

# Discrete Mathematics in Computer Science

## A5. Proof Techniques II

Malte Helmert, Gabriele Röger

University of Basel

September 29, 2025

# Discrete Mathematics in Computer Science

September 29, 2025 — A5. Proof Techniques II

## A5.1 Mathematical Induction

## A5.2 Structural Induction

## A5.3 Excursus: Computer-assisted Theorem Proving

## A5.1 Mathematical Induction

## Proof Techniques

most common proof techniques:

- ▶ direct proof
- ▶ indirect proof (proof by contradiction)
- ▶ contrapositive
- ▶ **mathematical induction**
- ▶ structural induction

## Mathematical Induction

Concrete Mathematics by Graham, Knuth and Patashnik (p. 3)  
 Mathematical induction proves that  
 we can climb as high as we like on a ladder,  
 by proving that we can climb onto the bottom rung (the basis)  
 and that  
 from each rung we can climb up to the next one (the step).

## Propositions

Consider a statement on all natural numbers  $n$  with  $n \geq m$ .

- ▶ E.g. "Every natural number  $n \geq 2$  can be written as a product of prime numbers."
- ▶  $P(2)$ : "2 can be written as a product of prime numbers."
- ▶  $P(3)$ : "3 can be written as a product of prime numbers."
- ▶  $P(4)$ : "4 can be written as a product of prime numbers."
- ▶ ...
- ▶  $P(n)$ : " $n$  can be written as a product of prime numbers."
- ▶ For every natural number  $n \geq 2$  proposition  $P(n)$  is true.

**Proposition**  $P(n)$  is a mathematical statement that is defined in terms of natural number  $n$ .

## Mathematical Induction

### Mathematical Induction

Proof (of the truth) of proposition  $P(n)$   
 for all natural numbers  $n$  with  $n \geq m$ :

- ▶ **basis**: proof of  $P(m)$
- ▶ **induction hypothesis** (IH):  
 suppose that  $P(k)$  is true for all  $k$  with  $m \leq k \leq n$
- ▶ **inductive step**: proof of  $P(n+1)$   
 using the induction hypothesis

German: Vollständige Induktion, Induktionsanfang,  
 Induktionsannahme oder Induktionsvoraussetzung,  
 Induktionsschritt

## Mathematical Induction: Example I

### Theorem

Every natural number  $n \geq 2$  can be written as a product of prime numbers, i. e.  $n = p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdot \dots \cdot p_m$  with prime numbers  $p_1, \dots, p_m$ .

### Proof.

Mathematical Induction over  $n$ :

**basis**  $n = 2$ : trivially satisfied, since 2 is prime

**IH**: Every natural number  $k$  with  $2 \leq k \leq n$   
 can be written as a product of prime numbers. ...

## Mathematical Induction: Example I

### Theorem

Every natural number  $n \geq 2$  can be written as a product of prime numbers, i. e.  $n = p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdot \dots \cdot p_m$  with prime numbers  $p_1, \dots, p_m$ .

### Proof (continued).

inductive step  $n \rightarrow n + 1$ :

- ▶ **Case 1:**  $n + 1$  is a prime number  $\rightsquigarrow$  trivial
- ▶ **Case 2:**  $n + 1$  is not a prime number.  
There are natural numbers  $2 \leq q, r \leq n$  with  $n + 1 = q \cdot r$ .  
Using the IH shows that there are prime numbers  $q_1, \dots, q_s$  with  $q = q_1 \cdot \dots \cdot q_s$  and  $r_1, \dots, r_t$  with  $r = r_1 \cdot \dots \cdot r_t$ .  
Together this means  $n + 1 = q_1 \cdot \dots \cdot q_s \cdot r_1 \cdot \dots \cdot r_t$ .

□

## Mathematical Induction: Example II

### Theorem

Let  $S$  be a finite set. Then  $|\mathcal{P}(S)| = 2^{|S|}$ .

What proposition can we use to prove this with mathematical induction?

## Proof by Induction

### Proof.

By induction over  $|S|$ .

**Basis** ( $|S| = 0$ ): Then  $S = \emptyset$  and  $|\mathcal{P}(S)| = |\{\emptyset\}| = 1 = 2^0$ .

**IH:** For all sets  $S$  with  $|S| \leq n$ , it holds that  $|\mathcal{P}(S)| = 2^{|S|}$ .

**Inductive Step** ( $n \rightarrow n + 1$ ):

Let  $S'$  be an arbitrary set with  $|S'| = n + 1$  and let  $e$  be an arbitrary member of  $S'$ .

Let further  $S = S' \setminus \{e\}$  and  $X = \{S'' \cup \{e\} \mid S'' \in \mathcal{P}(S)\}$ .

Then  $\mathcal{P}(S') = \mathcal{P}(S) \cup X$ . As  $\mathcal{P}(S)$  and  $X$  are disjoint and  $|X| = |\mathcal{P}(S)|$ , it holds that  $|\mathcal{P}(S')| = 2|\mathcal{P}(S)|$ .

Since  $|S| = n$ , we can use the IH and get

$$|\mathcal{P}(S')| = 2 \cdot 2^{|S|} = 2 \cdot 2^n = 2^{n+1} = 2^{|S'|}.$$

□

## Weak vs. Strong Induction

- ▶ **Weak induction:** Induction hypothesis only supposes that  $P(k)$  is true for  $k = n$
- ▶ **Strong induction:** Induction hypothesis supposes that  $P(k)$  is true for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$  with  $m \leq k \leq n$ 
  - ▶ also: **complete induction**

Our previous definition corresponds to **strong induction**.

Which of the examples had also worked with weak induction?

## Is Strong Induction More Powerful than Weak Induction?

Are there statements that we can prove with strong induction but not with weak induction?

We can always use a stronger proposition:

- ▶ “Every  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  with  $n \geq 2$  can be written as a product of prime numbers.”
- ▶  $P(n)$ : “ $n$  can be written as a product of prime numbers.”
- ▶  $P'(n)$ : “all  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$  with  $2 \leq k \leq n$  can be written as a product of prime numbers.”

## A5.2 Structural Induction

## Inductively Defined Sets: Examples

### Example (Natural Numbers)

The set  $\mathbb{N}_0$  of natural numbers is inductively defined as follows:

- ▶ 0 is a natural number.
- ▶ If  $n$  is a natural number, then  $n + 1$  is a natural number.

### Example (Binary Tree)

The set  $\mathcal{B}$  of binary trees is inductively defined as follows:

- ▶  $\square$  is a binary tree (a **leaf**)
- ▶ If  $L$  and  $R$  are binary trees, then  $\langle L, \bigcirc, R \rangle$  is a binary tree (with **inner node**  $\bigcirc$ ).

**Implicit statement:** all elements of the set can be constructed by finite application of these rules

German: Binärbaum, Blatt, innerer Knoten

## Inductive Definition of a Set

### Inductive Definition

A set  $M$  can be defined **inductively** by specifying

- ▶ **basic elements** that are contained in  $M$
- ▶ **construction rules** of the form  
“Given some elements of  $M$ , another element of  $M$  can be constructed like this.”

German: Induktive Definition, Basiselemente, Konstruktionsregeln

## Structural Induction

### Structural Induction

Proof of statement for all elements of an inductively defined set

- ▶ **basis**: proof of the statement for the basic elements
- ▶ **induction hypothesis (IH)**:  
suppose that the statement is true for some elements  $M$
- ▶ **inductive step**: proof of the statement for elements constructed by applying a construction rule to  $M$  (one inductive step for each construction rule)

German: Strukturelle Induktion

## Structural Induction: Example (1)

### Definition (Leaves of a Binary Tree)

The number of **leaves** of a binary tree  $B$ , written  $leaves(B)$ , is defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} leaves(\square) &= 1 \\ leaves(\langle L, \bigcirc, R \rangle) &= leaves(L) + leaves(R) \end{aligned}$$

### Definition (Inner Nodes of a Binary Tree)

The number of **inner nodes** of a binary tree  $B$ , written  $inner(B)$ , is defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} inner(\square) &= 0 \\ inner(\langle L, \bigcirc, R \rangle) &= inner(L) + inner(R) + 1 \end{aligned}$$

## Structural Induction: Example (2)

### Theorem

For all binary trees  $B$ :  $inner(B) = leaves(B) - 1$ .

### Proof.

#### induction basis:

$$inner(\square) = 0 = 1 - 1 = leaves(\square) - 1$$

$\rightsquigarrow$  statement is true for base case

...

## Structural Induction: Example (3)

### Proof (continued).

#### induction hypothesis:

to prove that the statement is true for a composite tree  $\langle L, \bigcirc, R \rangle$ , we may use that it is true for the subtrees  $L$  and  $R$ .

#### inductive step for $B = \langle L, \bigcirc, R \rangle$ :

$$\begin{aligned} inner(B) &= inner(L) + inner(R) + 1 \\ &\stackrel{\text{IH}}{=} (leaves(L) - 1) + (leaves(R) - 1) + 1 \\ &= leaves(L) + leaves(R) - 1 = leaves(B) - 1 \end{aligned}$$

□

## Example: Tarradiddles

### Example (Tarradiddles)

The set of tarradiddles is inductively defined as follows:

- ▶  $\rightarrow$  is a tarradiddle.
- ▶  $\heartsuit$  is a tarradiddle.
- ▶ If  $x$  and  $y$  are tarradiddles, then  $x\heartsuit y$  is a tarradiddle.
- ▶ If  $x$  and  $y$  are tarradiddles, then  $\heartsuit x \rightarrow y \heartsuit$  is a tarradiddle.

How do you prove with structural induction that every tarradiddle contains an even number of flowers?

## A5.3 Excursus: Computer-assisted Theorem Proving

## Computer-assisted Proofs

- ▶ Computers can help proving theorems.
- ▶ **Computer-aided proofs** have for example been used for proving theorems by exhaustion.
- ▶ Example: **Four color theorem**

## Interactive Theorem Proving

- ▶ On the lowest abstraction level, rigorous mathematical proofs rely on formal logic.
- ▶ On this level, proofs can be automatically verified by computers.
- ▶ Nobody wants to write or read proofs on this level of detail.
- ▶ In Interactive Theorem Proving a human guides the proof and the computer tries to fill in the details.
- ▶ If it succeeds, we can be very confident that the proof is valid.
- ▶ Example theorem provers: Isabelle/HOL, Lean

## Example

```

theory Mysets
  imports Main
begin

theorem set_example: "∀A,VB. (A-B = Set.empty ⟹ A⊆B)"
proof (rule ccontr)
  assume "~(∀A,VB. (A-B = Set.empty ⟹ A⊆B))"
  hence "∃A,VB. (A-B = Set.empty ∧ ¬A⊆B)" by simp
  then obtain A::"a set" and B::"a set" where "A-B = Set.empty" "¬A⊆B" by simp
  hence "∃x. (x ∈ A ∧ x ∉ B)" by simp
  then obtain x::"a" where "(x ∈ A ∧ x ∉ B)" by (rule exE, simp)
  hence "x ∈ A-B" by simp
  hence "A-B ≠ Set.empty" using "¬A⊆B" by simp
  with "A-B = Set.empty" show "False" by simp
qed

```

↪ Demo

## Summary

- ▶ **Mathematical induction** is used to prove a proposition  $P$  for all natural numbers  $\geq m$ .
  - ▶ Prove  $P(m)$ .
  - ▶ Make hypothesis that  $P(k)$  is true for  $m \leq k \leq n$ .
  - ▶ Establish  $P(n+1)$  using the hypothesis.
- ▶ **Structural induction** applies the same general concept to prove a proposition  $P$  for all elements of an inductively defined set.