

# Discrete Mathematics in Computer Science

## A4. Proof Techniques I

Malte Helmert, Gabriele Röger

University of Basel

September 25, 2024

# Discrete Mathematics in Computer Science

September 25, 2024 — A4. Proof Techniques I

## A4.1 Proof Strategies

## A4.2 Direct Proof

## A4.3 Indirect Proof

## A4.4 Proof by Contrapositive

# A4.1 Proof Strategies

# Common Forms of Statements

Many statements have one of these forms:

- 1 “All  $x \in S$  with the property  $P$  also have the property  $Q$ .”
- 2 “ $A$  is a subset of  $B$ .”
- 3 “For all  $x \in S$ :  $x$  has property  $P$  iff  $x$  has property  $Q$ .”
- 4 “ $A = B$ ”, where  $A$  and  $B$  are sets.

In the following, we will discuss some typical proof/disproof strategies for such statements.

# Proof Strategies

- 1 “All  $x \in S$  with the property  $P$  also have the property  $Q$ .”  
“For all  $x \in S$ : if  $x$  has property  $P$ , then  $x$  has property  $Q$ .”
  - ▶ To prove, assume you are given an arbitrary  $x \in S$  that has the property  $P$ .  
Give a sequence of proof steps showing that  $x$  must have the property  $Q$ .
  - ▶ To disprove, find a **counterexample**, i. e., find an  $x \in S$  that has property  $P$  but not  $Q$  and prove this.

# Proof Strategies

- ② “ $A$  is a subset of  $B$ .”
  - ▶ To prove, assume you have an arbitrary element  $x \in A$  and prove that  $x \in B$ .
  - ▶ To disprove, find an element in  $x \in A \setminus B$  and prove that  $x \in A \setminus B$ .

# Proof Strategies

- ③ “For all  $x \in S$ :  $x$  has property  $P$  iff  $x$  has property  $Q$ .”  
(“iff”: “if and only if”)
  - ▶ To prove, separately prove “if  $P$  then  $Q$ ” and “if  $Q$  then  $P$ ”.
  - ▶ To disprove, disprove “if  $P$  then  $Q$ ” or disprove “if  $Q$  then  $P$ ”.

# Proof Strategies

- ④ “ $A = B$ ”, where  $A$  and  $B$  are sets.
  - ▶ To prove, separately prove “ $A \subseteq B$ ” and “ $B \subseteq A$ ”.
  - ▶ To disprove, disprove “ $A \subseteq B$ ” or disprove “ $B \subseteq A$ ”.



# Proof Techniques

most common proof techniques:

- ▶ direct proof
- ▶ indirect proof (proof by contradiction)
- ▶ contrapositive
- ▶ mathematical induction
- ▶ structural induction

## A4.2 Direct Proof

# Direct Proof

## Direct Proof

Direct derivation of the statement by deducing or rewriting.

German: Direkter Beweis

# Direct Proof: Example

## Theorem

*For all sets  $A$ ,  $B$  and  $C$  it holds that*

$$A \cap (B \cup C) = (A \cap B) \cup (A \cap C).$$

## Proof.

Let  $A$ ,  $B$  and  $C$  be arbitrary sets.

We will show separately that

- ▶  $A \cap (B \cup C) \subseteq (A \cap B) \cup (A \cap C)$  and that
- ▶  $(A \cap B) \cup (A \cap C) \subseteq A \cap (B \cup C)$ .

...

## Direct Proof: Example cont.

Proof (continued).

We first show that  $A \cap (B \cup C) \subseteq (A \cap B) \cup (A \cap C)$ :

If  $A \cap (B \cup C)$  is empty, the statement is trivially true. Otherwise consider an arbitrary  $x \in A \cap (B \cup C)$ . By the definition of the intersection it holds that  $x \in A$  and that  $x \in (B \cup C)$ .

We make a case distinction between  $x \in B$  and  $x \notin B$ :

**Case 1 ( $x \in B$ ):** As  $x \in A$  is true, it holds in this case that  $x \in (A \cap B)$ .

**Case 2 ( $x \notin B$ ):** From  $x \in (B \cup C)$  it follows for this case that  $x \in C$ . With  $x \in A$  we conclude that  $x \in (A \cap C)$ .

In both cases it holds that  $x \in A \cap B$  or  $x \in A \cap C$ , and we conclude that  $x \in (A \cap B) \cup (A \cap C)$ .

As  $x$  was chosen arbitrarily from  $A \cap (B \cup C)$ , we have shown that every element of  $A \cap (B \cup C)$  is an element of  $(A \cap B) \cup (A \cap C)$ , so it holds that  $A \cap (B \cup C) \subseteq (A \cap B) \cup (A \cap C)$ . ...

## Direct Proof: Example cont.

Proof (continued).

We will now show that  $(A \cap B) \cup (A \cap C) \subseteq A \cap (B \cup C)$ .

... **[Homework assignment]** ...

Overall we have shown for arbitrary sets  $A, B$  and  $C$  that  $A \cap (B \cup C) \subseteq (A \cap B) \cup (A \cap C)$  and that  $(A \cap B) \cup (A \cap C) \subseteq A \cap (B \cup C)$ , which concludes the proof of the theorem. □

## A4.3 Indirect Proof

# Indirect Proof

## Indirect Proof (Proof by Contradiction)

- ▶ Make an **assumption** that the statement is false.
- ▶ Use the assumption to derive a **contradiction**.
- ▶ This shows that the assumption must be false and hence the original statement must be true.

German: Indirekter Beweis, Beweis durch Widerspruch



## Indirect Proof: Example

### Theorem

Let  $A$  and  $B$  be sets. If  $A \setminus B = \emptyset$  then  $A \subseteq B$ .

### Proof.

We prove the theorem by contradiction.

Assume that there are sets  $A$  and  $B$  with  $A \setminus B = \emptyset$  and  $A \not\subseteq B$ .

Let  $A$  and  $B$  be such sets.

Since  $A \not\subseteq B$  there is some  $x \in A$  such that  $x \notin B$ .

For this  $x$  it holds that  $x \in A \setminus B$ .

This is a contradiction to  $A \setminus B = \emptyset$ .

We conclude that the assumption was false and thus the theorem is true. □

## A4.4 Proof by Contrapositive

# Contrapositive

## (Proof by) Contrapositive

Prove “If  $A$ , then  $B$ ” by proving “If not  $B$ , then not  $A$ .”

### Examples:

- ▶ Prove “For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ : if  $n^2$  is odd, then  $n$  is odd” by proving “For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , if  $n$  is even, then  $n^2$  is even.”
- ▶ Prove “For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ : if  $n$  is not a square number, then  $\sqrt{n}$  is irrational” by proving “For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ : if  $\sqrt{n}$  is rational, then  $n$  is a square number.”

German: Kontraposition

## Contrapositive: Example

### Theorem

For any sets  $A$  and  $B$ : If  $A \subseteq B$  then  $A \setminus B = \emptyset$ .

### Proof.

We prove the theorem by contrapositive, showing for any sets  $A$  and  $B$  that if  $A \setminus B \neq \emptyset$  then  $A \not\subseteq B$ .

Let  $A$  and  $B$  be arbitrary sets with  $A \setminus B \neq \emptyset$ .

As the set difference is not empty, there is at least one  $x$  with  $x \in A \setminus B$ . By the definition of the set difference ( $\setminus$ ), it holds for such  $x$  that  $x \in A$  and  $x \notin B$ .

Hence, not all elements of  $A$  are elements of  $B$ , so it does not hold that  $A \subseteq B$ . □

# Summary

- ▶ There are standard strategies for proving some common forms of statements, e.g. some property of all elements of a set.
- ▶ **Direct proof**: derive statement by deducing or rewriting.
- ▶ **Indirect proof**: derive contradiction from the assumption that the statement is false.
- ▶ **Proof by contrapositive**: Prove “If A, then B” by proving “If not B, then not A.”.