



Planning and Optimization November 20, 2023 — E12. Merge-and-Shrink: Merge Strategies and Label Reduction				
E12.1 Merge Stra	tegies			
E12.2 Label Redu	ction			
E12.3 Summary				
M. Helmert, G. Röger (Universität Basel)	Planning and Optimization	November 20, 2023	2 / 27	





 \blacktriangleright Which abstractions to select for merging? \rightsquigarrow merge strategy Planning and Optimization

M. Helmert, G. Röger (Universität Basel)

E12. Merge-and-Shrink: Merge Strategies and Label Reduction

Merge Strategies

5 / 27

November 20, 2023

Linear vs. Non-linear Merge Strategies

Linear Merge Strategy

In each iteration after the first, choose the abstraction computed in the previous iteration as \mathcal{T}_1 .

Rationale: only maintains one "complex" abstraction at a time

- Fully defined by an ordering of atomic projections/variables.
- Each merge-and-shrink heuristic computed with a non-linear merge strategy can also be computed with a linear merge strategy.
- However, linear merging can require a super-polynomial blow-up of the final representation size.
- Recent research turned from linear to non-linear strategies, also because better label reduction techniques (later in this chapter) enabled a more efficient computation.

Planning and Optimization



E12. Merge-and-Shrink: Merge Strategies and Label Reduction

Classes of Merge Strategies

We can distinguish two major types of merge strategies:

precomputed merge strategies fix a unique merge order up-front.

One-time effort but cannot react to other transformations applied to the factors.

stateless merge strategies only consider the current FTS and decide what factors to merge.

Typically computing a score for each pair of factors and naturally non-linear; easy to implement but cannot capture dependencies between more than two factors.

Hybrid strategies combine ideas from precomputed and stateless strategies.

Merge Strategies



E12. Merge-and-Shrink: Merge Strategies and Label Reduction

Example Non-linear Precomputed Merge Strategy

Idea: Build clusters of variables with strong interactions and first merge variables within each cluster.

Example: MIASM ("maximum intermediate abstraction size minimizing merging strategy")

MIASM strategy

- Measure interaction by ratio of unnecessary states in the merged system (= states not traversed by any abstract plan).
- Best-first search to identify interesting variable sets.
- Disjoint variable sets chosen by a greedy algorithm for maximum weighted set packing.

Rationale: increase power of pruning (cf. next chapter)

```
M. Helmert, G. Röger (Universität Basel)
```

November 20, 2023

10 / 27

Merge Strategies

E12. Merge-and-Shrink: Merge Strategies and Label Reduction Example Hybrid Merge Strategy

Idea: first combine the variables within each strongly connected component of the causal graph.

Planning and Optimization

Example: SCC framework

SCC strategy

- Compute strongly connected components of causal graph
- Secondary strategies for order in which
 - the SCCs are considered (e.g. topologic order),
 - the factors within an SCC are merged, and
 - the resulting product systems are merged.

Rationale: reflect strong interactions of variables well

State of the art: SCC+DFP or a stateless MIASM variant

11 / 27

13 / 27

E12.2 Label Reduction

M. Helmert, G. Röger (Universität Basel)

Planning and Optimization November 20, 2023



Planning and Optimization





Planning and Optimization

15 / 27

16 / 27



Label Reduction

Label Reduction: Definition

Definition (Label Reduction)

Let *F* be a factored transition system with label set *L* and label cost function *c*. A label reduction $\langle \lambda, c' \rangle$ for *F* is given by a function $\lambda : L \to L'$, where *L'* is an arbitrary set of labels, and a label cost function *c'* on *L'* such that for all $\ell \in L$, $c'(\lambda(\ell)) \leq c(\ell)$.

For $\mathcal{T} = \langle S, L, c, T, s_0, S_\star \rangle \in F$ the label-reduced transition system is $\mathcal{T}^{\langle \lambda, c' \rangle} = \langle S, L', c', \{ \langle s, \lambda(\ell), t \rangle \mid \langle s, \ell, t \rangle \in T \}, s_0, S_\star \rangle$. The label-reduced FTS is $F^{\langle \lambda, c' \rangle} = \{ \mathcal{T}^{\langle \lambda, c' \rangle} \mid \mathcal{T} \in F \}$.

Planning and Optimization

 $L' \cap L \neq \emptyset$ and L' = L are allowed.

```
M. Helmert, G. Röger (Universität Basel)
```

November 20, 2023

18 / 27

Label Reduction

E12. Merge-and-Shrink: Merge Strategies and Label Reduction

More Terminology

Let *F* be a factored transition systems with labels *L*. Let $\ell, \ell' \in L$ be labels and let $\mathcal{T} \in F$.

- Label ℓ is alive in F if all T' ∈ F have some transition labelled with ℓ. Otherwise, ℓ is dead.
- Label l locally subsumes label l' in T if for all transitions (s, l', t) of T there is also a transition (s, l, t) in T.
- ℓ globally subsumes ℓ' if it locally subsumes ℓ' in all $\mathcal{T}' \in F$.
- \$\ell\$ and \$\ell\$' are locally equivalent in \$\mathcal{T}\$ if they label the same transitions in \$\mathcal{T}\$, i.e. \$\ell\$ locally subsumes \$\ell\$' in \$\mathcal{T}\$ and vice versa.
- ℓ and ℓ' are *T*-combinable if they are locally equivalent in all transition systems *T*' ∈ *F* \ {*T*}.









Computation of Exact Label Reduction (2)

 $eq_i :=$ set of label equivalence classes of $\mathcal{T}_i \in F$

Label-reduction based on \mathcal{T}_i -combinability $eq := \{ [\ell]_{\sim_c} \mid \ell \in L, \ell' \sim_c \ell'' \text{ iff } c(\ell') = c(\ell'') \}$ for $j \in \{1, \dots, |F|\} \setminus \{i\}$ Refine eq with eq_j // two labels are in the same set of eq iff they have // the same cost and are locally equivalent in all $\mathcal{T}_j \neq \mathcal{T}_i$. $\lambda = id$ for $B \in eq$ $\ell_{new} := new label$ $c'(\ell_{new}) := cost of labels in B$ for $\ell \in B$ $\lambda(\ell) = \ell_{new}$

M. Helmert, G. Röger (Universität Basel)

E12. Merge-and-Shrink: Merge Strategies and Label Reduction

Summary

There is a wide range of merge strategies. We only covered some important ones.

Planning and Optimization

 Label reduction is crucial for the performance of the merge-and-shrink algorithm, especially when using bisimilarity for shrinking.

E12. Merge-and-Shrink: Merge Strategies and La	bel Reduction		Summary
F12 3 Summ	arv.		
LIZ.J Julillary			
M. Helmert, G. Röger (Universität Basel)	Planning and Optimization	November 20, 2023	26 / 27

November 20, 2023

25 / 27

Summarv

Label Reduction