Foundations of Artificial Intelligence

B8. State-Space Search: Depth-first Search & Iterative Deepening

Malte Helmert

University of Basel

March 16, 2026

B8.1 Depth-first Search

B8.2 Iterative Deepening

B8.3 Summary

State-Space Search: Overview

Chapter overview: state-space search

- B1–B3. Foundations
- B4–B8. Basic Algorithms
  - B4. Data Structures for Search Algorithms
  - B5. Tree Search and Graph Search
  - B6. Breadth-first Search
  - B7. Uniform Cost Search
  - B8. Depth-first Search and Iterative Deepening
- B9–B15. Heuristic Algorithms

B8.1 Depth-first Search

Idea of Depth-first Search

depth-first search:

- expands nodes in opposite order of generation (LIFO)
- open list implemented as stack

⇝ deepest node expanded first

German: Tiefensuche

Depth-first Search Example

open: [next: 1]

Depth-first Search Example



open: [next: 1 2]

Depth-first Search Example



open: [next: 1 4 3]

Depth-first Search Example



open: [1 4 9 4] (next)

Depth-first Search Example



open: [1 4 9 6 5] (next)

Depth-first Search Example



open: [1 4 9 6 5 6] (next)

Depth-first Search Example



open: [1 4 9 6 5]

Depth-first Search Example



open: [1 4 9 6 5]

Depth-first Search: Some Properties

- almost always implemented as a tree search (we will see why)
- not complete, not semi-complete, not optimal (Why?)
- complete for acyclic state spaces,
  e.g., if state space directed tree

Reminder: Generic Tree Search Algorithm

reminder from Chapter B5:

Generic Tree Search

```
open := new OpenList
open.insert(make_root_node())
while not open.is_empty():
    n := open.pop()
    if is_goal(n.state):
        return extract_path(n)
    for each ⟨a, s'⟩ ∈ succ(n.state):
        n' := make_node(n, a, s')
        open.insert(n')
return unsolvable
```


Depth-first Search (Non-recursive Version)

depth-first search (non-recursive version):

Depth-first Search (Non-recursive Version)

```
open := new Stack
open.push_back(make_root_node())
while not open.is_empty():
    n := open.pop_back()
    if is_goal(n.state):
        return extract_path(n)
    for each ⟨a, s'⟩ ∈ succ(n.state):
        n' := make_node(n, a, s')
        open.push_back(n')
return unsolvable
```

Non-recursive Depth-first Search: Discussion

discussion:

- there isn't much wrong with this pseudo-code
  (as long as we ensure to release nodes that are no longer required when using programming languages without garbage collection)
- however, depth-first search as a recursive algorithm is simpler and more efficient

⇝ CPU stack as implicit open list

⇝ no search node data structure needed

Depth-first Search (Recursive Version)

```
function depth_first_search(s)
if is_goal(s):
    return ⟨⟩
for each ⟨a, s'⟩ ∈ succ(s):
    solution := depth_first_search(s')
    if solution ≠ none:
        solution.push_front(a)
        return solution
return none
```

main function:

```
Depth-first Search (Recursive Version)
return depth_first_search(init())
```


Depth-first Search: Complexity

time complexity:

- If the state space includes paths of length m, depth-first search can generate $O(b^m)$ nodes, even if much shorter solutions (e.g., of length 1) exist.
- On the other hand: in the best case, solutions of length ℓ can be found with $O(b\ell)$ generated nodes. (Why?)
- improvable to $O(\ell)$ with incremental successor generation

space complexity:

- only need to store nodes along currently explored path ("along": nodes on path and their children)

⇝ space complexity $O(bm)$ if m maximal search depth reached

- low memory complexity main reason why depth-first search interesting despite its disadvantages

B8.2 Iterative Deepening

Idea of Depth-limited Search

depth-limited search:

- parameterized with depth limit $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0$
- behaves like depth-first search, but prunes (does not expand) search nodes at depth ℓ
- not very useful on its own, but important ingredient of more useful algorithms

German: tiefenbeschränkte Suche

Depth-limited Search Example

Consider depth limit $\ell = 2$.



Depth-limited Search: Pseudo-Code

```
function depth_limited_search(s, depth_limit):
if is_goal(s):
    return ⟨⟩
if depth_limit > 0:
    for each ⟨a, s'⟩ ∈ succ(s):
        solution := depth_limited_search(s', depth_limit − 1)
        if solution ≠ none:
            solution.push_front(a)
            return solution
return none
```


Iterative Deepening Depth-first Search

iterative deepening depth-first search (iterative deepening DFS):

- idea: perform a sequence of depth-limited searches with increasing depth limit
- sounds wasteful (each iteration repeats all the useful work of all previous iterations)
- in fact overhead acceptable ($\rightsquigarrow$ analysis follows)

Iterative Deepening DFS

```
for depth_limit ∈ {0, 1, 2, ...}:
    solution := depth_limited_search(init(), depth_limit)
    if solution ≠ none:
        return solution
```

German: iterative Tiefensuche

Example

depth limit: 0 generated nodes: 1



Example

depth limit: 1 generated nodes: 1+3



Example

depth limit: 2 generated nodes: 1+3+7



Example

depth limit: 3 generated nodes: 1+3+7+9=20



Iterative Deepening DFS: Properties

combines advantages of breadth-first and depth-first search:

- (almost) like BFS: semi-complete (however, not complete)
- like BFS: optimal if all actions have same cost
- like DFS: only need to store nodes along one path
  $\rightsquigarrow$ space complexity $O(bd)$, where d minimal solution length
- time complexity only slightly higher than BFS
  ($\rightsquigarrow$ analysis soon)

Iterative Deepening DFS: Complexity Example

time complexity (generated nodes):

breadth-first search	$1 + b + b^2 + \cdots + b^{d-1} + b^d$
iterative deepening DFS	$(d+1) + db + (d-1)b^2 + \cdots + 2b^{d-1} + 1b^d$

example: $b = 10$, $d = 5$

breadth-first search	$1 + 10 + 100 + 1000 + 10000 + 100000$ $= 111111$
iterative deepening DFS	$6 + 50 + 400 + 3000 + 20000 + 100000$ $= 123456$

for $b = 10$, only 11% more nodes than breadth-first search

Iterative Deepening DFS: Time Complexity

Theorem (time complextive of iterative deepening DFS)
Let b be the branching factor and d be the minimal solution length of the given state space. Let $b \geq 2$.

Then the time complexity of iterative deepening DFS is

$$(d+1) + db + (d-1)b^2 + (d-2)b^3 + \cdots + 1b^d = O(b^d)$$

and the memory complexity is

$$O(bd).$$

Iterative Deepening DFS: Evaluation

Iterative Deepening DFS: Evaluation
Iterative Deepening DFS is often the method of choice if

- tree search is adequate (no duplicate elimination necessary),
- all action costs are identical, and
- the solution depth is unknown.

B8.3 Summary

Summary

depth-first search: expand nodes in LIFO order

- usually as a tree search
- easy to implement recursively
- very memory-efficient
- can be combined with iterative deepening to combine many of the good aspects of breadth-first and depth-first search

Comparison of Blind Search Algorithms

completeness, optimality, time and space complexity

	search algorithm				
criterion	breadth-first	uniform cost	depth-first	depth-limited	iterative deepening
complete?	yes*	yes	no	no	semi
optimal?	yes**	yes	no	no	yes**
time	$O(b^d)$	$O(b^{\lfloor c^*/\varepsilon \rfloor + 1})$	$O(b^m)$	$O(b^\ell)$	$O(b^d)$
space	$O(b^d)$	$O(b^{\lfloor c^*/\varepsilon \rfloor + 1})$	$O(bm)$	$O(b\ell)$	$O(bd)$

$b \geq 2$ branching factor
d minimal solution depth
m maximal search depth
ℓ depth limit
c^* optimal solution cost
$\varepsilon > 0$ minimal action cost

remarks:
* for BFS-Tree: semi-complete
** only with uniform action costs