













E9. Operator Counting

Reminder: Flow Heuristic

In the previous chapter, we used flow constraints to describe how often operators must be used in each plan.

Example (Flow Constraints)

Let Π be a planning problem with operators $\{o_{red}, o_{green}, o_{blue}\}$. The flow constraint for some atom *a* is the constraint



M. Helmert, T. Keller (Universität Basel)

Planning and Optimization

November 25, 2019 6 / 26



E9. Operator Counting

Operator-counting Framework

Operator Counting

Operator-counting Constraint

E9. Operator Counting

Operator counting

- generalizes this idea to a framework that allows to admissibly combine different heuristics.
- uses linear constraints ...
- ... that describe number of occurrences of an operator ...
- ▶ ... and must be satisfied by every plan.
- provides declarative way to describe knowledge about solutions.
- allows reasoning about solutions to derive heuristic estimates.

Planning and Optimization

M. Helmert, T. Keller (Universität Basel)

November 25, 2019 9 / 26

11 / 26

E9. Operator Counting Operator-counting Framework **Operator-counting Heuristics** Definition (Operator-counting IP/LP Heuristic) The operator-counting integer program IP_C for a set C of operator-counting constraints for state s is $\mathsf{Minimize} \quad \sum_{o \in O} \mathit{cost}(o) \cdot \mathsf{Count}_o \quad \mathsf{subject to}$ C and Count_o > 0 for all $o \in O$. where O is the set of operators. The IP heuristic h_C^{IP} is the objective value of IP_C, the LP heuristic h_C^{LP} is the objective value of its LP-relaxation. If the IP/LP is infeasible, the heuristic estimate is ∞ . M. Helmert, T. Keller (Universität Basel) Planning and Optimization November 25, 2019









Operator-counting Framework Operator Counting with Post-hoc Optimization Constraints For set of abstractions $\{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n\}$: Variables Non-negative variables $Count_o$ for all operators $o \in O$ $Count_o \cdot cost(o)$ is cost incurred by operator o Objective Minimize $\sum_{o \in O} cost(o) \cdot Count_o$ Subject to $\sum_{o \in O:o \text{ affects } \mathcal{T}^{\alpha}} cost(o) \cdot Count_o \geq h^{\alpha}(s) \quad \text{for } \alpha \in \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ $cost(o) \cdot Count_o \ge 0$ for all $o \in O$

M. Helmert, T. Keller (Universität Basel)

Planning and Optimization

Operator-counting Framework







Admissibility

Theorem (Operator-counting Heuristics are Admissible)

The IP and the IP heuristic are admissible.

Proof.

Let *C* be a set of operator-counting constraints for state *s* and π be an optimal plan for *s*. The number of operator occurrences of π are a feasible solution for *C*. As the IP/LP minimizes the total plan cost, the objective value cannot exceed the cost of π and is therefore an admissible estimate.

M. Helmert, T. Keller (Universität Basel)

Planning and Optimization

E9. Operator Counting

Properties

Dominance

Theorem

Let C and C' be sets of operator-counting constraints for s and let $C \subseteq C'$. Then $IP_C \leq IP_{C'}$ and $LP_C \leq LP_{C'}$.

Proof.

Every feasible solution of C' is also feasible for C. As the LP/IP is a minimization problem, the objective value subject to C can therefore not be larger than the one subject to C'.

Adding more constraints can only improve the heuristic estimate.

Planning and Optimization

M. Helmert, T. Keller (Universität Basel)

November 25, 2019

21 / 26

Properties

E9. Operator Counting

Connection to Cost Partitioning

Theorem

Let C_1, \ldots, C_n be sets of operator-counting constraints for *s* and $C = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} C_i$. Then h_C^{LP} is the optimal general cost partitioning over the heuristics h_C^{LP} .

Proof Sketch.

In LP_C, add variables Count^{*i*}_o and constraints Count_o = Count^{*i*}_o for all operators o and $1 \le i \le n$. Then replace Count_o by Count^{*i*}_o in C_{*i*}.

Dualizing the resulting LP shows that $h_{\mathcal{C}}^{\text{LP}}$ computes a cost partitioning. Dualizing the component heuristics of that cost partitioning shows that they are $h_{\mathcal{C}}^{\text{LP}}$.

Planning and Optimization

23 / 26





M. Helmert, T. Keller (Universität Basel)

