

# Foundations of Artificial Intelligence

## D6. Constraint Satisfaction Problems: Path Consistency

Malte Helmert

University of Basel

April 13, 2026

# Foundations of Artificial Intelligence

April 13, 2026 — D6. Constraint Satisfaction Problems: Path Consistency

D6.1 Beyond Arc Consistency

D6.2 Path Consistency

D6.3 Summary

# Constraint Satisfaction Problems: Overview

## Chapter overview: constraint satisfaction problems

- ▶ D1–D2. Introduction
- ▶ D3–D6. Basic Algorithms
  - ▶ D3. Backtracking
  - ▶ D4. Inference and Forward Checking
  - ▶ D5. Arc Consistency
  - ▶ D6. Path Consistency
- ▶ D7–D8. Problem Structure

# D6.1 Beyond Arc Consistency

# Beyond Arc Consistency: Path Consistency

idea of arc consistency:

- ▶ For every assignment to a variable  $u$  there must be a suitable assignment to every other variable  $v$ .
- ▶ If not: remove values of  $u$  for which no suitable “partner” assignment to  $v$  exists.

↪ tighter **unary constraint** on  $u$

This idea can be extended to three variables (**path consistency**):

- ▶ For every joint assignment to variables  $u, v$  there must be a suitable assignment to every third variable  $w$ .
- ▶ If not: remove pairs of values of  $u$  and  $v$  for which no suitable “partner” assignment to  $w$  exists.

↪ tighter **binary constraint** on  $u$  and  $v$

**German:** Pfadkonsistenz

## Beyond Arc Consistency: $i$ -Consistency

general concept of  $i$ -consistency for  $i \geq 2$ :

- ▶ For every joint assignment to variables  $v_1, \dots, v_{i-1}$  there must be a suitable assignment to every  $i$ -th variable  $v_i$ .
  - ▶ If not: remove value tuples of  $v_1, \dots, v_{i-1}$  for which no suitable “partner” assignment for  $v_i$  exists.
- ↪ tighter  $(i - 1)$ -ary constraint on  $v_1, \dots, v_{i-1}$
- ▶ 2-consistency = arc consistency
  - ▶ 3-consistency = path consistency (\*)

We do not consider general  $i$ -consistency further as larger values than  $i = 3$  are rarely used and we restrict ourselves to binary constraints in this course.

(\*) usual definitions of 3-consistency vs. path consistency differ when ternary constraints are allowed

## D6.2 Path Consistency

# Path Consistency: Definition

## Definition (path consistent)

Let  $\mathcal{C} = \langle V, \text{dom}, (R_{uv}) \rangle$  be a constraint network.

- 1 Two different variables  $u, v \in V$  are **path consistent** with respect to a third variable  $w \in V$  if for all values  $d_u \in \text{dom}(u)$ ,  $d_v \in \text{dom}(v)$  with  $\langle d_u, d_v \rangle \in R_{uv}$  there is a value  $d_w \in \text{dom}(w)$  with  $\langle d_u, d_w \rangle \in R_{uw}$  and  $\langle d_v, d_w \rangle \in R_{vw}$ .
- 2 The constraint network  $\mathcal{C}$  is **path consistent** if for all triples of different variables  $u, v, w$ , the variables  $u$  and  $v$  are path consistent with respect to  $w$ .

# Path Consistency on Running Example

## Running Example

$$R_{wz} = \{\langle 1, 2 \rangle, \langle 1, 3 \rangle, \langle 2, 3 \rangle\}$$

$$R_{yz} = \{\langle 2, 1 \rangle, \langle 3, 1 \rangle, \langle 3, 2 \rangle, \langle 4, 1 \rangle, \langle 4, 2 \rangle, \langle 4, 3 \rangle\}$$

$$R_{wy} = \{\langle 1, 1 \rangle, \langle 1, 2 \rangle, \langle 1, 3 \rangle, \langle 1, 4 \rangle, \\ \langle 2, 1 \rangle, \langle 2, 2 \rangle, \langle 2, 3 \rangle, \langle 2, 4 \rangle, \\ \langle 3, 1 \rangle, \langle 3, 2 \rangle, \langle 3, 3 \rangle, \langle 3, 4 \rangle, \\ \langle 4, 1 \rangle, \langle 4, 2 \rangle, \langle 4, 3 \rangle, \langle 4, 4 \rangle\}$$

Are  $w$  and  $y$  path consistent with respect to  $z$ ? **No!**

# Path Consistency on Running Example

## Running Example

$$R_{wz} = \{\langle 1, 2 \rangle, \langle 1, 3 \rangle, \langle 2, 3 \rangle\}$$

$$R_{yz} = \{\langle 2, 1 \rangle, \langle 3, 1 \rangle, \langle 3, 2 \rangle, \langle 4, 1 \rangle, \langle 4, 2 \rangle, \langle 4, 3 \rangle\}$$


$$R_{wy} = \{\langle 1, 3 \rangle, \langle 1, 4 \rangle, \langle 2, 4 \rangle\}$$

Are  $w$  and  $y$  path consistent with respect to  $z$ ? **Yes!**


# Path Consistency: Remarks

remarks:

- ▶ Even if the constraint  $R_{uv}$  is trivial, path consistency can infer nontrivial constraints between  $u$  and  $v$ .
- ▶ name “path consistency”:  
path  $u \rightarrow w \rightarrow v$  leads to new information on  $u \rightarrow v$



# Path Consistency: Example



arc consistent, but not path consistent

## Processing Variable Triples: revise-3

analogous to **revise** for arc consistency:

**function** `revise-3`( $\mathcal{C}, u, v, w$ ):

$\langle V, \text{dom}, (R_{uv}) \rangle := \mathcal{C}$

**for each**  $\langle d_u, d_v \rangle \in R_{uv}$ :

**if** there is no  $d_w \in \text{dom}(w)$  with

$\langle d_u, d_w \rangle \in R_{uw}$  **and**  $\langle d_v, d_w \rangle \in R_{vw}$ :

**remove**  $\langle d_u, d_v \rangle$  from  $R_{uv}$

**input:** constraint network  $\mathcal{C}$  and three variables  $u, v, w$  of  $\mathcal{C}$

**effect:**  $u, v$  path consistent with respect to  $w$ .

All violating pairs are removed from  $R_{uv}$ .

**time complexity:**  $O(k^3)$  where  $k$  is maximal domain size

## Enforcing Path Consistency: PC-2

analogous to AC-3 for arc consistency:

**function** PC-2( $\mathcal{C}$ ):

$\langle V, \text{dom}, (R_{uv}) \rangle := \mathcal{C}$

*queue* :=  $\emptyset$

**for each** set of two variables  $\{u, v\}$ :

**for each**  $w \in V \setminus \{u, v\}$ :

        insert  $\langle u, v, w \rangle$  into *queue*

**while** *queue*  $\neq \emptyset$ :

    remove any element  $\langle u, v, w \rangle$  from *queue*

    revise-3( $\mathcal{C}, u, v, w$ )

**if**  $R_{uv}$  changed in the call to revise-3:

**for each**  $w' \in V \setminus \{u, v\}$ :

            insert  $\langle w', u, v \rangle$  into *queue*

            insert  $\langle w', v, u \rangle$  into *queue*

## PC-2: Discussion

The comments for AC-3 hold analogously.

- ▶ PC-2 enforces path consistency
- ▶ **proof idea:** invariant of the **while** loop:  
if  $\langle u, v, w \rangle \notin \text{queue}$ , then  $u, v$  path consistent  
with respect to  $w$
- ▶ time complexity  $O(n^3 k^5)$  for  $n$  variables and maximal domain  
size  $k$  (**Why?**)

## D6.3 Summary

# Summary

- ▶ generalization of  
arc consistency (considers pairs of variables)  
to path consistency (considers triples of variables)  
and  $i$ -consistency (considers  $i$ -tuples of variables)
- ▶ arc consistency tightens unary constraints
- ▶ path consistency tightens binary constraints
- ▶  $i$ -consistency tightens  $(i - 1)$ -ary constraints
- ▶ higher levels of consistency more powerful  
but more expensive than arc consistency