Theory of Computer Science B2. Regular Grammars: ε-Rules

Gabriele Röger

University of Basel

March 3, 2025

Theory of Computer Science March 3, 2025 — B2. Regular Grammars: ε-Rules

### B2.1 Recap

### **B2.2 Epsilon Rules**

### Content of the Course



# B2.1 Recap

# Recap: Regular Grammars



Rule  $X \to \varepsilon$  is only allowed if X = S and S never occurs in the right-hand side of a rule.

How restrictive is this? If we don't restrict the usage of  $\varepsilon$  as right-hand side of a rule, what does this change?

B2. Regular Grammars: ε-Rules

Recap

Question (Slido)

With a regular grammar, how many steps does it take to derive a non-empty word (over  $\Sigma$ ) from the start variable?



## Recap: Regular Languages

### A language is regular if it is generated by some regular grammar.

Definition (Regular Language) A language  $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$  is regular if there exists a regular grammar G with  $\mathcal{L}(G) = L$ .

# **B2.2 Epsilon Rules**

### Recap: Regular Grammars



Rule  $X \to \varepsilon$  is only allowed if X = S and S never occurs in the right-hand side of a rule.

How restrictive is this? If we don't restrict the usage of  $\varepsilon$  as right-hand side of a rule, what does this change?

### Recap: Regular Grammars



Rule  $X \to \varepsilon$  is only allowed if X = S and S never occurs in the right-hand side of a rule.

How restrictive is this? If we don't restrict the usage of  $\varepsilon$  as right-hand side of a rule, what does this change?

### Our Plan

### We are going to show that every grammar with rules

$$R \subseteq V \times (\Sigma \cup \Sigma V \cup \{\varepsilon\})$$

generates a regular language.

### Question

This is much simpler! Why don't we define regular languages via such grammars?



Picture courtesy of imagerymajestic / FreeDigitalPhotos.net

Epsilon Rules

Question

Both variants (restricting the occurrence of  $\varepsilon$  on the right-hand side of rules or not) characterize exactly the regular languages.



### In the following situations, which variant would you prefer?

- You want to prove something for all regular languages.
- You want to specify a grammar to establish that a certain language is regular.
- You want to write an algorithm that takes a grammar for a regular language as input.

### Our Plan

We are going to show that every grammar with rules

 $R \subseteq V \times (\Sigma \cup \Sigma V \cup \{\varepsilon\})$ 

generates a regular language.

- The proof will be constructive, i. e. it will tell us how to construct a regular grammar for a language that is given by such a more general grammar.
- Two steps:
  - Eliminate the start variable from the right-hand side of rules.
  - 2 Eliminate forbidden occurrences of  $\varepsilon$ .

### Start Variable in Right-Hand Side of Rules

For every type-0 language L there is a grammar where the start variable does not occur on the right-hand side of any rule.

Theorem For every grammar  $G = \langle V, \Sigma, R, S \rangle$  there is a grammar  $G' = \langle V', \Sigma, R', S \rangle$  with rules  $R' \subseteq (V' \cup \Sigma)^* V' (V' \cup \Sigma)^* \times (V' \setminus \{S\} \cup \Sigma)^*$  such that  $\mathcal{L}(G) = \mathcal{L}(G')$ .

Note: this theorem is true for all grammars.

## Start Variable in Right-Hand Side of Rules: Example

Before we prove the theorem, let's illustrate its idea. Consider  $G = \langle \{S, X\}, \{a, b\}, R, S \rangle$  with the following rules in R:

 $bS 
ightarrow arepsilon \qquad S 
ightarrow XabS \qquad bX 
ightarrow aSa \qquad X 
ightarrow abc$ 

The new grammar has all original rules except that S is replaced with a new variable S' (allowing to derive everything from S' that could originally be derived from the start variable S):

 ${
m bS'} 
ightarrow arepsilon \qquad {
m S'} 
ightarrow {
m XabS'} \qquad {
m bX} 
ightarrow {
m aS'a} \qquad {
m X} 
ightarrow {
m abc}$ 

In addition, it has rules that allow to start from the original start variable but switch to S' after the first rule application:

 $\mathsf{S}\to\mathsf{XabS'}$ 

## Start Variable in Right-Hand Side of Rules: Proof

#### Proof.

Let  $G = \langle V, \Sigma, R, S \rangle$  be a grammar and  $S' \notin V$  be a new variable. Construct rule set R' from R as follows:

- ▶ for every rule  $r \in R$ , add a rule r' to R', where r' is the result of replacing all occurences of *S* in *r* with *S'*.
- For every rule S → w ∈ R, add a rule S → w' to R', where w' is the result of replacing all occurences of S in w with S'.

Then 
$$\mathcal{L}(G) = \mathcal{L}(\langle V \cup \{S'\}, \Sigma, R', S \rangle).$$

Note that the rules in R' are not fundamentally different from the rules in R. In particular:

• If 
$$R \subseteq V \times (\Sigma \cup \Sigma V \cup \{\varepsilon\})$$
 then  $R' \subseteq V' \times (\Sigma \cup \Sigma V' \cup \{\varepsilon\})$ .

▶ If  $R \subseteq V \times (V \cup \Sigma)^*$  then  $R' \subseteq V' \times (V' \cup \Sigma)^*$ .

### **Epsilon Rules**

#### Theorem

For every grammar G with rules  $R \subseteq V \times (\Sigma \cup \Sigma V \cup \{\varepsilon\})$ there is a regular grammar G' with  $\mathcal{L}(G) = \mathcal{L}(G')$ .

### Epsilon Rules: Example

Let's again first illustrate the idea.Consider  $G = \langle \{S, X, Y\}, \{a, b\}, R, S \rangle$  with the following rules in R:

 $\mathsf{S} \to \varepsilon \qquad \mathsf{S} \to \mathsf{a} \mathsf{X} \qquad \mathsf{X} \to \mathsf{a} \mathsf{X} \qquad \mathsf{X} \to \mathsf{a} \mathsf{Y} \qquad \mathsf{Y} \to \mathsf{b} \mathsf{Y} \qquad \mathsf{Y} \to \varepsilon$ 

- $\bullet \quad \text{The start variable does not occur on a right-hand side. } \checkmark$
- Otermine the set of variables that can be replaced with the empty word: V<sub>e</sub> = {S, Y}.
- I Eliminate forbidden rules: <u>Y</u>//// *€*
- If a variable from V<sub>ε</sub> occurs in the right-hand side, add another rule that directly emulates a subsequent replacement with the empty word: X → a and Y → b

### **Epsilon Rules**

#### Theorem

For every grammar G with rules  $R \subseteq V \times (\Sigma \cup \Sigma V \cup \{\varepsilon\})$ there is a regular grammar G' with  $\mathcal{L}(G) = \mathcal{L}(G')$ .

#### Proof.

Let  $G = \langle V, \Sigma, R, S \rangle$  be a grammar s.t.  $R \subseteq V \times (\Sigma \cup \Sigma V \cup \{\varepsilon\})$ . Use the previous proof to construct grammar  $G' = \langle V', \Sigma, R', S \rangle$ s.t.  $R' \subseteq V' \times (\Sigma \cup \Sigma(V' \setminus \{S\}) \cup \{\varepsilon\})$  and  $\mathcal{L}(G') = \mathcal{L}(G)$ . Let  $V_{\varepsilon} = \{A \mid A \to \varepsilon \in R'\}$ .

Let R'' be the rule set that is created from R' by removing all rules of the form  $A \to \varepsilon$  ( $A \neq S$ ). Additionally, for every rule of the form  $B \to xA$  with  $A \in V_{\varepsilon}, B \in V', x \in \Sigma$  we add a rule  $B \to x$  to R''. Then  $G'' = \langle V', \Sigma, R'', S \rangle$  is regular and  $\mathcal{L}(G) = \mathcal{L}(G'')$ . Exercise (Slido)

Consider  $G = \langle \{S, X, Y\}, \{a, b\}, R, S \rangle$  with the following rules in R:

- $\mathsf{S} \to \varepsilon \qquad \qquad \mathsf{S} \to \mathsf{a} \mathsf{X}$
- $\mathsf{X} \to \mathtt{a} \mathsf{X} \qquad \mathsf{X} \to \mathtt{a} \mathsf{Y}$
- $\mathsf{Y} \to \mathsf{b}\mathsf{Y} \qquad \mathsf{Y} \to \varepsilon$ 
  - Is G a regular grammar?
    Is L(G) regular?





- **Regular grammars restrict** the usage of  $\varepsilon$  in rules.
- This restriction is not necessary for the characterization of regular languages but convenient if we want to prove something for all regular languages.