

D4. Delete Relaxation: AND/OR Graphs AND/OR Graphs

D4.1 AND/OR Graphs

M. Helmert, G. Röger (Universität Basel) Planning and Optimization Detober 23, 2024 4 / 30

How can we use relaxations for heuristic planning in practice?

Different possibilities:

- ▶ Implement an optimal planner for relaxed planning tasks and use its solution costs as estimates, even though optimal relaxed planning is NP-hard. $\rightsquigarrow h^+$ heuristic
- \triangleright Do not actually solve the relaxed planning task, but compute an approximation of its solution cost. $\rightsquigarrow h^{\text{max}}$ heuristic, h^{add} heuristic, $h^{\text{LM-cut}}$ heuristic
- ▶ Compute a solution for relaxed planning tasks which is not necessarily optimal, but "reasonable". $\rightsquigarrow h^{\mathsf{FF}}$ heuristic

M. Helmert, G. Röger (Universität Basel) Planning and Optimization Cortober 23, 2024 5 / 30

AND/OR Graphs: Motivation

- ▶ Most relaxation heuristics we will consider can be understood in terms of computations on graphical structures called AND/OR graphs.
- \triangleright We now introduce AND/OR graphs and study some of their major properties.
- \blacktriangleright In the next chapter, we will relate AND/OR graphs to relaxed planning tasks.

M. Helmert, G. Röger (Universität Basel) Planning and Optimization Cortober 23, 2024 6 / 30

D4. Delete Relaxation: AND/OR Graphs AND/OR Graphs AND/OR Graphs

Definition (AND/OR Graph)

An AND/OR graph $\langle N, A, type \rangle$ is a directed graph $\langle N, A \rangle$ with a node label function $type : N \rightarrow \{ \land, \lor \}$ partitioning nodes into

- ▶ AND nodes $(type(v) = \wedge)$ and
- ▶ OR nodes (type(v) = \vee).

We write $succ(n)$ for the successors of node $n \in N$, i.e., $succ(n) = \{ n' \in N \mid \langle n, n' \rangle \in A \}.$

Note: We draw AND nodes as squares and OR nodes as circles.

M. Helmert, G. Röger (Universität Basel) Planning and Optimization Publication Cctober 23, 2024 8 / 30

D4. Delete Relaxation: AND/OR Graphs AND/OR Graphs Example: A Consistent Valuation F F F F F F T T F F T **F** M. Helmert, G. Röger (Universität Basel) Planning and Optimization Cotober 23, 2024 10 / 30

If we want to use valuations of AND/OR graphs algorithmically, a number of questions arise:

- ▶ Do consistent valuations exist for every AND/OR graph?
- ▶ Are they unique?
- ▶ If not, how are different consistent valuations related?
- \triangleright Can consistent valuations be computed efficiently?

Our example shows that the answer to the second question is "no". In the rest of this chapter, we address the remaining questions.

M. Helmert, G. Röger (Universität Basel) Planning and Optimization Detober 23, 2024 13 / 30

D4. Delete Relaxation: AND/OR Graphs Forced Nodes

Forced Nodes

Definition (Forced True/False Nodes) Let G be an AND/OR graph.

A node n of G is called forced true if $\alpha(n) = \mathbf{T}$ [for all consisten](#page-3-0)t valuations α of G.

A node n of G is called forced false if $\alpha(n) = \mathbf{F}$ for all consistent valuations α of G.

How can we efficiently determine that nodes are forced true/false? \rightarrow We begin by looking at some simple rules.

D4.2 Forced Nodes

M. Helmert, G. Röger (Universität Basel) Planning and Optimization Cotober 23, 2024 14 / 30

Proposition (Rules for Forced True Nodes)

D4. Delete Relaxation: AND/OR Graphs Forced Nodes

Let n be a node in an AND/OR graph.

Rules for Forced True Nodes

Rule T -(\wedge): If n is an AND node and all of its successors are forced true, then n is forced true.

Rule $\mathsf{T}\text{-}(\vee)$: If n is an OR node and at least one of its successors is forced true, then n is forced true.

M. Helmert, G. Röger (Universität Basel) Planning and Optimization Cotober 23, 2024 15 / 30

Rules for Forced False Nodes

Proposition (Rules for Forced False Nodes) Let n be a node in an AND/OR graph.

Rule $F-(\vee)$: If n is an OR node and all

Rule $F-(\wedge)$: If n is an AND node and at least one of its successors is forced false, then n is forced false.

of its successors are forced false, then n is forced false.

M. Helmert, G. Röger (Universität Basel) Planning and Optimization Detober 23, 2024 17 / 30

Example: Applying the Rules for Forced Nodes

D4. Delete Relaxation: AND/OR Graphs Forced Nodes

Completeness of Rules for Forced Nodes

Theorem

If n is a node in an AND/OR graph that is forced true, then this can be derived by a sequence of applications of Rule T -(∧) and Rule T -(∨).

Theorem

If n is a node in an AND/OR graph that is forced false, then this can be derived by a sequence of applications of Rule F-(\wedge) and Rule F-(\vee).

We prove the result for forced true nodes. The result for forced false nodes can be proved analogously. D4. Delete Relaxation: AND/OR Graphs Forced Nodes

Completeness of Rules for Forced Nodes: Proof (1)

Proof.

- \blacktriangleright Let α be a valuation where $\alpha(n) = \mathbf{T}$ iff there exists a sequence ρ_n of applications of Rules **T**-(\wedge) and Rule $T-(\vee)$ that derives that *n* is forced true.
- \triangleright Because the rules are monotonic, there exists a sequence ρ of rule applications that derives that n is forced true for all $n \in on(\alpha)$. (Just concatenate all ρ_n to form ρ .)
- \blacktriangleright By the correctness of the rules, we know that all nodes reached by ρ are forced true. It remains to show that none of the nodes not reached by ρ is forced true.
- \triangleright We prove this by showing that α is consistent, and hence no nodes with $\alpha(n) = \mathbf{F}$ can be forced true.

. . .

Completeness of Rules for Forced Nodes: Proof (2)

Proof (continued).

Case 1: nodes *n* with $\alpha(n) = T$

- In this case, ρ must have reached n in one of the derivation steps. Consider this derivation step.
- \blacktriangleright If n is an AND node, ρ must have reached all successors of n in previous steps, and hence $\alpha(n') = \mathbf{T}$ for all successors n'.
- If n is an OR node, ρ must have reached at least one successor of n in a previous step, and hence $\alpha(n') = \mathsf{T}$ for at least one successor $n'.$
- \blacktriangleright In both cases, α is consistent for node *n*.

D4. Delete Relaxation: AND/OR Graphs Forced Nodes

. . .

Remarks on Forced Nodes

Notes:

▶ The theorem shows that we can compute all forced nodes by applying the rules repeatedly until a fixed point is reached.

M. Helmert, G. Röger (Universität Basel) Planning and Optimization 0ctober 23, 2024 21 / 30

- \blacktriangleright [In particular, this also shows that the order of rule applic](#page-5-0)ation [does no](#page-5-0)t matter: we always end up with the same result.
- \blacktriangleright In an efficient implementation, the sets of forced nodes can be computed in linear time in the size of the AND/OR graph.
- \blacktriangleright The proof of the theorem also shows that every AND/OR graph has a consistent valuation, as we explicitly construct one in the proof.

Completeness of Rules for Forced Nodes: Proof (3)

Proof (continued).

Case 2: nodes *n* with $\alpha(n) = F$

- In this case, by definition of α no sequence of derivation steps reaches n. In particular, ρ does not reach n.
- \blacktriangleright If n is an AND node, there must exist some $n' \in succ(n)$ which ρ does not reach. Otherwise, ρ could be extended using Rule T-(\wedge) to reach n. Hence, $\alpha(n') = \mathbf{F}$ for some $n' \in succ(n)$.
- \blacktriangleright If n is an OR node, there cannot exist any $n' \in succ(n)$ which ρ reaches. Otherwise, ρ could be extended using Rule T-(\vee) to reach *n*. Hence, $\alpha(n') = \mathsf{F}$ for all $n' \in succ(n)$.

D4. Delete Relaxation: AND/OR Graphs Most/Least Conservative Valuations

 \blacktriangleright In both cases, α is consistent for node *n*.

M. Helmert, G. Röger (Universität Basel) Planning and Optimization 0ctober 23, 2024 22 / 30

 \Box

D4.3 Most/Least Conservative Valuations

Proof

Part 1. was shown in the preceding proof. We showed that the valuation α considered in this proof is consistent and satisfies $\alpha(n) = \textbf{T}$ iff n is forced true, which implies $\alpha = \alpha_{\sf mcv}^{\sf G}.$

The proof of Part 2. is analogous, using the rules for forced false nodes instead of forced true nodes.

Part 3 follows directly from the definitions of forced nodes, $\alpha_{\sf mcv}^{\sf G}$ and $\alpha_{\sf lcv}^{\sf G}.$

 \Box

D4.4 Summary

M. Helmert, G. Röger (Universität Basel) Planning and Optimization 0ctober 23, 2024 29 / 30

D4. Delete Relaxation: AND/OR Graphs Summary

Summary

- ▶ AND/OR graphs are directed graphs with AND nodes and OR nodes.
- \triangleright We can assign truth values to AND/OR graph nodes.
- ▶ Such valuations are called consistent if they match the intuitive meaning of "AND" and "OR".
- ▶ Consistent valuations always exist.
- ▶ Consistent valuations can be computed efficiently.
- ▶ All consistent valuations fall between two extremes:
	- \blacktriangleright the most conservative valuation, where only nodes that are forced to be true are true
	- \blacktriangleright the least conservative valuation, where all nodes that are not forced to be false are true

M. Helmert, G. Röger (Universität Basel) Planning and Optimization 0ctober 23, 2024 30 / 30