



Planning and Optimiz December 4, 2019 — G2. AO* &	zation 2 LAO*		
G2.1 Heuristic Sear	rch		
G2.2 Motivation			
G2.3 A* with Back	ward Induction		
G2.4 AO*			
G2.5 LAO*			
G2.6 Summary			
M. Helmert, T. Keller (Universität Basel)	Planning and Optimization	December 4, 2019	2 / 43



G2.1 Heuristic Search

M. Helmert, T. Keller (Universität Basel)

December 4, 2019 5 / 43

Heuristic Search

G2. AO* & LAO*

Reminder: Best-first Search

Best-first Search

A best-first search is a heuristic search algorithm that evaluates search nodes with an evaluation function fand always expands a node n with minimal f(n) value.

Planning and Optimization

(From Lecture 15 of the AI course last semester)

AO* & LAO*		Heuris	tic Sear
Reminder: Heuristic	Search		
Heuristic Search Algorit	hms		
Heuristic search algorith to (partially or fully) de	nms use heuristic function termine the order of nod	ns e expansion.	
(From Lecture 15 of the	e AI course last semester)	
Helmert, T. Keller (Universität Basel)	Planning and Optimization	December 4, 2019	6 / 4



(From Lecture 16 of the AI course last semester)

Heuristic Search

<section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><image/></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header>	G2. AO* & LAO*		Heurist	ic Search
M. Hemer, T. Keler (Universit Base)	A* Search (With Re	opening): Example	2	
M. Helmert, T. Keller (Universität Basel) Planning and Optimization December 4, 2019 9 / 43	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	52) ¹⁴		
	M. Helmert, T. Keller (Universität Basel)	Planning and Optimization	December 4, 2019	9 / 43















Outlook

- Equivalent version of A* built on this idea can be derived
 A* with backward induction
- Since change is non-trivial, we focus on A* variant now
- and generalize later to acyclic SSPs (AO*)
- ▶ and SSPs with cycles (LAO^{*})

M. Helmert, T. Keller (Universität Basel)

Planning and Optimization

G2. AO* & LAO*

A* with Backward Induction

17 / 43

December 4, 2019

Transition Systems

A* with backward induction distinguishes three transition systems:

- ► The transition system T = (S, L, c, T, s₀, S^{*}) ⇒ given implicitly
- ► The explicated graph $\hat{\mathcal{T}}_t = \langle \hat{S}_t, L, c, \hat{\mathcal{T}}_t, s_0, S^* \rangle$ ⇒ the part of \mathcal{T} explicitly considered during search
- The partial solution graph $\hat{\mathcal{T}}_t^{\star} = \langle \hat{S}_t^{\star}, L, c, \hat{\mathcal{T}}_t^{\star}, s_0, S^{\star} \rangle$ \Rightarrow The part of $\hat{\mathcal{T}}_t$ that contains best solution



G2.3 A* with Backwa	ard Induction
IVI. Heimert, I. Keller (Universität Basel) Planning and Optim	Ization December 4, 2019 18 / 43

Explicated Graph

G2. AO* & LAO*

G2. AO* & LAO*

► Expanding a state s at time step t explicates all successors s' ∈ succ(s) by adding them to explicated graph:

 $\hat{\mathcal{T}}_t = \langle \hat{S}_{t-1} \cup \mathsf{succ}(s), L, c, \hat{\mathcal{T}}_{t-1} \cup \{ \langle s, \ell, s' \rangle \in T \}, s_0, S^\star \}$

- Each explicated state is annotated with state-value estimate $\hat{V}_t(s)$ that describes estimated cost to a goal at time step t
- ▶ When state s' is explicated and $s' \notin \hat{S}_{t-1}$, its state-value estimate is initialized to $\hat{V}_t(s') := h(s')$
- We call leaf states of $\hat{\mathcal{T}}_t$ fringe states

M. Helmert, T. Keller (Universität Basel)

A* with Backward Induction

G2. AO* & LAO* A* with Backward Induction Partial Solution Graph • The partial solution graph $\hat{\mathcal{T}}_t^{\star}$ is the subgraph of $\hat{\mathcal{T}}_t$ that is spanned by the smallest set of states \hat{S}_t^{\star} that satisfies: $\begin{array}{l} \bullet \quad s_0 \in \hat{S}_t^{\star} \\ \bullet \quad \text{if } s \in \hat{S}_t^{\star}, \ s' \in \hat{S}_t \ \text{and} \ \langle s, a_{\hat{V}_t(s)}(s), s' \rangle \in \hat{T}_t, \ \text{then } s' \ \text{in } \hat{S}_t^{\star} \end{array}$ ► The partial solution graph forms a sequence of states $\langle s_0, \ldots, s_n \rangle$, starting with the initial state s_0 and ending in the greedy fringe state s_n

M. Helmert, T. Keller (Universität Basel)

December 4, 2019 21 / 43



Planning and Optimization

G2. AO* & LAO*

Backward Induction

- ► A^{*} with backward induction does not maintain static open list
- State-value estimates determine partial solution graph
- Partial solution graph determines which state is expanded
- \triangleright (Some) state-value estimates are updated in time step t by backward induction:

Planning and Optimization

$$\hat{\mathcal{V}}_t(s) = \min_{\langle s,\ell,s'
angle \in \hat{\mathcal{T}}_t(s)} \left(c(\ell) + \hat{\mathcal{V}}_t(s')
ight)$$

M. Helmert, T. Keller (Universität Basel)

December 4, 2019 22 / 43















G2. AO* & LAO*

Equivalence of A* and A* with Backward Induction

Theorem

A^{*} and A^{*} with Backward Induction expand the same set of states if run with identical admissible heuristic h and identical tie-breaking criterion.

Proof Sketch.

The proof shows that

- the fringe states of the explicated graph A* with backward induction correspond to the states in the open list of A*
- the *f*-value of the greedy fringe state of A* with backward induction is minimal among all fringe states

Planning and Optimization

M. Helmert, T. Keller (Universität Basel)

December 4, 2019



30 / 43

G2. A0)* & LAO*			AO*
A	0*			
	AO* for acyclic SSP ${\cal T}$			
	explicate <i>s</i> 0			
	while there is a greedy select a greedy fringexpand s perform Bellman barreturn $\hat{\mathcal{T}}_t^{\star}$	fringe state not in S_\star : ge state $s otin S_\star$ ackups of states in $\hat{\mathcal{T}}_{t-1}^\star$	in reverse order	
M. Hel	mert, T. Keller (Universität Basel)	Planning and Optimization	December 4, 2019	33 / 43
G2. AC)* & LAO*			AO*



Theoretical properties

Theorem

Using an admissible heuristic, AO* converges to an optimal solution without (necessarily) explicating all states.

Proof omitted.







40 / 43

Theoretical properties

Theorem

Using an admissible heuristic, LAO^{*} converges to an optimal solution without (necessarily) explicating all states.

Proof omitted.

M. Helmert, T. Keller (Universität Basel)

December 4, 2019

G2. A0* & LAO*
Summary
Non-trivial to generalize A* to probabilistic planning
For better understanding of AO*, we change A* towards AO*
Derived A* with backward induction, which is similar to AO*
and expands identical states as A*
AO* finds optimal solutions for acyclic SSPs
LAO* finds optimal solutions for SSPs

Planning and Optimization

G2. AO* & LAO*

G2.6 Summary

M. Helmert, T. Keller (Universität Basel)

Planning and Optimization

December 4, 2019 42 / 43

Summarv



LAO*

41 / 43