Planning and Optimization F6. Real-time Dynamic Programming

Malte Helmert and Gabriele Röger

Universität Basel



Content of this Course





Content of this Course: Factored MDPs



Motivation	
00	

RTDP 000000 LRTDP 0000000000 Summary 00

Motivation

Motivation	RTDP	LRTDP	
00			

Motivation: Real-time Dynamic Programming

- Asynchronous VI maintains table with state-value estimates for all states ...
- ... and has to update all states repeatedly.

Motivation: Real-time Dynamic Programming

- Asynchronous VI maintains table with state-value estimates for all states ...
- ... and has to update all states repeatedly.
- Real-time Dynamic Programming (RTDP) generates hash map with state-value estimates of relevant states
- uses admissible heuristic to achieve convergence albeit not updating all states
- Proposed by Barto, Bradtke & Singh (1995)

RTDP	LRTDP	Summary
00000		

Real-time Dynamic Programming

Real-time Dynamic Programming

- RTDP updates only states relevant to the agent
- Originally motivated from agent that acts in environment by following greedy policy w.r.t. current state-value estimates.
- Performs Bellman backup in each encountered state
- Uses admissible heuristic for states not updated before

Trial-based Real-time Dynamic Programming

- We consider the offline version here.
 - \Rightarrow Interaction with environment is simulated in trials.
- In real world, outcome of action application cannot be chosen.
 - \Rightarrow In simulation, outcomes are sampled according to probabilities.

Motivation R	RTDP	LRTDP	Summary
00 C	00000	00000000	00

Real-time Dynamic Programming

RTDP for SSP $\mathcal{T} = \langle S, A, c, T, s_0, S_{\star} \rangle$

while more trials required:

$$s := s_0$$
while $s \notin S_{\star}$:
 $\hat{V}(s) := \min_{a \in A(s)} \left(c(a) + \sum_{s' \in S} T(s, a, s') \cdot \hat{V}(s') \right)$
 $s :\sim \operatorname{succ}(s, a_{\hat{V}}(s))$

Note: $\hat{V}(s)$ is maintained as a hash table of states. On the right hand side of line 4 or 5, if a state s is not in \hat{V} , h(s) is used.

RTDP	LRTDP	
000000		

Б	\Rightarrow	\Rightarrow	\Rightarrow	<i>S</i> *	
5	3.00	2.00	1.00	0.00	
4	↑ 4.00	3.00	4.00	1.00	
3	↑ 5.00	4.00	3.00	2.00	Start of 1st trial
2	↑ 6.00	5.00	4.00	3.00	
1	•	6.00	5.00	4.00	
	1	2	3	4	

RTDP	LRTDP	
000000		

5	\Rightarrow	\Rightarrow	\Rightarrow	s _*	
5	3.00	2.00	1.00	0.00	
4	↑				
	4.00	3.00	4.00	1.00	
3	↑				Step 1
•	5.00	4.00	3.00	2.00	•
2	↑				
-	6.00	5.00	4.00	3.00	
1	● ↑ \$ 0				
T	7.00	6.00	5.00	4.00	
	1	C	2	Л	
	1	2	3	4	

RTDP	LRTDP	
000000		

5	\Rightarrow	\Rightarrow	\Rightarrow	s _*	
5	3.00	2.00	1.00	0.00	
4	↑				
	4.00	3.00	4.00	1.00	
3	↑				Step 2
	5.00	4.00	3.00	2.00	•
2	●				
2	6.60	5.00	4.00	3.00	
1	↑ ⁵ 0				
T	7.00	6.00	5.00	4.00	
	1	2	3	Д	
		~	J	–	

RTDP	LRTDP	
000000		

5	\Rightarrow	\Rightarrow	\Rightarrow	<i>s</i> *	
-	3.00	2.00	1.00	0.00	
4	↑				
	4.00	3.00	4.00	1.00	
3	↑				Step 3
J	5.00	4.00	3.00	2.00	orop o
c	●				
2	6.96	5.00	4.00	3.00	
1	↑ ⁵ 0				
T	7.00	6.00	5.00	4.00	
	1	2	3	4	

RTDP	LRTDP	
000000		

Б	\Rightarrow	\Rightarrow	\Rightarrow	<i>s</i> *	
5	3.00	2.00	1.00	0.00	
4	↑ 4.00	3.00	4.00	1.00	
3	↑ 5.00	4.00	3.00	2.00	Step 4
2	●	5.00	4.00	3.00	
1	∱ ^{\$} 0 7.00	6.00	5.00	4.00	
	1	2	3	4	

RTDP	LRTDP	
000000		

Б	\Rightarrow	\Rightarrow	\Rightarrow	<i>S</i> *	
J	3.00	2.00	1.00	0.00	
4	↑			1.00	
	4.00	3.00	4.00	1.00	
3	● _↑ 5.60	4.00	3.00	2.00	Step 5
			0.00		
2	↑↑ 6.96	5.00	4.00	3.00	
1	∱ ⁵ 0				
T	7.00	6.00	5.00	4.00	
	1	2	3	4	

RTDP	LRTDP	
000000		

Б	\Rightarrow	\Rightarrow	\Rightarrow	<i>S</i> *	
5	3.00	2.00	1.00	0.00	
4	● ↑ 4.60	3.00	4.00	1.00	
3	↑ 5.60	4.00	3.00	2.00	Step 6
2	↑ 6.96	5.00	4.00	3.00	
1	↑ ⁵ 0 7.00	6.00	5.00	4.00	
	1	2	3	4	

RTDP	LRTDP	
000000		

5	\Rightarrow	\Rightarrow	\Rightarrow	s _*	
5	3.00	2.00	1.00	0.00	
4	●				
-	4.96	3.00	4.00	1.00	
3	↑				Step 7
Ū	5.60	4.00	3.00	2.00	
2	↑				
-	6.96	5.00	4.00	3.00	
1	↑ ⁵ 0				
T	7.00	6.00	5.00	4.00	
	1	2	3	4	
	-	<u> </u>	9		

RTDP	LRTDP	
000000		

Б	\Rightarrow	\Rightarrow	\Rightarrow	S _*	
5	3.00	2.00	1.00	0.00	
4	●				
•	5.18	3.00	4.00	1.00	
3	↑				Step 8
0	5.60	4.00	3.00	2.00	etep e
2	↑				
2	6.96	5.00	4.00	3.00	
1	↑ ⁵ 0				
т	7.00	6.00	5.00	4.00	
	1	2	3	4	
1	↑ ⁵ 0 7.00	6.00 2	5.00 3	4.00	

RTDP	LRTDP	
000000		

Б	\Rightarrow	\Rightarrow	\Rightarrow	<i>s</i> *	
5	3.00	2.00	1.00	0.00	
4	●				
•	5.31	3.00	4.00	1.00	
3	↑				Step 9
0	5.60	4.00	3.00	2.00	otop s
2	↑				
2	6.96	5.00	4.00	3.00	
1	↑ ^{<i>s</i>0}				
T	7.00	6.00	5.00	4.00	
	1	2	3	4	
		<u> </u>	J	т	

RTDP	LRTDP	
000000		

F		\Rightarrow	\Rightarrow	S _*	
5	3.60	2.00	1.00	0.00	
4	↑ 5.31	3.00	4.00	1.00	
3	↑ 5.60	4.00	3.00	2.00	Step 10
2	↑ 6.96	5.00	4.00	3.00	
1	∱ ^{<i>s</i>₀} 7.00	6.00	5.00	4.00	
	1	2	3	4	

RTDP	LRTDP	
000000		

Б	→	\Rightarrow	\Rightarrow	<i>S</i> *	
J	3.96	2.00	1.00	0.00	
4	↑ 5.31	3.00	4.00	1.00	
3	↑ 5.60	4.00	3.00	2.00	Step 11
2	↑ 6.96	5.00	4.00	3.00	
1	∱ ^{\$} 0 7.00	6.00	5.00	4.00	
	1	2	3	4	

RTDP	LRTDP	
000000		

5	争	\Rightarrow	\Rightarrow	s _*	
5	4.18	2.00	1.00	0.00	
4	↑ 5.31	3.00	4.00	1.00	
3	↑ 5.60	4.00	3.00	2.00	Step 12
2	↑ 6.96	5.00	4.00	3.00	
1	∱ ^{\$} 0 7.00	6.00	5.00	4.00	
	1	2	3	4	

RTDP	LRTDP	
000000		

Б	争	\Rightarrow	\Rightarrow	<i>S</i> *	
J	4.31	2.00	1.00	0.00	
4	↑ 5.31	3.00	4.00	1.00	
3	↑ 5.60	4.00	3.00	2.00	Step 13
2	↑ 6.96	5.00	4.00	3.00	
1	↑ ^{<i>s</i>0} 7.00	6.00	5.00	4.00	
	1	2	3	4	

RTDP	LRTDP	
000000		

F	\Rightarrow	争	\Rightarrow	s _*	
5	4.31	2.00	1.00	0.00	
4	↑ 5.31	3.00	4.00	1.00	
3	↑ 5.60	4.00	3.00	2.00	Step 14
2	↑ 6.96	5.00	4.00	3.00	
1	∱ ^{<i>s</i>₀} 7.00	6.00	5.00	4.00	
	1	2	3	4	

RTDP	LRTDP	
000000		

Б	\Rightarrow	\Rightarrow	争	<i>s</i> *	
5	4.31	2.00	1.00	0.00	
4	↑ - 21	2.00	1.00	1.00	
	5.31	3.00	4.00	1.00	
3	↑ 5.60	4.00	3.00	2.00	Step 15
2	↑ 6.96	5.00	4.00	3.00	
1	∱ ^{<i>s</i>₀} 7.00	6.00	5.00	4.00	
	1	2	3	4	

RTDP	LRTDP	
000000		

5	⇒ 4.31	⇒ 2.00	⇒ 1.00	• <i>s</i> * 0.00	
4	↑ 5.31	3.00	4.00	1.00	
3	↑ 5.60	4.00	3.00	2.00	Step 16
2	↑ 6.96	5.00	4.00	3.00	
1	∱ ^{<i>s</i>₀} 7.00	6.00	5.00	4.00	
	1	2	3	4	'

RTDP	LRTDP	
000000		

Б		\Rightarrow	\Rightarrow	<i>s</i> *	
5	4.31	2.00	1.00	0.00	
4		↑			
т	5.31	3.00	4.00	1.00	
З		↑			Start of 2nd trial
5	5.60	4.00	3.00	2.00	
2		≜			
2	6.96	5.00	4.00	3.00	
1	∽s₀	↑			
T	7.00	6.00	5.00	4.00	
	1	2	3	4	

Motivation	RTDP	LRTDP	
	000000		

5	4.31	⇒ 2.00	\Rightarrow 1.00	● <i>s</i> * 0.00	
4	5.31		4.00	1.00	
3	5.60	↑ 4.00	3.00	2.00	End of 2nd trial
2	6.96	↑ 5.96	4.00	3.00	
1	⇒ ^s 0 7.00		5.00	4.00	
	1	2	3	4	

RTDP	LRTDP	
000000		

F				<i>s</i> *	
5	4.31	2.00	1.00	0.00	
4	5.31	3.00	4.00	↑ 1.00	
	0.01	0.00		A	
3	5.60	4.00	3.00	2.00	Start of 3rd trial
2			↑		
	6.96	5.96	4.00	3.00	
1	∽s₀	\Rightarrow	↑		
T	7.00	6.00	5.00	4.00	
	1	2	3	4	

RTDP	LRTDP	
000000		

5				5 *	
	4.31	2.00	1.00	0.00	
4	5.31	3.00	4.00	↑ 1.60	
3	5.60	4.00	⇒ 3.00	↑ 3.43	End of 3rd trial
2	6.96	5.96	↑ 4.00	3.00	
1	⇒ ^s ₀ 7.00	⇒ 6.00	↑ 5.00	4.00	
	1	2	3	4	

Motivation	RTDP	LRTDP	
	000000		

Б		\Rightarrow	\Rightarrow	s _*	
5	4.31	2.00	1.00	0.00	
4		↑			
•	5.31	3.00	7.92	2.38	
З		↑			End of 16th trial
5	6.18	4.00	5.00	4.80	
2		↑			
2	7.77	6.50	6.00	7.03	
1	\Rightarrow^{s_0}	↑			
T	8.50	7.50	7.00	7.18	
	1	2	3	4	

		Summary
00 000000 000000000 c		

RTDP: Theoretical Properties

Theorem

Using an admissible heuristic, RTDP converges to an optimal solution without (necessarily) computing state-value estimates for all states.

Proof omitted.

N / -		
	ιιν	ation
00		

RTDP 000000 LRTDP •000000000

Labeled Real-time Dynamic Programming

	I DP	LRIDP	Summary
00 C	00000	00000000	00

Motivation

Issues of RTDP:

- States are still updated after state-value estimate has converged.
- No termination criterion \Rightarrow algorithm is underspecified

Most popular algorithm to overcome these shortcomings: Labeled RTDP (Bonet & Geffner, 2003)

	RTDP	LRTDP	
00	000000	00000000	00

Labeled RTDP: Idea

The main idea of Labeled RDTP (LRTDP) is to label states as solved

- Each trial terminates when a solved state is encountered ⇒ solved states no longer updated
- LRTDP terminates when the initial state is labeled as solved ⇒ well-defined termination criterion

RTDP	LRTDP	
	00000000	

Solved States in SSPs

- States are solved if the state-value estimate changes only little
- In presence of cycles, all states in a strongly connected component (SCC) are considered simultaneously
- Labeled RTDP uses sub-algorithm CheckSolved to check whether all states in a SCC are solved

RTDP	LRTDP	
	00000000	

CheckSolved Procedure

- CheckSolved is called on all states that were encountered in a trial in reverse order.
- CheckSolved checks how much the state-value estimates of unlabeled states reachable under the greedy policy would change with another update.
- If this change is below some constant ε for all these states then they are all labeled as solved.
- Otherwise, CheckSolved performs an additional backup for the encountered states, hence improving the state value estimate for at least one of them.

RTDP	LRTDP	
	00000000	



visited: s_0

RTDP	LRTDP	
	000000000	

visited: s_0, s_1



RTDP	LRTDP	
	00000000	

visited: s_0, s_1, s_2



RTDP	LRTDP	
	000000000	



RTDP	LRTDP	
	00000000	



RTDP 000000	LRTDP 0000000000	



RTDP	LRTDP	
	00000000	



RTDP	LRTDP	
	00000000	



RTDP	LRTDP	
	00000000	



RTDP	LRTDP	
	00000000	



RTDP	LRTDP	
	00000000	



RTDP	LRTDP	
	00000000	



RTDP 000000	LRTDP 0000000000	
		-



RTDP 000000	LRTDP 000000000	



RTDP	LRTDP	
	00000000	



RTDP	LRTDP	
	00000000	



RTDP	LRTDP	
	00000000	



RTDP 000000	LRTDP 0000000000	

Labeled Real-time Dynamic Programming

Labeled RTDP for SSP ${\cal T}$

while s_0 is not solved: visit(s_0)

visit state s

```
 \begin{array}{l} \text{if } s \text{ is solved or } s \in S_{\star}: \\ \text{return} \\ \hat{V}(s) := \min_{a \in A(s)} \left( c(a) + \sum_{s' \in S} T(s, a, s') \cdot \hat{V}(s') \right) \\ s' :\sim \operatorname{succ}(s, a_{\hat{V}}(s)) \\ \operatorname{visit}(s') \\ \operatorname{check\_solved}(s) \end{array}
```

 $\hat{V}(s)$ is maintained as a hash table of states. On the right hand side of line 3 or 4 in visit(s), if a state s is not in \hat{V} , h(s) is used.

RTDP	LRTDP	
	0000000000	

Labeled RTDP: CheckSolved

check_solved for state s

```
allsolved := true
open, closed := stack
if s not solved then push s to open
while open is not empty:
     pop s' from open and insert it into closed
     if change of s' > \varepsilon
           allsolved := false
     else push all s'' \in \operatorname{succ}(s', a_{\hat{\mathcal{V}}}(s')) to open that are
           not a goal state, not solved and not in open or closed
if allsolved then label all states in closed as solved
else
```

```
while closed is not empty:
```

pop s' from closed and update its state value

RTDP 000000	LRTDP 0000000000	

Labeled RTDP: Theoretical Properties

Theorem

Using an admissible heuristic, Labeled RTDP converges to an optimal solution without (necessarily) computing state-value estimates for all states.

Proof omitted.



Experimental Results [Bonet and Geffner, ICAPS 2003]



Figure 3: Quality profiles: Average cost to the goal vs. time for RTDP, VI, ILAO* and LRTDP with the heuristic h = 0 and $\epsilon = 10^{-3}$.

algorithm	small-b	large-b	h-track	small-r	large-r	small-s	large-s	small-y	large-y
VI(h = 0)	1.101	4.045	15.451	0.662	5.435	5.896	78.720	16.418	61.773
ILAO* $(h = 0)$	2.568	11.794	43.591	1.114	11.166	12.212	250.739	57.488	182.649
LRTDP(h = 0)	0.885	7.116	15.591	0.431	4.275	3.238	49.312	9.393	34.100

Table 2: Convergence time in seconds for the different algorithms with initial value function h = 0 and $\epsilon = 10^{-3}$. Times for RTDP not shown as they exceed the cutoff time for convergence (10 minutes). Faster times are shown in **bold** font.

algorithm	small-b	large-b	h-track	small-r	large-r	small-s	large-s	small-y	large-y
$VI(h_{min})$	1.317	4.093	12.693	0.737	5.932	6.855	102.946	17.636	66.253
ILAO* (h_{min})	1.161	2.910	11.401	0.309	3.514	0.387	1.055	0.692	1.367
LRTDP(h _{min})	0.521	2.660	7.944	0.187	1.599	0.259	0.653	0.336	0.749

Table 3: Convergence time in seconds for the different algorithms with initial value function $h = h_{min}$ and $\epsilon = 10^{-3}$. Times for RTDP not shown as they exceed the cutoff time for convergence (10 minutes). Faster times are shown in **bold** font.

RTDP	LRTDP	Summary
		•0

Summary

RTDP	LRTDP	Summary
		00

Summary

- Real-time Dynamic Programming is an optimal algorithm for SSPs ...
- ... that backups only a subset of states ...
- ... without generating an explicit representation of the state-space.
- Labeled RTDP labels states as solved to stop updating converged states ...
- ... and speeds up convergence with additional backups in reverse order.