# <span id="page-0-0"></span>Discrete Mathematics in Computer Science C2. Paths and Connectivity

Malte Helmert, Gabriele Röger

University of Basel

November 11, 2024

M. Helmert, G. Röger (University of Basel) [Discrete Mathematics in Computer Science](#page-23-0) November 11, 2024 1 / 24

Discrete Mathematics in Computer Science November 11, 2024 — C2. Paths and Connectivity

## C2.1 [Walks, Paths, Tours and Cycles](#page-2-0)

C2.2 [Reachability](#page-8-0)

C2.3 [Connected Components](#page-13-0)

M. Helmert, G. Röger (University of Basel) [Discrete Mathematics in Computer Science](#page-0-0) November 11, 2024 2/24

# <span id="page-2-0"></span>C2.1 [Walks, Paths, Tours and Cycles](#page-2-0)

# Traversing Graphs

- ▶ When dealing with graphs, we are often not just interested in the neighbours, but also in the neighbours of neighbours, the neighbours of neighbours of neighbours, etc.
- ▶ Similarly, for digraphs we often want to follow longer chains of successors (or chains of predecessors).

### Examples:

- $\triangleright$  circuits: follow predecessors of signals to identify possible causes of faulty signals
- $\triangleright$  pathfinding: follow edges/arcs to find paths
- ▶ control flow graphs: follow arcs to identify dead code
- computer networks: determine if part of the network is unreachable

## Walks

Definition (Walk) A walk of length n in a graph  $(V, E)$  is a tuple  $\langle v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_n \rangle \in V^{n+1}$  s.t.  $\{v_i, v_{i+1}\} \in E$  for all  $0 \le i < n$ . A walk of length n in a digraph  $(N, A)$  is a tuple  $\langle v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_n \rangle \in N^{n+1}$  s.t.  $(v_i, v_{i+1}) \in A$  for all  $0 \le i < n$ .

## German: Wanderung

Notes:

- $\triangleright$  The length of the walk does not equal the length of the tuple!
- $\blacktriangleright$  The case  $n = 0$  is allowed.
- ▶ Vertices may repeat along a walk.

# Walks – Example



examples of walks:

- $\blacktriangleright$   $\langle B, C, A \rangle$
- $\blacktriangleright$   $\langle B, C, A, B \rangle$
- $\blacktriangleright$   $\langle D, F, D \rangle$

 $\blacktriangleright$   $\langle$ B $\rangle$ 

$$
\blacktriangleright \ \langle B, A, B, C, E \rangle
$$

examples of walks:

 $1\lambda$   $\lambda$  2

 $3 \times 4$ 

5

 $\blacktriangleright$   $\langle 4, 4, 4, 4 \rangle$  $\blacktriangleright$   $\langle 3, 5, 3, 5 \rangle$ 

$$
\blacktriangleright \ \langle 2,1,3 \rangle
$$

 $\blacktriangleright$   $\langle 4 \rangle$  $\blacktriangleright$   $\langle 4, 4 \rangle$ 



# Walks – Terminology

### Definition

- Let  $\pi = \langle v_0, \ldots, v_n \rangle$  be a walk in a graph or digraph G.
	- $\blacktriangleright$  We say  $\pi$  is a walk from  $v_0$  to  $v_n$ .
	- A walk with  $v_i \neq v_j$  for all  $0 \leq i < j \leq n$  is called a path.
	- $\triangleright$  A walk of length 0 is called an empty walk/path.
	- A walk with  $v_0 = v_n$  is called a tour.
	- A tour with  $n > 1$  (digraphs) or  $n > 3$  (graphs) and  $v_i\neq v_j$  for all  $1\leq i< j\leq n$  is called a cycle.

German: von/nach, Pfad, leer, Tour, Zyklus

Note: Terminology is not very consistent in the literature.

# Walks, Paths, Tours, Cycles – Example



Which walks are paths, tours, cycles?



# <span id="page-8-0"></span>C2.2 [Reachability](#page-8-0)

M. Helmert, G. Röger (University of Basel) [Discrete Mathematics in Computer Science](#page-0-0) November 11, 2024 9 / 24

# **Reachability**

Definition (successor and reachability) Let G be a graph (digraph). The successor relation  $S_G$  and reachability relation  $R_G$ are relations over the vertices/nodes of G defined as follows: ▶  $(u, v) \in S_G$  iff  $\{u, v\}$  is an edge  $((u, v)$  is an arc) of G ▶  $(u, v) \in R_G$  iff there exists a walk from u to v If  $(u, v) \in R_G$ , we say that v is reachable from u.

German: Nachfolger-/Erreichbarkeitsrelation, erreichbar

M. Helmert. G. Röger (University of Basel) [Discrete Mathematics in Computer Science](#page-0-0) November 11, 2024 10 / 24

# Reachability as Closure

Recall the *n*-fold composition  $R_n$  of a relation R over set S (Chapter B4):

$$
\blacktriangleright R_0 = \{(x,x) \mid x \in S\}
$$

$$
\blacktriangleright R_n = R \circ R_{n-1} \text{ for } n \geq 1
$$

Theorem Let G be a graph or digraph. Then:  $(u, v) \in S_G^n$  iff there exists a walk of length n from u to v.

#### **Corollary**

Let G be a graph or digraph. Then  $R_G = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} (S_G)_n$ .

In other words, the reachability relation is the reflexive transitive closure of the successor relation.

# Reachability as Closure – Proof (1)

## Proof.

To simplify notation, we assume  $G = (N, A)$  is a digraph.

Graphs are analogous.

Proof by induction over n.

induction base  $(n = 0)$ :

By definition of the 0-fold composition, we have  $(u, v) \in (S_G)_0$  iff  $u = v$ , and a walk of length 0 from u to v exists iff  $u = v$ . Hence, the two conditions are equivalent.

# Reachability as Closure – Proof (2)

```
Proof (continued).
induction step (n \rightarrow n+1):
(\Rightarrow): Let (u, v) \in (S_G)_{n+1}.
By definition of R_{n+1}, we get (u, v) \in S_G \circ (S_G)_n.
By definition of \circ there exists w with (u, w) \in (S_G)_n and
(w, v) \in S_G.
From the induction hypothesis, there exists a length-n walk
\langle x_0, \ldots, x_n \rangle with x_0 = u and x_n = w.
Then \langle x_0, \ldots, x_n, v \rangle is a length-(n+1) walk from u to v.
(\Leftarrow): Let \langle x_0, \ldots, x_{n+1} \rangle be a length-(n+1) walk from u to v
(x_0 = u, x_{n+1} = v). Then (x_n, x_{n+1}) = (x_n, v) \in A.
Also, \langle x_0, \ldots, x_n \rangle is a length-n walk from x_0 to x_n.
From the IH we get (u, x_n) = (x_0, x_n) \in (S_G)_n.
Together with (x_n, v) \in S_G this shows
(u, v) \in S_G \circ (S_G)_n = (S_G)_{n+1}.
```
# <span id="page-13-0"></span>C2.3 [Connected Components](#page-13-0)

## **Overview**

- $\blacktriangleright$  In this section, we study reachability of graphs in more depth.
- $\triangleright$  We show that it makes no difference whether we define reachability in terms of walks or paths, and that reachability in graphs is an equivalence relation.
- ▶ This leads to the connected components of a graph.
- $\blacktriangleright$  In digraphs, reachability is not always an equivalence relation.
- ▶ However, we can define two variants of reachability that give rise to weakly or strongly connected components.

## Walks vs. Paths

#### Theorem

Let G be a graph or digraph. There exists a path from u to v iff there exists a walk from u to v.

In other words, there is a path from  $u$  to  $v$  iff  $v$  is reachable from  $u$ .

Proof.

 $(\Rightarrow)$ : obvious because paths are special cases of walks

 $(\Leftarrow)$ : Proof by contradiction. Assume there exist u, v such that there exists a walk from u to v, but no path. Let  $\pi = \langle w_0, \ldots, w_n \rangle$ be such a counterexample walk of minimal length. Because  $\pi$  is not a path, some vertex/node must repeat. Select  $i$  and  $j$  with  $i < j$  and  $w_i = w_j$ . Then  $\pi' = \langle w_0, \ldots, w_i, w_{j+1}, \ldots, w_n \rangle$  also is a walk from u to v. If  $\pi'$  is a path, we have a contradiction. If not, it is a shorter counterexample: also a contradiction.

# Reachability in Graphs is an Equivalence Relation

#### Theorem

```
For every graph G, the reachability relation R_Gis an equivalence relation.
```
In directed graphs, this result does not hold (easy to see).

#### Proof.

We already know reachability is reflexive and transitive. To prove symmetry:

$$
(u, v) \in R_G
$$
  
\n
$$
\Rightarrow \text{ there is a walk } \langle w_0, \dots, w_n \rangle \text{ from } u \text{ to } v
$$
  
\n
$$
\Rightarrow \langle w_n, \dots, w_0 \rangle \text{ is a walk from } v \text{ to } u
$$
  
\n
$$
\Rightarrow (v, u) \in R_G
$$

## Connected Components

Definition (connected components, connected)

In a graph  $G$ , the equivalence classes of the reachability relation of G are called the connected components of G. A graph is called connected if it has at most 1 connected component.

German: Zusammenhangskomponenten, zusammenhängend

Remark: The graph  $(\emptyset, \emptyset)$  has 0 connected components. It is the only such graph.

C2. Paths and Connectivity [Connected Components](#page-13-0)

# Weakly Connected Components

Definition (weakly connected components, weakly connected) In a digraph G, the equivalence classes of the reachability relation of the induced graph of G are called the weakly connected components of G. A digraph is called weakly connected if it has at most 1 weakly connected component.

German: schwache Zshk., schwach zusammenhängend

Remark: The digraph  $(\emptyset, \emptyset)$  has 0 weakly connected components. It is the only such digraph.

# (Weakly) Connected Components – Example





connected components:

\n- $$
\{A, B, C, E\}
$$
\n- $\{D, F\}$
\n- $\{G\}$
\n

weakly connected components:  $\blacktriangleright$  {1, 2, 3, 4, 5}

# Mutual Reachability

## Definition (mutually reachable)

Let G be a graph or digraph. Vertices/nodes  $u$  and  $v$  in  $G$  are called mutually reachable if v is reachable from  $\mu$  and  $\mu$  is reachable from  $\nu$ . We write  $M_G$  for the mutual reachability relation of G

German: gegenseitig erreichbar

Note: In graphs,  $M_G = R_G$ . (Why?)

# Mutual Reachability is an Equivalence Relation

#### Theorem

For every digraph G, the mutual reachability relation  $M_G$ is an equivalence relation.

### Proof.

Note that  $(u, v) \in M_G$  iff  $(u, v) \in R_G$  and  $(v, u) \in R_G$ .

- ▶ reflexivity: for all v, we have  $(v, v) \in M_G$  because  $(v, v) \in R_G$
- ▶ symmetry: Let  $(u, v) \in M_G$ . Then  $(v, u) \in M_G$  is obvious.

▶ transitivity: Let 
$$
(u, v) \in \mathbb{M}_G
$$
 and  $(v, w) \in \mathbb{M}_G$ . Then:  $(u, v) \in \mathbb{R}_G$ ,  $(v, u) \in \mathbb{R}_G$ ,  $(v, w) \in \mathbb{R}_G$ ,  $(w, v) \in \mathbb{R}_G$ . Transitivity of  $\mathbb{R}_G$  yields  $(u, w) \in \mathbb{R}_G$  and  $(w, u) \in \mathbb{R}_G$ , and hence  $(u, w) \in \mathbb{M}_G$ .

C2. Paths and Connectivity [Connected Components](#page-13-0)

# Strongly Connected Components

Definition (strongly connected components, strongly connected) In a digraph G, the equivalence classes of the mutual reachability relation are called the strongly connected components of G. A digraph is called strongly connected if it has at most 1 strongly connected component.

German: starke Zshk., stark zusammenhängend

Remark: The digraph  $(\emptyset, \emptyset)$  has 0 strongly connected components. It is the only such digraph.

# <span id="page-23-0"></span>Strongly Connected Components – Example



strongly connected components:

$$
\blacktriangleright \{1,2\}
$$

$$
\blacktriangleright \{3,4,5\}
$$

M. Helmert, G. Röger (University of Basel) [Discrete Mathematics in Computer Science](#page-0-0) November 11, 2024 24 / 24