









G1. Factored MDPs



Planning and Optimization

G1. Factored MDPs

Factored MDPs

We would like to specify MDPs and SSPs with large state spaces. In classical planning, we introduced planning tasks to represent large transition systems compactly.

- represent aspects of the world in terms of state variables
- states are a valuation of state variables
- *n* state variables induce 2^n states
 - \rightsquigarrow exponentially more compact than "explicit" representation

Planning and Optimization

M. Helmert, T. Keller (Universität Basel)

December 4, 2019 6 / 34



```
December 4, 2019 7 / 34
```

Factored MDPs



Factored MDPs

Factored MDPs

11 / 34

Syntax of Effects

10 / 34

Effects: Intuition

G1. Factored MDPs

Intuition for effects:

- Atomic effects can be understood as assignments that update the value of a state variable.
- A conjunctive effect $e = (e_1 \land \dots \land e_n)$ means that all subeffects e_1, \ldots, e_n take place simultaneously.
- A probabilistic effect $e = (p_1 : e_1 | \dots | p_n : e_n)$ means that exactly one subeffect $e_i \in \{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$ takes place with probability p_i.

Planning and Optimization

M. Helmert, T. Keller (Universität Basel)

December 4, 2019

G1. Factored MDPs Factored MDPs Semantics of Operators Definition (Applicable, Outcomes) Let V be a set of finite-domain state variables. Let s be a state over V, and let o be an operator over V. Operator *o* is applicable in *s* if $s \models pre(o)$. The outcomes of applying an operator o in s, written s[o], are $s\llbracket o\rrbracket = \biguplus_{\langle p,w\rangle \in [eff(o)]} \{\langle p, s'_w \rangle\},$ with $s'_w(v) = d$ if $v = d \in w$ and $s'_w(v) = s(v)$ otherwise and [+] is like [] but merges $\langle p, s' \rangle$ and $\langle p', s' \rangle$ to $\langle p + p', s' \rangle$. Planning and Optimization December 4, 2019

Definition (Effect) Effects over state variables V are inductively defined as follows: • If $v \in V$ is a finite-domain state variable and $d \in \text{dom}(v)$, then v := d is an effect (atomic effect). • If e_1, \ldots, e_n are effects, then $(e_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_n)$ is an effect (conjunctive effect). The special case with n = 0 is the empty effect \top . • If e_1, \ldots, e_n are effects and $p_1, \ldots, p_n \in [0, 1]$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i = 1$, then $(p_1 : e_1 | \dots | p_n : e_n)$ is an effect (probabilistic effect). Note: To simplify definitions, conditional effects are omitted. M. Helmert, T. Keller (Universität Basel) Planning and Optimization December 4, 2019 9 / 34

G1. Factored MDPs

Semantics of Effects

Definition

The effect set [e] of an effect e is a set of pairs $\langle p, w \rangle$, where p is a probability 0 and w is a partial assignment. The effectset [e] is the set obtained recursively as

$$\begin{split} [v := d] &= \{ \langle 1.0, \{ v \mapsto d \} \rangle \}, \\ [e \wedge e'] &= \biguplus_{\langle p, w \rangle \in [e]} \biguplus_{\langle p', w' \rangle \in [e']} \{ \langle p \cdot p', w \cup w' \rangle \}, \\ [p_1 : e_1 | \dots | p_n : e_n] &= \biguplus_{i=1}^n \{ \langle p_i \cdot p, w \rangle \mid \langle p, w \rangle \in [e_i] \}. \end{split}$$

where \biguplus is like \bigcup but merges $\langle p, w' \rangle$ and $\langle p', w' \rangle$ to $\langle p + p', w' \rangle$

Planning and Optimization





A reward over state variables V is inductively defined as follows:

- $c \in \mathbb{R}$ is a reward
- If χ is a propositional formula over V, $[\chi]$ is a reward
- ▶ If r and r' are rewards, r + r', r r', $r \cdot r'$ and $\frac{r}{r'}$ are rewards

Applying an MDP operator o in s induces reward reward(o)(s), i.e., the value of the arithmetic function reward(o) where all occurrences of $v \in V$ are replaced with s(v).

Planning and Optimization

M. Helmert, T. Keller (Universität Basel)

December 4, 2019 13 / 34

Probabilistic Planning Tasks

G1. Factored MDPs

Probabilistic Planning Tasks Definition (SSP and MDP Planning Task) An SSP planning task is a 4-tuple $\Pi = \langle V, I, O, \gamma \rangle$ where • V is a finite set of finite-domain state variables, • I is a valuation over V called the initial state, • O is a finite set of SSP operators over V, and • γ is a formula over V called the goal. An MDP planning task is a 4-tuple $\Pi = \langle V, I, O, d \rangle$ where • V is a finite set of finite-domain state variables, • I is a valuation over V called the initial state, • O is a finite set of MDP operators over V, and • $d \in (0, 1)$ is the discount factor. A probabilistic planning task is an SSP or MDP planning task.

Planning and Optimization

15 / 34



M. Helmert, T. Keller (Universität Basel

G1. Factored MDPs

December 4, 2019

14 / 34



Planning and Optimization

Planning and Optimization



G1. Factored MDPs Complexity of Probabilistic Planning





The number of states in an SSP planning task is exponential in the number of variables. The induced SSP can be solved in time polynomial in $|S| \cdot |L|$ via linear programming and hence in time exponential in the input size.

Complexity



EXP-completeness of Probabilistic Planning

Theorem

POLICYEX *is* EXP*-complete*.

Proof Sketch.

Membership for POLICYEX: see previous slide.

Hardness is shown by Littman (1997) by reducing the EXP-complete game G_4 to POLICYEX.

M. Helmert, T. Keller (Universität Basel)

December 4, 2019

Complexity

21 / 34



Planning and Optimization

G1.4 Estimated Policy Evaluation M. Helmert, T. Keller (Universität Basel) Planning and Optimization December 4, 2019 22 / 34

G1. Factored MDPs



Estimated Policy Evaluation









M. Helmert, T. Keller (Universität Basel)

Planning and Optimization



Estimated Policy Evaluation

Executing a Policy

Definition (Run in SSP) Let \mathcal{T} be an SSP and π be a proper policy for \mathcal{T} . A sequence of transitions

$$\rho_{\pi} = s_0 \xrightarrow{p_1:\pi(s_0)} s_1, \dots, s_{n-1} \xrightarrow{p_n:\pi(s_{n-1})} s_n$$

Planning and Optimization

is a run ρ_{π} of π if $s_{i+1} \sim s_i \llbracket \pi(s_i) \rrbracket$ and $s_n \in S_{\star}$. The cost of run ρ_{π} is $cost(\rho_{\pi}) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} cost(\pi(s_i))$.

A run in an SSP can easily be generated by executing π from s_0 until a state $s \in S_+$ is encountered.

M. Helmert, T. Keller (Universität Basel)

December 4, 2019 29 / 34

Estimated Policy Evaluation

G1. Factored MDPs

Estimated Policy Evaluation Definition (Estimated Policy Evaluation) Let \mathcal{T} be an SSP, π be a policy for \mathcal{T} and $\langle \rho_{\pi}^{1}, \ldots, \rho_{\pi}^{n} \rangle$ be a sequence of runs of π . The estimated quality of π via estimated policy evaluation is $ilde{V}_{\pi} := rac{1}{n} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n} cost(
ho^{i}_{\pi}).$

Planning and Optimization

G1. Factored MDPs

Executing a Policy

is a run ρ_{π} of π if $s_{i+1} \sim s_i [\pi(s_i)]$. The reward of run ρ_{π} is reward $(\rho_{\pi}) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \gamma^i \cdot reward(s_i, \pi(s_i))$.

M. Helmert, T. Keller (Universität Basel)

December 4, 2019 30 / 34

Estimated Policy Evaluation

Estimated Policy Evaluation



Convergence of Estimated Policy Evaluation in SSPs

Planning and Optimization

Theorem

Let \mathcal{T} be an SSP, π be a policy for \mathcal{T} and $\langle \rho_{\pi}^{1}, \ldots, \rho_{\pi}^{n} \rangle$ be a sequence of runs of π . Then $\tilde{V}_{\pi} \to V_{\pi}(s_0)$ for $n \to \infty$.

Proof.

Holds due to the strong law of large numbers.

 $\Rightarrow \tilde{V}_{\pi}$ is a good approximation of $v_{\pi}(s_0)$ if *n* sufficiently large.

Planning and Optimization

M. Helmert, T. Keller (Universität Basel)

