logic

Discrete Mathematics in Computer Science

November 19/24, 2025 — D1. Syntax and Semantics of Propositional Logic

D1.2 Syntax of Propositional Logic

D1.3 Semantics of Propositional Logic

M. Helmert, G. Röger (University of Basel) Discrete Mathematics in Computer Science

November 19/24, 2025

D1. Syntax and Semantics of Propositional Logic

Introduction to Formal Logic

# D1.1 Introduction to Formal Logic

D1. Syntax and Semantics of Propositional Logic

Introduction to Formal Logic

### Why Logic?

- formalizing mathematics
  - What is a true statement?
  - ► What is a valid proof?
  - ► What can and cannot be proved?
- basis of many tools in computer science
  - design of digital circuits
  - semantics of databases; query optimization
  - meaning of programming languages
  - verification of safety-critical hardware/software
  - knowledge representation in artificial intelligence
  - logic-based programming languages (e.g. Prolog)

M. Helmert, G. Röger (University of Basel) Discrete Mathematics in Computer Science

#### Application: Logic Programming I

Declarative approach: Describe what to accomplish, not how to accomplish it.

#### Example (Map Coloring)

Color each region in a map with a limited number of colors so that no two adjacent regions have the same color.



This is a hard problem!

CC BY-SA 3.0 Wikimedia Commons (TUBS)

M. Helmert, G. Röger (University of Basel) Discrete Mathematics in Computer Science

November 19/24, 2025

D1. Syntax and Semantics of Propositional Logic

Introduction to Formal Logic

#### What Logic is About

#### General Question:

- ► Given some knowledge about the world (a knowledge base)
- ▶ what can we derive from it?
- ► And on what basis may we argue?

→ logic

Goal: "mechanical" proofs

- ▶ formal "game with letters"
- detached from a concrete meaning

# Application: Logic Programming II

## Prolog program

D1. Syntax and Semantics of Propositional Logic

```
color(red). color(blue). color(green). color(yellow).
differentColor(ColorA, ColorB) :-
   color(ColorA), color(ColorB),
   ColorA \= ColorB.
switzerland(AG, AI, AR, BE, BL, BS, FR, GE, GL, GR,
            JU, LU, NE, NW, OW, SG, SH, SO, SZ, TG,
           TI, UR, VD, VS, ZG, ZH) :-
   differentColor(AG, BE), differentColor(AG, BL),
   differentColor(VD, VS), differentColor(ZH, ZG).
```

M. Helmert, G. Röger (University of Basel) Discrete Mathematics in Computer Science

November 19/24, 2025

D1. Syntax and Semantics of Propositional Logic

Introduction to Formal Logic

### Running Example

#### What's the secret of your long life?



I am on a strict diet: If I don't drink beer to a meal, then I always eat fish. Whenever I have fish and beer with the same meal. I abstain from ice cream. When I eat ice cream or don't drink beer, then I never touch fish.

> Exercise from U. Schöning: Logik für Informatiker Picture courtesy of graur razvan ionut / FreeDigitalPhotos.net

#### Propositional Logic

Propositional logic is a simple logic without numbers or objects.

Building blocks of propositional logic:

- propositions are statements that can be either true or false
- ▶ atomic propositions cannot be split into subpropositions
- logical connectives connect propositions to form new ones

German: Aussagenlogik, Aussage, atomare Aussage, Junktoren/logische Verknüpfungen

M. Helmert, G. Röger (University of Basel) Discrete Mathematics in Computer Science

November 19/24, 2025

D1. Syntax and Semantics of Propositional Logic

Introduction to Formal Logic

### **Examples for Building Blocks**



If I don't drink beer to a meal, then I always eat fish. Whenever I have fish and beer with the same meal. I abstain from ice cream. When I eat ice cream or don't drink beer, then I never touch fish.

- ▶ Every sentence is a proposition that consists of subpropositions (e.g., "eat ice cream or don't drink beer").
- ▶ atomic propositions "drink beer", "eat fish", "eat ice cream"
- ▶ logical connectives "and", "or", negation, "if, then"

Picture courtesy of graur razvan ionut / FreeDigitalPhotos.net

Exercise from U. Schöning: Logik für Informatiker

D1. Syntax and Semantics of Propositional Logic

### **Examples for Building Blocks**



If I don't drink beer to a meal, then I always eat fish. Whenever I have fish and beer with the same meal, I abstain from ice cream. When I eat ice cream or don't drink beer, then I never touch fish.

- ▶ Every sentence is a proposition that consists of subpropositions (e.g., "eat ice cream or don't drink beer").
- ▶ atomic propositions "drink beer", "eat fish", "eat ice cream"
- ▶ logical connectives "and", "or", negation, "if, then"

Exercise from U. Schöning: Logik für Informatiker Picture courtesy of graur razvan ionut / FreeDigitalPhotos.net

M. Helmert, G. Röger (University of Basel) Discrete Mathematics in Computer Science

D1. Syntax and Semantics of Propositional Logic

Introduction to Formal Logic

### Challenges with Natural Language



If I don't drink beer to a meal, then I always eat fish.

Whenever I have fish and beer with the same meal. I abstain from ice cream. When I eat ice cream or don't drink beer, then I never touch fish.

"irrelevant" information

Exercise from U. Schöning: Logik für Informatiker Picture courtesy of graur razvan ionut / FreeDigitalPhotos.net

M. Helmert, G. Röger (University of Basel) Discrete Mathematics in Computer Science

#### Introduction to Formal Logic

#### Challenges with Natural Language



If I don't drink beer, then I eat fish. Whenever I have fish and beer, I abstain from ice cream.

When I eat ice cream or don't drink beer, then I never touch fish.

- "irrelevant" information
- different formulations for the same connective/proposition

Exercise from U. Schöning: Logik für Informatiker Picture courtesy of graur razvan ionut / FreeDigitalPhotos.net

M. Helmert, G. Röger (University of Basel) Discrete Mathematics in Computer Science

November 19/24, 2025

13 / 28

D1. Syntax and Semantics of Propositional Logic

Introduction to Formal Logic

#### What is Next?

▶ What are meaningful (well-defined) sequences of atomic propositions and connectives? "if then EatlceCream not or DrinkBeer and" not meaningful

 $\rightarrow \mathsf{syntax}$ 

► What does it mean if we say that a statement is true?

Is "DrinkBeer and EatFish" true?

 $\rightarrow$  semantics

► When does a statement logically follow from another?

Does "EatFish" follow from "if DrinkBeer, then EatFish"?

→ logical entailment

German: Syntax, Semantik, logische Folgerung

#### Challenges with Natural Language



D1. Syntax and Semantics of Propositional Logic

If not DrinkBeer, then EatFish.
If EatFish and DrinkBeer,
then not EatIceCream.
If EatIceCream or not DrinkBeer,
then not EatFish.

- "irrelevant" information
- ▶ different formulations for the same connective/proposition

Exercise from U. Schöning: Logik für Informatiker Picture courtesy of graur razvan ionut / FreeDigitalPhotos.net

M. Helmert, G. Röger (University of Basel) Discrete Mathematics in Computer Science

November 19/24, 2025

Syntax of Propositional Logic

D1. Syntax and Semantics of Propositional Logic

# D1.2 Syntax of Propositional Logic

D1. Syntax and Semantics of Propositional Logic

Syntax of Propositional Logic

#### Syntax of Propositional Logic

Definition (Syntax of Propositional Logic)

Let A be a set of atomic propositions. The set of propositional formulas (over A) is inductively defined as follows:

- ightharpoonup Every atom  $a \in A$  is a propositional formula over A.
- $\blacktriangleright$  If  $\varphi$  is a propositional formula over A, then so is its negation  $\neg \varphi$ .
- $\blacktriangleright$  If  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are propositional formulas over A, then so is the conjunction  $(\varphi \wedge \psi)$ .
- $\blacktriangleright$  If  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are propositional formulas over A, then so is the disjunction  $(\varphi \lor \psi)$ .

The implication  $(\varphi \to \psi)$  is an abbreviation for  $(\neg \varphi \lor \psi)$ . The biconditional  $(\varphi \leftrightarrow \psi)$  is an abbrev. for  $((\varphi \rightarrow \psi) \land (\psi \rightarrow \varphi))$ . German: atomare Aussage, aussagenlogische Formel, Atom, Negation, Konjunktion, Disjunktion, Implikation, Bikonditional

M. Helmert, G. Röger (University of Basel) Discrete Mathematics in Computer Science

D1. Syntax and Semantics of Propositional Logic

Syntax of Propositional Logic

### Syntax: Examples

Which of the following sequences of symbols are propositional formulas over the set of all possible letter sequences? Which kinds of formula are they (atom, conjunction, ...)?

- **►** (A ∧ (B ∨ C))
- $ightharpoonup \neg (\land Rain \lor StreetWet)$
- ▶ ¬(Rain ∨ StreetWet)
- $\blacktriangleright$  ((EatFish  $\land$  DrinkBeer)  $\rightarrow \neg$ EatIceCream)
- ► Rain ∧ ¬Rain
- $\neg (A = B)$
- $\blacktriangleright$  (A  $\land \neg$ (B  $\leftrightarrow$ )C)
- $\blacktriangleright$  ((A  $\leq$  B)  $\land$  C)
- $\blacktriangleright$  (A  $\lor \neg$ (B  $\leftrightarrow$  C))
- $\blacktriangleright$   $((A_1 \land A_2) \lor \neg (A_3 \leftrightarrow A_2))$

M. Helmert, G. Röger (University of Basel) Discrete Mathematics in Computer Science

November 19/24, 2025

D1. Syntax and Semantics of Propositional Logic

Semantics of Propositional Logic

# D1.3 Semantics of Propositional Logic

D1. Syntax and Semantics of Propositional Logic

Semantics of Propositional Logic

### Meaning of Propositional Formulas?

So far propositional formulas are only symbol sequences without any meaning.

For example, what does this mean:  $((EatFish \land DrinkBeer) \rightarrow \neg EatIceCream)?$ 

▶ We need semantics!

D1. Syntax and Semantics of Propositional Logic

Semantics of Propositional Logic

#### Semantics of Propositional Logic

Definition (Semantics of Propositional Logic)

A truth assignment (or interpretation) for a set of atomic propositions A is a function  $\mathcal{I}:A\to\{0,1\}$ .

A propositional formula  $\varphi$  (over A) holds under  $\mathcal{I}$  (written as  $\mathcal{I} \models \varphi$ ) according to the following definition:

$$\begin{array}{lll} \mathcal{I} \models \mathsf{a} & \text{iff} & \mathcal{I}(\mathsf{a}) = 1 & \text{(for } \mathsf{a} \in \mathsf{A}) \\ \mathcal{I} \models \neg \varphi & \text{iff} & \mathsf{not} \; \mathcal{I} \models \varphi \\ \mathcal{I} \models (\varphi \land \psi) & \text{iff} & \mathcal{I} \models \varphi \; \mathsf{and} \; \mathcal{I} \models \psi \\ \mathcal{I} \models (\varphi \lor \psi) & \text{iff} & \mathcal{I} \models \varphi \; \mathsf{or} \; \mathcal{I} \models \psi \\ \end{array}$$

Question: should we define semantics of  $(\varphi \to \psi)$  and  $(\varphi \leftrightarrow \psi)$ ?

German: Wahrheitsbelegung/Interpretation,  $\varphi$  gilt unter  $\mathcal I$ 

M. Helmert, G. Röger (University of Basel) Discrete Mathematics in Computer Science

November 19/24, 2025

21 / 28

D1. Syntax and Semantics of Propositional Logic

Semantics of Propositional Logic

# Semantics of Propositional Logic: Terminology

- For  $\mathcal{I} \models \varphi$  we also say  $\mathcal{I}$  is a model of  $\varphi$  and that  $\varphi$  is true under  $\mathcal{I}$ .
- If  $\varphi$  does not hold under  $\mathcal{I}$ , we write this as  $\mathcal{I} \not\models \varphi$  and say that  $\mathcal{I}$  is no model of  $\varphi$  and that  $\varphi$  is false under  $\mathcal{I}$ .
- Note: 

  is not part of the formula but part of the meta language (speaking about a formula).

German:  $\mathcal I$  ist ein/kein Modell von  $\varphi$ ;  $\varphi$  ist wahr/falsch unter  $\mathcal I$ ; Metasprache

M. Helmert, G. Röger (University of Basel) Discrete Mathematics in Computer Science

November 19/24, 2025

D1. Syntax and Semantics of Propositional Logic

Semantics of Propositional Logic

#### Exercise

Consider the set  $A = \{X, Y, Z\}$  of atomic propositions and formula  $\varphi = (X \land \neg Y)$ .

Specify an interpretation  $\mathcal{I}$  for A with  $\mathcal{I} \models \varphi$ .

D1. Syntax and Semantics of Propositional Logic

Semantics of Propositional Logic

Semantics: Example (1)

 $A = \{DrinkBeer, EatFish, EatIceCream\}$ 

 $\mathcal{I} = \{\mathsf{DrinkBeer} \mapsto 1, \mathsf{EatFish} \mapsto 0, \mathsf{EatIceCream} \mapsto 1\}$ 

 $\varphi = (\neg \mathsf{DrinkBeer} \to \mathsf{EatFish})$ 

Do we have  $\mathcal{I} \models \varphi$ ?

### Semantics: Example (2)

Goal: prove  $\mathcal{I} \models \varphi$ .

Let us use the definitions we have seen:

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{I} \models \varphi \text{ iff } \mathcal{I} \models (\neg \mathsf{DrinkBeer} \rightarrow \mathsf{EatFish}) \\ \text{iff } \mathcal{I} \models (\neg \neg \mathsf{DrinkBeer} \lor \mathsf{EatFish}) \\ \text{iff } \mathcal{I} \models \neg \neg \mathsf{DrinkBeer} \text{ or } \mathcal{I} \models \mathsf{EatFish} \end{split}$$

This means that if we want to prove  $\mathcal{I} \models \varphi$ , it is sufficient to prove

$$\mathcal{I} \models \neg \neg \mathsf{DrinkBeer}$$

or to prove

$$\mathcal{I} \models \mathsf{EatFish}.$$

We attempt to prove the first of these statements.

M. Helmert, G. Röger (University of Basel) Discrete Mathematics in Computer Science

November 19/24, 2025

D1. Syntax and Semantics of Propositional Logic

Semantics of Propositional Logic

### Semantics: Example (4)

Let  $\mathcal{I} = \{ \mathsf{DrinkBeer} \mapsto 1, \mathsf{EatFish} \mapsto 0, \mathsf{EatIceCream} \mapsto 1 \}.$ 

Proof that  $\mathcal{I} \models (\neg \mathsf{DrinkBeer} \rightarrow \mathsf{EatFish})$ :

- ① We have  $\mathcal{I} \models \mathsf{DrinkBeer}$ (uses defn. of  $\models$  for atomic props. and fact  $\mathcal{I}(\mathsf{DrinkBeer}) = 1$ ).
- ② From (1), we get  $\mathcal{I} \not\models \neg \mathsf{DrinkBeer}$ (uses defn. of  $\models$  for negations).
- $\bigcirc$  From (2), we get  $\mathcal{I} \models \neg \neg \mathsf{DrinkBeer}$ (uses defn. of  $\models$  for negations).
- From (3), we get  $\mathcal{I} \models (\neg \neg \mathsf{DrinkBeer} \lor \psi)$  for all formulas  $\psi$ , in particular  $\mathcal{I} \models (\neg \neg \mathsf{DrinkBeer} \lor \mathsf{EatFish})$ (uses defn. of  $\models$  for disjunctions).
- **⑤** From (4), we get  $\mathcal{I} \models (\neg \mathsf{DrinkBeer} \rightarrow \mathsf{EatFish})$ (uses defn. of " $\rightarrow$ ").

Semantics: Example (3)

New goal: prove  $\mathcal{I} \models \neg \neg \mathsf{DrinkBeer}$ .

We again use the definitions:

$$\mathcal{I} \models \neg\neg \mathsf{DrinkBeer}$$
 iff not  $\mathcal{I} \models \neg \mathsf{DrinkBeer}$  iff not not  $\mathcal{I} \models \mathsf{DrinkBeer}$  iff  $\mathcal{I} \models \mathsf{DrinkBeer}$  iff  $\mathcal{I}(\mathsf{DrinkBeer}) = 1$ 

The last statement is true for our interpretation  $\mathcal{I}$ .

To write this up as a proof of  $\mathcal{I} \models \varphi$ , we can go through this line of reasoning back-to-front, starting from our assumptions and ending with the conclusion we want to show.

M. Helmert, G. Röger (University of Basel) Discrete Mathematics in Computer Science

November 19/24, 2025

D1. Syntax and Semantics of Propositional Logic

Semantics of Propositional Logic

#### Summary

- propositional logic based on atomic propositions
- syntax defines what well-formed formulas are
- semantics defines when a formula is true
- interpretations are the basis of semantics