



| Planning and Optim November 28, 2018 — F5. De | ization terminization | | |
|--|---------------------------|-------------------|--------|
| F5.1 Suboptimal Probabilistic Planning | | | |
| F5.2 Estimated Policy Evaluation | | | |
| F5.3 Determinization | | | |
| F5.4 Summary | | | |
| | | | |
| G. Röger, T. Keller (Universität Basel) | Planning and Optimization | November 28, 2018 | 2 / 30 |



G. Röger, T. Keller (Universität Basel)

F5. Determinization

Planning and Optimization

Suboptimal Probabilistic Planning



Interleaved Planning & Execution in Practice

- + avoid loss of precision that often comes with compact description of executable policy
- + do not waste time with planning for states that are never reached during execution
- poor decisions can be avoided by spending more time with deliberation before execution
- in SSPs, this can even mean that computed policy is not proper and execution never reaches the goal

Planning and Optimization

G. Röger, T. Keller (Universität Basel)

November 28, 2018

F5. Determinization



F5.2 Estimated Policy Evaluation

Estimated Policy Evaluation

F5. Determinization

F5. Determinization

- Estimate quality of policy π
- Execute π for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ times
- Let ρⁱ_π denote the accumulated cost (SSP) or reward (FH-MDP) of the *i*-th run (execution) of π. Then use

$$ilde{V}_{\pi} := rac{1}{n} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n
ho^i_{\pi}$$

as quality estimate.

With strong law of large numbers we have

$$ilde{V}_{\pi} o V_{\pi}(extsf{s}_0)$$
 for $extsf{n} o \infty$

► Good approximation if *n* sufficiently large

9 / 30

Estimated Policy Evaluation

Planning and Optimization

Estimated Policy Evaluation

Estimated Policy Evaluation





















F5. Determinization Determinization: Weaknesses Important parts of the MDP can become unreachable $t = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} +$







F5. Determinization Determinizations: Weaknesses Example Consider the operator $o = \langle \top, (p_{11} : v_1 | p_{12} : \neg v_1) \land \cdots \land (p_{n1} : v_n | p_{n2} : \neg v_n), 1 \rangle$ of a planning task with set of variables $V = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$. All states in the set of states S over V are possible outcomes of o, and the number of deterministic operators in the all-outcomes determinization is hence 2ⁿ. P5. Determinizations: Weaknesses
Single-outcome determinizations: important parts of state space can become unreachable \$\Rightarrow\$ poor policy or unsolvable
All-outcomes determinization: utterly optimistic
All-outcomes determinization: number of outcomes can be exponential in the number of parallel probabilistic effects Note: Unlike the previous, this is a problem on the syntactic level

Determinizations in Practice

F5. Determinization

Despite the inherent weaknesses, determinizations have been used successfully in practice. Consider the winners of all probabilistic tracks of the International Planning Competition:

- 2004: FF-Replan (Yoon, Fern & Givan) interleaves planning & execution of plan in determinization
- 2006: FPG (Buffet & Aberdeen) learns a policy utilizing FF-Replan
- 2008: RFF (Teichteil-Königsbuch, Infantes & Kuter) extends determinization-based plan to policy
- 2011 and 2014: PROST-2011 (Keller & Eyerich) and PROST-2014 (Keller & Geißer) use determinization-based lookahead heuristic
- 2018: PROST-DD (Geißer & Speck) use BDD representation of determinization as heuristic

G. Röger, T. Keller (Universität Basel)

Determinization

