### Discrete Mathematics in Computer Science A2. Sets: Foundations

Malte Helmert, Gabriele Röger

University of Basel

September 23, 2024

# Discrete Mathematics in Computer Science

September 23, 2024 — A2. Sets: Foundations

A2.1 Sets

A2.2 Russell's Paradox

A2.3 Relations on Sets

A2.4 Set Operations

A2.5 Cardinality of Finite Sets

A2. Sets: Foundations Sets

# A2.1 Sets

A2. Sets: Foundations Sets

### Important Building Blocks of Discrete Mathematics

- sets
- relations
- functions

These topics will mainly be the content of part B of the course.

We cover some foundations on sets already now because we will use them for illustrating proof techniques.

A2. Sets: Foundations Sets

#### Sets

#### Definition

A set is an unordered collection of distinct objects.

- unorderd: no notion of a "first" or "second" object. e. g.  $\{Alice, Bob, Charly\} = \{Charly, Bob, Alice\}$
- distinct: each object contained at most once, e. g.  $\{Alice, Bob, Charly\} = \{Alice, Charly, Bob, Alice\}$

#### German: Menge

A2. Sets: Foundations Sets

### **Notation**

- Specification of sets
  - explicit, listing all elements, e. g.  $A = \{1, 2, 3\}$
  - implicit with set-builder notation, specifying a property characterizing all elements,

e. g. 
$$A = \{x \mid x \in \mathbb{N}_0 \text{ and } 1 \le x \le 3\},\ B = \{n^2 \mid n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$$

- implicit, as a sequence with dots,
  - e. g.  $\mathbb{Z} = \{\dots, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$
- implicit with an inductive definition
- $ightharpoonup e \in M$ : e is in set M (an element of the set)
- $ightharpoonup e \notin M$ : e is not in set M
- ▶ empty set  $\emptyset = \{\}$

Question: Is it true that  $1 \in \{\{1,2\},3\}$ ?

German: Element, leere Menge

A2. Sets: Foundations Sets

## Special Sets

- Natural numbers  $\mathbb{N}_0 = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$
- ▶ Integers  $\mathbb{Z} = \{..., -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, ...\}$
- ▶ Positive integers  $\mathbb{Z}_+ = \mathbb{N}_1 = \{1, 2, \dots\}$
- ▶ Rational numbers  $\mathbb{Q} = \{n/d \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}, d \in \mathbb{N}_1\}$
- Real numbers  $\mathbb{R} = (-\infty, \infty)$ Why do we use interval notation? Why didn't we introduce it before?

German: Natürliche ( $\mathbb{N}_0$ ), ganze ( $\mathbb{Z}$ ), rationale ( $\mathbb{Q}$ ), reelle ( $\mathbb{R}$ ) Zahlen

A2. Sets: Foundations Russell's Paradox

# A2.2 Russell's Paradox

A2. Sets: Foundations Russell's Paradox

### Excursus: Barber Paradox

#### Barber Paradox

In a town there is only one barber, who is male.

The barber shaves all men in the town, and only those, who do not shave themselves.

Who shaves the barber?



We can exploit the self-reference to derive a contradiction.

A2 Sets: Foundations Russell's Paradox

#### Russell's Paradox



Bertrand Russell

#### Question

Is the collection of all sets that do not contain themselves as a member a set?

Is  $S = \{M \mid M \text{ is a set and } M \notin M\}$  a set?

Assume that S is a set. If  $S \notin S$  then  $S \in S \leadsto$  Contradiction If  $S \in S$  then  $S \notin S \leadsto$  Contradiction Hence, there is no such set S.

→ Not every property used in set-builder notation defines a set.

A2. Sets: Foundations Relations on Sets

# A2.3 Relations on Sets

A2. Sets: Foundations Relations on Sets

### Equality

#### Definition (Axiom of Extensionality)

Two sets A and B are equal (written A = B) if every element of A is an element of B and vice versa.

Two sets are equal if they contain the same elements.

We write  $A \neq B$  to indicate that A and B are not equal.

12 / 25

A2. Sets: Foundations Relations on Sets

### Subsets and Supersets

- ▶  $A \subseteq B$ : A is a subset of B, i. e., every element of A is an element of B
- ▶  $A \subset B$ : A is a strict subset of B, i. e.,  $A \subseteq B$  and  $A \neq B$ .
- ▶  $A \supseteq B$ : A is a superset of B if  $B \subseteq A$ .
- ▶  $A \supset B$ : A is a strict superset of B if  $B \subset A$ .

We write  $A \nsubseteq B$  to indicate that A is **not** a subset of B.

Analogously:  $\not\subset$ ,  $\not\supseteq$ ,  $\not\supset$ 

German: Teilmenge, echte Teilmenge, Obermenge, echte Obermenge

A2. Sets: Foundations Relations on Sets

### Power Set

#### Definition (Power Set)

The power set  $\mathcal{P}(S)$  of a set S is the set of all subsets of S. That is,

$$\mathcal{P}(S) = \{M \mid M \subseteq S\}.$$

Example: 
$$\mathcal{P}(\{a,b\}) =$$

German: Potenzmenge

# A2.4 Set Operations

## Set Operations

Set operations allow us to express sets in terms of other sets

▶ intersection  $A \cap B = \{x \mid x \in A \text{ and } x \in B\}$ 



If  $A \cap B = \emptyset$  then A and B are disjoint.

▶ union  $A \cup B = \{x \mid x \in A \text{ or } x \in B\}$ 



▶ set difference  $A \setminus B = \{x \mid x \in A \text{ and } x \notin B\}$ 



ightharpoonup complement  $\overline{A} = B \setminus A$ , where  $A \subseteq B$  and B is the set of all considered objects (in a given context)



German: Schnitt, disjunkt, Vereinigung, Differenz, Komplement

### Properties of Set Operations: Commutativity

### Theorem (Commutativity of $\cup$ and $\cap$ )

For all sets A and B it holds that

- $\triangleright$   $A \cup B = B \cup A$  and
- $\triangleright$   $A \cap B = B \cap A$ .

Question: Is the set difference also commutative, i. e. is  $A \setminus B = B \setminus A$  for all sets A and B?

German: Kommutativität

## Properties of Set Operations: Associativity

Theorem (Associativity of  $\cup$  and  $\cap$ )

For all sets A, B and C it holds that

- $ightharpoonup (A \cup B) \cup C = A \cup (B \cup C)$  and
- $(A \cap B) \cap C = A \cap (B \cap C).$

German: Assoziativität

## Properties of Set Operations: Distributivity

### Theorem (Union distributes over intersection and vice versa)

For all sets A, B and C it holds that

- $ightharpoonup A \cup (B \cap C) = (A \cup B) \cap (A \cup C)$  and
- $A \cap (B \cup C) = (A \cap B) \cup (A \cap C).$

German: Distributivität

### Properties of Set Operations: De Morgan's Law



Augustus De Morgan British mathematician (1806-1871)

### Theorem (De Morgan's Law)

For all sets A and B it holds that

- $ightharpoonup \overline{A \cup B} = \overline{A} \cap \overline{B}$  and

A2. Sets: Foundations Cardinality of Finite Sets

# A2.5 Cardinality of Finite Sets

A2. Sets: Foundations Cardinality of Finite Sets

### Cardinality of Sets

The cardinality |S| measures the size of set S.

A set is finite if it has a finite number of elements.

### Definition (Cardinality)

The cardinality of a finite set is the number of elements it contains.

- $|\emptyset| =$
- ▶  $|\{x \mid x \in \mathbb{N}_0 \text{ and } 2 \le x < 5\}| =$
- ightharpoonup  $|\{3,0,\{1,3\}\}| =$
- $|\mathcal{P}(\{1,2\})| =$

German: Kardinalität oder Mächtigkeit

A2. Sets: Foundations Cardinality of Finite Sets

### Cardinality of the Union of Sets

#### Theorem

For finite sets A and B it holds that  $|A \cup B| = |A| + |B| - |A \cap B|$ .

#### Corollary

If finite sets A and B are disjoint then  $|A \cup B| = |A| + |B|$ .

## Cardinality of the Power Set

#### **Theorem**

Let S be a finite set. Then  $|\mathcal{P}(S)| = 2^{|S|}$ .

#### Proof sketch.

We can construct a subset S' by iterating over all elements e of Sand deciding whether e becomes a member of S' or not.

We make |S| independent decisions, each between two options. Hence, there are  $2^{|S|}$  possible outcomes.

Every subset of S can be constructed this way and different choices lead to different sets. Thus,  $|\mathcal{P}(S)| = 2^{|S|}$ .

A2. Sets: Foundations Summarv

## Summary

- Sets are unordered collections of distinct objects.
- ▶ Important set relations: equality (=), subset  $(\subseteq)$ , superset  $(\supseteq)$  and strict variants  $(\subset \text{ and } \supset)$
- ▶ The power set of a set S is the set of all subsets of S.
- Important set operations are intersection, union, set difference and complement.
  - Union and intersection are commutative and associative.
  - Union distributes over intersection and vice versa.
  - De Morgan's law for complement of union or intersection.
- The number of elements in a finite set is called its cardinality.