

Discrete Mathematics in Computer Science

D4. Inference

Malte Helmert, Gabriele Röger

University of Basel

December 9, 2024

Discrete Mathematics in Computer Science

December 9, 2024 — D4. Inference

D4.1 Inference Rules and Calculi

D4.2 Summary

D4.1 Inference Rules and Calculi

Inference: Motivation

- ▶ up to now: proof of **logical consequence** with **semantic arguments**
- ▶ no general algorithm
- ▶ **solution**: produce formulas that are logical consequences of given formulas with **syntactic inference rules**
- ▶ **advantage**: **mechanical method** that can easily be implemented as an algorithm

Inference Rules

- ▶ **Inference rules** have the form

$$\frac{\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_k}{\psi}$$

- ▶ Meaning: “Every model of $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_k$ is a model of ψ .”
- ▶ An **axiom** is an inference rule with $k = 0$.
- ▶ A set of inference rules is called a **calculus** or **proof system**.

German: Inferenzregel, Axiom, (der) Kalkül, Beweissystem

Some Inference Rules for Propositional Logic

$$\text{Modus ponens} \quad \frac{\varphi, (\varphi \rightarrow \psi)}{\psi}$$

$$\text{Modus tollens} \quad \frac{\neg\psi, (\varphi \rightarrow \psi)}{\neg\varphi}$$

$$\wedge\text{-elimination} \quad \frac{(\varphi \wedge \psi)}{\varphi} \quad \frac{(\varphi \wedge \psi)}{\psi}$$

$$\wedge\text{-introduction} \quad \frac{\varphi, \psi}{(\varphi \wedge \psi)}$$

$$\vee\text{-introduction} \quad \frac{\varphi}{(\varphi \vee \psi)}$$

$$\leftrightarrow\text{-elimination} \quad \frac{(\varphi \leftrightarrow \psi)}{(\varphi \rightarrow \psi)} \quad \frac{(\varphi \leftrightarrow \psi)}{(\psi \rightarrow \varphi)}$$

Derivation

Definition (Derivation)

A **derivation** or **proof** of a formula φ from a knowledge base KB is a sequence of formulas ψ_1, \dots, ψ_k with

- ▶ $\psi_k = \varphi$ and
- ▶ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$:
 - ▶ $\psi_i \in \text{KB}$, or
 - ▶ ψ_i is the result of the application of an inference rule to elements from $\{\psi_1, \dots, \psi_{i-1}\}$.

German: Ableitung, Beweis

Derivation: Example

Example

Given: KB = $\{P, (P \rightarrow Q), (P \rightarrow R), ((Q \wedge R) \rightarrow S)\}$

Task: Find derivation of $(S \wedge R)$ from KB.

- 1 P (KB)
- 2 $(P \rightarrow Q)$ (KB)
- 3 Q (1, 2, Modus ponens)
- 4 $(P \rightarrow R)$ (KB)
- 5 R (1, 4, Modus ponens)
- 6 $(Q \wedge R)$ (3, 5, \wedge -introduction)
- 7 $((Q \wedge R) \rightarrow S)$ (KB)
- 8 S (6, 7, Modus ponens)
- 9 $(S \wedge R)$ (8, 5, \wedge -introduction)

Correctness and Completeness

Definition (Correctness and Completeness of a Calculus)

We write $\text{KB} \vdash_C \varphi$ if there is a derivation of φ from KB in calculus C .

(If calculus C is clear from context, also only $\text{KB} \vdash \varphi$.)

A calculus C is **correct** if for all KB and φ
 $\text{KB} \vdash_C \varphi$ implies $\text{KB} \models \varphi$.

A calculus C is **complete** if for all KB and φ
 $\text{KB} \models \varphi$ implies $\text{KB} \vdash_C \varphi$.

Consider calculus C , consisting of the derivation rules seen earlier.

Question: Is C correct?

Question: Is C complete?

German: korrekt, vollständig

D4.2 Summary

Summary (Consequence and Inference)

- ▶ **knowledge base:** set of formulas describing given information; satisfiable, valid etc. used like for individual formulas
- ▶ **logical consequence** $\text{KB} \models \varphi$ means that φ is true whenever ($=$ in all models where) KB is true
- ▶ A **logical consequence** $\text{KB} \models \varphi$ allows to conclude that KB implies φ based on the semantics.
- ▶ A correct **calculus** supports such conclusions on the basis of **purely syntactical derivations** $\text{KB} \vdash \varphi$.

Further Topics

There are many aspects of propositional logic that we do not cover in this course.

- ▶ **resolution:** a commonly used proof system for formulas in CNF
- ▶ other proof systems, for example **tableaux proofs**
- ▶ algorithms for **model construction**, such as the Davis-Putnam-Logemann-Loveland (DPLL) algorithm.

↪ Foundations of AI course