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## Divisibility



- Can we equally share $n$ muffins among $m$ persons without cutting a muffin?
- If yes then $n$ is a multiple of $m$ and $m$ divides $n$.

■ We consider a generalization of this concept to the integers.

## Divisibility

## Definition (divisor, multiple)

Let $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. If there exists a $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $m k=n$, we say that $m$ divides $n, m$ is a divisor of $n$ or $n$ is a multiple of $m$ and write this as $m \mid n$.
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## Definition (divisor, multiple)

Let $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. If there exists a $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $m k=n$, we say that $m$ divides $n, m$ is a divisor of $n$ or $n$ is a multiple of $m$ and write this as $m \mid n$.

Which of the following are true?

- $2 \mid 4$

■ $-2 \mid 4$

- $2 \mid-4$
- 4 | 2
- $3 \mid 4$
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## Theorem (Linear combinations)

Let $a, b$ and $d$ be integers. If $d \mid a$ and $d \mid b$ then for all integers $x$ and $y$ it holds that $d \mid x a+y b$.

## Proof.

If $d \mid a$ and $d \mid b$ then there are $k, k^{\prime} \in \mathbb{Z}$
such that $k d=a$ and $k^{\prime} d=b$.
It holds for all $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ that $x a+y b=x k d+y k^{\prime} d=\left(x k+y k^{\prime}\right) d$. As $x, y, k, k^{\prime}$ are integers, $x k+y k^{\prime}$ is integer, thus $d \mid x a+y b$.

Some consequences:
$\square d \mid a-b$ iff $d \mid b-a$
■ If $d \mid a$ and $d \mid b$ then $d \mid a+b$ and $d \mid a-b$.

- If $d \mid a$ then $d \mid-8 a$.
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## Theorem

Let $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$. If $a \mid b$ then $a c \mid b c$ and $a^{n} \mid b^{n}$.

## Proof.

If $a \mid b$ there is a $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $a k=b$.
Multiplying both sides with $c$, we get $c a k=c b$ and thus $c a \mid c b$.
From $a k=b$, we also get $b^{n}=(a k)^{n}=a^{n} k^{n}$, so $a^{n} \mid b^{n}$.
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## Partial Order

If we consider only the natural numbers, divisibility is a partial order:

## Theorem

Divisibility | over $\mathbb{N}_{0}$ is a partial order.

## Proof.

- reflexivity: For all $m \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ it holds that $m \cdot 1=m$, so $m \mid m$.
- transitivity: If $m \mid n$ and $n \mid o$ there are $k, k^{\prime} \in \mathbb{Z}$
such that $m k=n$ and $n k^{\prime}=0$.
With $k^{\prime \prime}=k k^{\prime}$ it holds then that $o=n k^{\prime}=m k k^{\prime}=m k^{\prime \prime}$, and consequently $m \mid o$.
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## Proof (continued).

■ antisymmetry: We show that if $m \mid n$ and $n \mid m$ then $m=n$.
If $m=n=0$, there is nothing to show.
Otherwise, at least one of $m$ and $n$ is positive.
Let this w.l.o.g. (without loss of generality) be $m$.
If $m \mid n$ and $n \mid m$ then there are $k, k^{\prime} \in \mathbb{Z}$
such that $m k=n$ and $n k^{\prime}=m$.

## Partial Order

## Proof (continued).

■ antisymmetry: We show that if $m \mid n$ and $n \mid m$ then $m=n$.
If $m=n=0$, there is nothing to show.
Otherwise, at least one of $m$ and $n$ is positive.
Let this w.l.o.g. (without loss of generality) be $m$.
If $m \mid n$ and $n \mid m$ then there are $k, k^{\prime} \in \mathbb{Z}$
such that $m k=n$ and $n k^{\prime}=m$.
Combining these, we get $m=n k^{\prime}=m k k^{\prime}$, which implies (with $m \neq 0$ ) that $k k^{\prime}=1$.

## Partial Order

## Proof (continued).

■ antisymmetry: We show that if $m \mid n$ and $n \mid m$ then $m=n$.
If $m=n=0$, there is nothing to show.
Otherwise, at least one of $m$ and $n$ is positive.
Let this w.l.o.g. (without loss of generality) be $m$.
If $m \mid n$ and $n \mid m$ then there are $k, k^{\prime} \in \mathbb{Z}$
such that $m k=n$ and $n k^{\prime}=m$.
Combining these, we get $m=n k^{\prime}=m k k^{\prime}$, which implies (with $m \neq 0$ ) that $k k^{\prime}=1$.
Since $k$ and $k^{\prime}$ are integers, this implies $k=k^{\prime}=1$ or $k=k^{\prime}=-1$. As $m k=n, m$ is positive and $n$ is non-negative, we can conclude that $k=1$ and $m=n$.

## Modular Arithmetic

■ You have $m$ sweets.


- There are $k$ kids showing up for trick-or-treating.
- To keep everything fair, every kid gets the same amount of treats.
■ You may enjoy the rest. :-)
■ How much does every kid get, how much do you get?


## Euclid's Division Lemma

Theorem (Euclid's division lemma)
For all integers $a$ and $b$ with $b \neq 0$
there are unique integers $q$ and $r$
with $a=q b+r$ and $0 \leq r<|b|$.
Number a is called the dividend, $b$ the divisor, $q$ is the quotient and $r$ the remainder.

Without proof.

## Euclid's Division Lemma

Theorem (Euclid's division lemma)
For all integers $a$ and $b$ with $b \neq 0$
there are unique integers $q$ and $r$
with $a=q b+r$ and $0 \leq r<|b|$.
Number a is called the dividend, $b$ the divisor, $q$ is the quotient and $r$ the remainder.

Without proof.
Examples:

- $a=18, b=5$
- $a=5, b=18$

■ $a=-18, b=5$

- $a=18, b=-5$


## Modulo Operation

■ With $a \bmod b$ we refer to the remainder of Euclidean division.
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- Most programming languages have a built-in operator to compute $a \bmod b$ (for positive integers):
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\begin{aligned}
& \text { int } \bmod =34 \% 7 ; \\
& / / \text { result } 6 \text { because } 4 * 7+6=34
\end{aligned}
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\begin{aligned}
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## Modulo Operation

■ With $a \bmod b$ we refer to the remainder of Euclidean division.
■ Most programming languages have a built-in operator to compute $a \bmod b$ (for positive integers):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { int } \bmod =34 \% 7 ; \\
& / / \text { result } 6 \text { because } 4 * 7+6=34
\end{aligned}
$$

■ Common application: Determine whether a natural number $n$ is even.

$$
\text { n \% } 2=0
$$

■ Languages behave differently with negative operands!

def share_sweets(no_kids, no_sweets): print("Each kid gets", no_sweets // no_kids,
"of the sweets.")
print("You may keep", no_sweets \% no_kids,
"of the sweets.")

## Congruence Modulo $n$

- We now are no longer interested in the value of the remainder but will consider numbers $a$ and $a^{\prime}$ as equivalent if the remainder with division by a given number $b$ is equal.
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## Congruence Modulo $n$

- We now are no longer interested in the value of the remainder but will consider numbers $a$ and $a^{\prime}$ as equivalent if the remainder with division by a given number $b$ is equal.
■ Consider the clock:
- It's now 3 o'clock
- In 12 hours its 3 o'clock
- Same in 24, 36, 48, . . hours.
- 15:00 and 3:00 are shown the same.



## Congruence Modulo $n$

- We now are no longer interested in the value of the remainder but will consider numbers $a$ and $a^{\prime}$ as equivalent if the remainder with division by a given number $b$ is equal.
■ Consider the clock:
■ It's now 3 o'clock
- In 12 hours its 3 o'clock
- Same in 24, 36, 48, . . hours.
- 15:00 and 3:00 are shown the same.
- In the following, we will express this as $3 \equiv 15(\bmod 12)$


## Congruence Modulo $n$ - Definition

Definition (Congruence modulo $n$ )
For integer $n>1$, two integers $a$ and $b$ are called congruent modulo $n$ if $n \mid a-b$.
We write this as $a \equiv b(\bmod n)$.
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We write this as $a \equiv b(\bmod n)$.

Which of the following statements are true?

- $0 \equiv 5(\bmod 5)$
- $1 \equiv 6(\bmod 5)$
- $4 \equiv 14(\bmod 5)$
- $-8 \equiv 7(\bmod 5)$
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## Congruence Modulo $n$ - Definition

## Definition (Congruence modulo $n$ )

For integer $n>1$, two integers $a$ and $b$ are called congruent modulo $n$ if $n \mid a-b$.
We write this as $a \equiv b(\bmod n)$.

Which of the following statements are true?

- $0 \equiv 5(\bmod 5)$
- $1 \equiv 6(\bmod 5)$
- $4 \equiv 14(\bmod 5)$
- $-8 \equiv 7(\bmod 5)$
- $2 \equiv-3(\bmod 5)$

Why is this the same concept as described in the clock example?!?

## Congruence Corresponds to Equal Remainders

## Theorem

For integers $a$ and $b$ and integer $n>1$ it holds that $a \equiv b(\bmod n)$ iff there are $q, q^{\prime}, r \in \mathbb{Z}$ with
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\end{aligned}
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## Proof sketch.

" $\Rightarrow$ ": If $n \mid a-b$ then there is a $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $k n=a-b$.
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## Theorem

For integers $a$ and $b$ and integer $n>1$ it holds that $a \equiv b(\bmod n)$ iff there are $q, q^{\prime}, r \in \mathbb{Z}$ with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a=q n+r \\
& b=q^{\prime} n+r .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Proof sketch.

" $\Rightarrow$ ": If $n \mid a-b$ then there is a $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $k n=a-b$.
As $n \neq 0$, by Euclid's lemma there are $q, q^{\prime}, r, r^{\prime} \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $a=q n+r$ and $b=q^{\prime} n+r^{\prime}$, where $0 \leq r<|n|$ and $0 \leq r^{\prime}<|n|$.

## Congruence Corresponds to Equal Remainders

## Theorem

For integers $a$ and $b$ and integer $n>1$ it holds that $a \equiv b(\bmod n)$ iff there are $q, q^{\prime}, r \in \mathbb{Z}$ with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a=q n+r \\
& b=q^{\prime} n+r .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Proof sketch.

" $\Rightarrow$ ": If $n \mid a-b$ then there is a $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $k n=a-b$.
As $n \neq 0$, by Euclid's lemma there are $q, q^{\prime}, r, r^{\prime} \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $a=q n+r$ and $b=q^{\prime} n+r^{\prime}$, where $0 \leq r<|n|$ and $0 \leq r^{\prime}<|n|$.
Together, we get that $k n=q n+r-\left(q^{\prime} n+r^{\prime}\right)$, which is the case iff $k n+r^{\prime}=\left(q-q^{\prime}\right) n+r$. By Euclid's lemma, quotients and remainders are unique, so in particular $r^{\prime}=r$.

## Congruence Corresponds to Equal Remainders

## Theorem

For integers $a$ and $b$ and integer $n>1$ it holds that $a \equiv b(\bmod n)$ iff there are $q, q^{\prime}, r \in \mathbb{Z}$ with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a=q n+r \\
& b=q^{\prime} n+r .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Proof sketch.

" $\Rightarrow$ ": If $n \mid a-b$ then there is a $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $k n=a-b$.
As $n \neq 0$, by Euclid's lemma there are $q, q^{\prime}, r, r^{\prime} \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $a=q n+r$ and $b=q^{\prime} n+r^{\prime}$, where $0 \leq r<|n|$ and $0 \leq r^{\prime}<|n|$.
Together, we get that $k n=q n+r-\left(q^{\prime} n+r^{\prime}\right)$, which is the case iff $k n+r^{\prime}=\left(q-q^{\prime}\right) n+r$. By Euclid's lemma, quotients and remainders are unique, so in particular $r^{\prime}=r$.
" $\Leftarrow$ ": If we subtract the equations, we get $a-b=\left(q-q^{\prime}\right) n$, so $n \mid a-b$ and $a \equiv b(\bmod n)$.
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## Theorem
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Reflexive: $a \equiv a(\bmod n)$ because every integer divides 0 .
Symmetric: $a \equiv b(\bmod n)$ iff $n \mid a-b$ iff $n \mid b-a$ iff $b \equiv a(\bmod n)$.

## Congruence Modulo $n$ is an Equivalence Relation

## Theorem

Congruence modulo $n$ is an equivalence relation.

## Proof sketch.

Reflexive: $a \equiv a(\bmod n)$ because every integer divides 0 .
Symmetric: $a \equiv b(\bmod n)$ iff $n \mid a-b$ iff $n \mid b-a$ iff $b \equiv a(\bmod n)$.
Transitive: If $a \equiv b(\bmod n)$ and $b \equiv c(\bmod n)$ then $n \mid a-b$ and $n \mid b-c$. Together, these imply that $n \mid a-b+b-c$. From $n \mid a-c$ we get $a \equiv c(\bmod n)$.

## Congruence Modulo $n$ is an Equivalence Relation

## Theorem

Congruence modulo $n$ is an equivalence relation.

## Proof sketch.

Reflexive: $a \equiv a(\bmod n)$ because every integer divides 0 .
Symmetric: $a \equiv b(\bmod n)$ iff $n \mid a-b$ iff $n \mid b-a$
iff $b \equiv a(\bmod n)$.
Transitive: If $a \equiv b(\bmod n)$ and $b \equiv c(\bmod n)$ then $n \mid a-b$ and $n \mid b-c$. Together, these imply that $n \mid a-b+b-c$. From $n \mid a-c$ we get $a \equiv c(\bmod n)$.

For modulus $n$, the equivalence class of $a$ is $\bar{a}_{n}=\{\ldots, a-2 n, a-n, a, a+n, a+2 n, \ldots\}$. Set $\bar{a}_{n}$ is called the congruence class or residue of a modulo $n$.

## Compatibility with Operations

## Theorem

Congruence modulo $n$ is compatible with addition, subtraction, multiplication, translation, scaling and exponentiation, i.e. if $a \equiv b(\bmod n)$ and $a^{\prime} \equiv b^{\prime}(\bmod n)$ then
$\square a+a^{\prime} \equiv b+b^{\prime}(\bmod n)$,

- $a-a^{\prime} \equiv b-b^{\prime}(\bmod n)$,
- $a a^{\prime} \equiv b b^{\prime}(\bmod n)$,
- $a+k \equiv b+k(\bmod n)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$,
- ak $\equiv b k(\bmod n)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, and
- $a^{k} \equiv b^{k}(\bmod n)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$.
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## Theorem

Congruence modulo $n$ is compatible with addition, subtraction, multiplication, translation, scaling and exponentiation, i.e. if $a \equiv b(\bmod n)$ and $a^{\prime} \equiv b^{\prime}(\bmod n)$ then
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- $a-a^{\prime} \equiv b-b^{\prime}(\bmod n)$,
- $a a^{\prime} \equiv b b^{\prime}(\bmod n)$,
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- ak $\equiv b k(\bmod n)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, and
- $a^{k} \equiv b^{k}(\bmod n)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$.

Congruence modulo $n$ is a so-called congruence relation (= equivalence relation compatible with operations).

## Summary

## Summary

- $m$ divides $n$ (written $m \mid n$ ) if $n$ is a multiple of $m$, i.e. there is an integer $k$ with $n=m k$.

■ Divisibility is compatible with multiplication and exponentiation.

- Divisibility over the natural numbers is a partial order.
- The modulo operation $a$ mod $b$ corresponds to the remainder of Euclidean division.
- Congruence modulo $n$ considers integers equivalent if they have with divisor $n$ the same remainder.
- Congurence modulo $n$ is an equivalence relation that is compatible with the arithmetic operations.

