Planning and Optimization B3. Formal Definition of Planning

Malte Helmert and Gabriele Röger

Universität Basel

September 25, 2024

## Content of the Course



# <span id="page-2-0"></span>[Semantics of Effects and Operators](#page-2-0)

# <span id="page-3-0"></span>Semantics of Effects: Effect Conditions

## Definition (Effect Condition for an Effect)

Let  $\ell$  be an atomic effect, and let e be an effect.

The effect condition effcond( $\ell$ , e) under which  $\ell$  triggers given the effect e is a propositional formula defined as follows:

- **e** effcond( $\ell$ ,  $\top$ ) =  $\bot$
- **Example 1** effcond $(\ell, e) = \top$  for the atomic effect  $e = \ell$
- effcond $(\ell, e) = \bot$  for all atomic effects  $e = \ell' \neq \ell$
- $effcond(\ell, (e \wedge e')) = (effcond(\ell, e) \vee effcond(\ell, e'))$
- effcond( $\ell, (\chi \triangleright e)$ ) =  $(\chi \wedge$  effcond( $\ell, e)$ )

Intuition: effcond( $\ell$ , e) represents the condition that must be true in the current state for the effect e to lead to the atomic effect  $\ell$ 

# <span id="page-4-0"></span>Effect Condition: Example (1)

#### Example

Consider the move operator  $m_1$  from the running example:  $\mathit{eff}(m_1) = ((t_1 \triangleright \neg t_1) \wedge (\neg t_1 \triangleright t_1)).$ 

Under which conditions does it set  $t_1$  to false?

$$
\begin{aligned} \mathit{effcond}(\neg t_1, \mathit{eff}(m_1)) & = \mathit{effcond}(\neg t_1, ((t_1 \vartriangleright \neg t_1) \wedge (\neg t_1 \vartriangleright t_1))) \\ & = \mathit{effcond}(\neg t_1, (t_1 \vartriangleright \neg t_1)) \vee \\ & \mathit{effcond}(\neg t_1, (\neg t_1 \vartriangleright t_1)) \\ & = (t_1 \wedge \mathit{effcond}(\neg t_1, \neg t_1)) \vee \\ & (\neg t_1 \wedge \mathit{effcond}(\neg t_1, t_1)) \\ & = (t_1 \wedge \top) \vee (\neg t_1 \wedge \bot) \\ & = t_1 \vee \bot \\ & \equiv t_1 \end{aligned}
$$

# <span id="page-5-0"></span>Effect Condition: Example (2)

### Example

Consider the move operator  $m_1$  from the running example:  $\mathit{eff}(m_1) = ((t_1 \triangleright \neg t_1) \wedge (\neg t_1 \triangleright t_1)).$ 

Under which conditions does it set *i* to true?

$$
\begin{aligned} \mathit{effcond}(i, \mathit{eff}(m_1)) & = \mathit{effcond}(i, ((t_1 \triangleright \neg t_1) \wedge (\neg t_1 \triangleright t_1))) \\ & = \mathit{effcond}(i, (t_1 \triangleright \neg t_1)) \vee \\ & \mathit{effcond}(i, (\neg t_1 \triangleright t_1)) \\ & = (t_1 \wedge \mathit{effcond}(i, \neg t_1)) \vee \\ & (\neg t_1 \wedge \mathit{effcond}(i, t_1)) \\ & = (t_1 \wedge \bot) \vee (\neg t_1 \wedge \bot) \\ & = \bot \vee \bot \\ & = \bot \end{aligned}
$$

# <span id="page-6-0"></span>Semantics of Effects: Applying an Effect

first attempt:

## Definition (Applying Effects)

Let  $V$  be a set of propositional state variables.

Let s be a state over  $V$ , and let e be an effect over  $V$ .

The resulting state of applying e in s, written  $s[\![e]\!]$ , is the state  $s'$  defined as follows for all  $v \in V$ :

> $s'(v) =$  $\sqrt{ }$  $\int$  $\mathcal{L}$ **T** if  $s \models \text{effcond}(v, e)$ **F** if  $s \models \text{effcond}(\neg v, e)$  $s(\nu)$  otherwise

What is the problem with this definition?

# <span id="page-7-0"></span>Semantics of Effects: Applying an Effect

correct definition:

## Definition (Applying Effects)

Let  $V$  be a set of propositional state variables.

Let s be a state over  $V$ , and let e be an effect over  $V$ .

The resulting state of applying e in s, written  $s||e|$ , is the state  $s'$  defined as follows for all  $v \in V$ :

$$
s'(v) = \begin{cases} \mathsf{T} & \text{if } s \models \text{effcond}(v, e) \\ \mathsf{F} & \text{if } s \models \text{effcond}(\neg v, e) \land \neg \text{effcond}(v, e) \\ s(v) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
$$

## <span id="page-8-0"></span>Add-after-Delete Semantics

#### Note:

- The definition implies that if a variable is simultaneously "added" (set to  $T$ ) and "deleted" (set to  $F$ ), the value T takes precedence.
- This is called add-after-delete semantics.
- $\blacksquare$  This detail of effect semantics is somewhat arbitrary, but has proven useful in applications.

## <span id="page-9-0"></span>Semantics of Operators

## Definition (Applicable, Applying Operators, Resulting State)

Let  $V$  be a set of propositional state variables. Let s be a state over  $V$ , and let  $o$  be an operator over  $V$ .

Operator o is applicable in s if  $s \models pre(o)$ .

If  $\sigma$  is applicable in s, the resulting state of applying  $\sigma$  in s, written  $s\llbracket o \rrbracket$ , is the state  $s\llbracket e\mathit{f}\mathit{f}(o)\rrbracket$ .

# <span id="page-10-0"></span>[Planning Tasks](#page-10-0)

## <span id="page-11-0"></span>Planning Tasks

## Definition (Planning Task)

A (propositional) planning task is a 4-tuple  $\Pi = \langle V, I, O, \gamma \rangle$  where

- $\blacksquare$  V is a finite set of propositional state variables,
- I is an interpretation of V called the initial state,
- $\blacksquare$  O is a finite set of operators over V, and
- $\blacksquare$   $\gamma$  is a formula over V called the goal.

# <span id="page-12-0"></span>Running Example: Planning Task

#### Example

From the previous chapter, we see that the running example can be represented by the task  $\Pi = \langle V, I, O, \gamma \rangle$  with  $V = \{i, w, t_1, t_2\}$  $I = \{i \mapsto F, w \mapsto T, t_1 \mapsto F, t_2 \mapsto F\}$  $O = \{m_1, m_2, l_1, l_2, u\}$  where **m**<sub>1</sub> =  $\langle \top, ((t_1 \rhd \neg t_1) \wedge (\neg t_1 \rhd t_1)), 5 \rangle$ **n**  $m_2 = \langle T, ((t_2 \triangleright \neg t_2) \wedge (\neg t_2 \triangleright t_2)), 5 \rangle$  $\blacksquare$   $l_1 = \langle \neg i \land (w \leftrightarrow t_1), (i \land w), 1 \rangle$  $\blacksquare$   $b = \langle \neg i \wedge (w \leftrightarrow t_2), (i \wedge \neg w), 1 \rangle$ **u**  $u = \langle i, \neg i \land (w \triangleright ((t_1 \triangleright w) \land (\neg t_1 \triangleright \neg w)))$  $\wedge (\neg w \triangleright ((t_2 \triangleright w) \wedge (\neg t_2 \triangleright \neg w))), 1$  $\blacksquare$   $\gamma = \neg i \wedge \neg w$ 

## <span id="page-13-0"></span>Mapping Planning Tasks to Transition Systems

### Definition (Transition System Induced by a Planning Task)

The planning task  $\Pi = \langle V, I, O, \gamma \rangle$  induces the transition system  $\mathcal{T}(\Pi) = \langle S, L, c, T, s_0, S_{\star} \rangle$ , where

- $\blacksquare$  S is the set of all states over V,
- $\blacksquare$  L is the set of operators O,

$$
c(o) = cost(o) \text{ for all operators } o \in O,
$$

$$
T = \{ \langle s, o, s' \rangle \mid s \in S, \text{ o applicable in } s, s' = s[\![o]\!]\},
$$

$$
s_0 = I, \text{ and}
$$

$$
\blacksquare S_{\star} = \{s \in S \mid s \models \gamma\}.
$$

## <span id="page-14-0"></span>Planning Tasks: Terminology

- **Terminology for transitions systems is also applied** to the planning tasks Π that induce them.
- For example, when we speak of the states of  $\Pi$ , we mean the states of  $\mathcal{T}(\Pi)$ .
- A sequence of operators that forms a solution of  $\mathcal{T}(\Pi)$ is called a  $plan$  of  $\Pi$ .

# <span id="page-15-0"></span>Satisficing and Optimal Planning

By planning, we mean the following two algorithmic problems:



Given: a planning task Π

Output: a plan for Π, or unsolvable if no plan for Π exists

### Definition (Optimal Planning)

Given: a planning task Π

Output: a plan for Π with minimal cost among all plans for Π, or unsolvable if no plan for Π exists

# <span id="page-16-0"></span>[Summary](#page-16-0)

## <span id="page-17-0"></span>**Summary**

- **Planning tasks compactly represent transition systems** and are suitable as inputs for planning algorithms.
- A planning task consists of a set of state variables and an initial state, operators and goal over these state variables.
- We gave formal definitions for these concepts.
- $\blacksquare$  In satisficing planning, we must find a solution for a planning task (or show that no solution exists).
- $\blacksquare$  In optimal planning, we must additionally guarantee that generated solutions are of minimal cost.