Theory of Computer Science B1. Formal Languages & Grammars

Gabriele Röger

University of Basel

February 26, 2025



Course Contents

Introduction

Parts of the course:

- A. background▷ mathematical foundations and proof techniques
- B. automata theory and formal languages

 ▷ What is a computation?
- C. Turing computability ▷ What can be computed at all?
- D. complexity theory ▷ What can be computed efficiently?
- E. more computability theory ▷ Other models of computability

A Controller for a Turnstile



CC BY-SA 3.0, author: Stolbovsky

Introduction

- simple access control
- card reader and push sensor
- card can either be valid or invalid


A Controller for a Turnstile



CC BY-SA 3.0, author: Stolbovsky

Introduction

- simple access control
- card reader and push sensor
- card can either be valid or invalid



Definition (Decision Problem for Turnstile Example)

Sequence of actions from set

{push, validcard, invalidcard}

If the turnstile was initially locked, Question:

is it unlocked after the given sequence of actions?

That is, does the input sequence contain an action validcard such that afterwards there is never an occurrence of push?

Decision Problems: Given-Question Form

Definition (Decision Problem, Given-Question Form)

Given: possible input

Question: does the given input have a certain property?

Definition (Decision Problem, Given-Question Form)

Given: possible input

Question: does the given input have a certain property?

often infinitely many instances (possible inputs).

Decision Problems: Given-Question Form

Definition (Decision Problem, Given-Question Form)

Given: possible input

Question: does the given input have a certain property?

- often infinitely many instances (possible inputs).
- we want to characterize the set of all "Yes" instances

Definition (Decision Problem, Given-Question Form)

Given: possible input

Question: does the given input have a certain property?

- often infinitely many instances (possible inputs).
- we want to characterize the set of all "Yes" instances
- formal languages are an alternative for representing such decision problems, using this set perspective instead of the given-question form.

Definition (Decision Problem, Given-Question Form)


Given: possible input

Question: does the given input have a certain property?

- often infinitely many instances (possible inputs).
- we want to characterize the set of all "Yes" instances
- formal languages are an alternative for representing such decision problems, using this set perspective instead of the given-question form.
- follow-up question: how can we characterize such a possibly infinite set with a final representation?

Formal Languages

Content of the Course



Alphabets and Formal Languages

Definition (Alphabets, Words and Formal Languages)

An alphabet Σ is a finite non-empty set of symbols.

$$\Sigma = \{\mathtt{a},\mathtt{b}\}$$

Definition (Alphabets, Words and Formal Languages)

An alphabet Σ is a finite non-empty set of symbols.

A word over Σ is a finite sequence of elements from Σ .

The empty word (the empty sequence of elements) is denoted by ε .

 Σ^* denotes the set of all words over Σ .

 Σ^+ (= $\Sigma^* \setminus \{\varepsilon\}$) denotes the set of all non-empty words over Σ .

```
\begin{split} \Sigma &= \{\mathtt{a},\mathtt{b}\} \\ \Sigma^* &= \{\varepsilon,\mathtt{a},\mathtt{b},\mathtt{aa},\mathtt{ab},\mathtt{ba},\mathtt{bb},\dots \} \end{split}
```

Definition (Alphabets, Words and Formal Languages)

An alphabet Σ is a finite non-empty set of symbols.

A word over Σ is a finite sequence of elements from Σ .

The empty word (the empty sequence of elements) is denoted by ε .

 Σ^* denotes the set of all words over Σ .

 Σ^+ (= $\Sigma^* \setminus \{\varepsilon\}$) denotes the set of all non-empty words over Σ .

We write |w| for the length of a word w.

```
\begin{split} \Sigma &= \{\mathtt{a},\mathtt{b}\} \\ \Sigma^* &= \{\varepsilon,\mathtt{a},\mathtt{b},\mathtt{aa},\mathtt{ab},\mathtt{ba},\mathtt{bb},\dots\} \\ |\mathtt{aba}| &= 3,|\mathtt{b}| = 1,|\varepsilon| = 0 \end{split}
```

Alphabets and Formal Languages

Definition (Alphabets, Words and Formal Languages)

An alphabet Σ is a finite non-empty set of symbols.

A word over Σ is a finite sequence of elements from Σ .

The empty word (the empty sequence of elements) is denoted by ε .

 Σ^* denotes the set of all words over Σ .

 Σ^+ (= $\Sigma^* \setminus \{\varepsilon\}$) denotes the set of all non-empty words over Σ .

We write |w| for the length of a word w.

A formal language (over alphabet Σ) is a subset of Σ^* .

```
\Sigma = \{a, b\}
\Sigma^* = \{\varepsilon, a, b, aa, ab, ba, bb, \dots\}
|aba| = 3, |b| = 1, |\varepsilon| = 0
```

Example (Languages over $\Sigma = \{a, b\}$)

■ $S_1 = \{a, aa, aaa, aaaa, ...\} = \{a\}^+$

- $S_1 = \{a, aa, aaa, aaaa, \dots\} = \{a\}^+$
- $S_2 = \Sigma^*$

- $S_1 = \{a, aa, aaa, aaaa, ...\} = \{a\}^+$
- $S_2 = \Sigma^*$
- $S_3 = \{a^n b^n \mid n \ge 0\} = \{\varepsilon, ab, aabb, aaabbb, \dots\}$

- $S_1 = \{a, aa, aaa, aaaa, ...\} = \{a\}^+$
- $S_2 = \Sigma^*$
- $S_3 = \{a^n b^n \mid n \ge 0\} = \{\varepsilon, ab, aabb, aaabbb, \dots\}$
- $S_4 = \{\varepsilon\}$

- $S_1 = \{a, aa, aaa, aaaa, ...\} = \{a\}^+$
- $S_2 = \Sigma^*$
- $S_3 = \{a^n b^n \mid n \ge 0\} = \{\varepsilon, ab, aabb, aaabbb, \dots\}$
- $S_4 = \{\varepsilon\}$
- $S_5 = \emptyset$

- $S_1 = \{a, aa, aaa, aaaa, ...\} = \{a\}^+$
- $S_2 = \Sigma^*$
- $S_3 = \{a^n b^n \mid n \ge 0\} = \{\varepsilon, ab, aabb, aaabbb, \dots\}$
- $S_4 = \{\varepsilon\}$
- $S_5 = \emptyset$
- $S_6 = \{ w \in \Sigma^* \mid w \text{ contains twice as many as as bs} \}$ $= \{ \varepsilon, aab, aba, baa, \dots \}$

- $S_1 = \{a, aa, aaa, aaaa, ...\} = \{a\}^+$
- $S_2 = \Sigma^*$
- $S_3 = \{a^n b^n \mid n \ge 0\} = \{\varepsilon, ab, aabb, aaabbb, \dots\}$
- $S_4 = \{\varepsilon\}$
- $S_5 = \emptyset$
- $S_6 = \{ w \in \Sigma^* \mid w \text{ contains twice as many as as bs} \}$ $= \{ \varepsilon, aab, aba, baa, \dots \}$
- $S_7 = \{ w \in \Sigma^* \mid |w| = 3 \}$ = {aaa, aab, aba, baa, bba, bab, abb, bbb}

Languages: Turnstile Example

Example

 $\Sigma = \{\text{push}, \text{validcard}, \text{invalidcard}\}\$

 $\mathcal{L}_{\text{turnstile}} = \{ w \in \Sigma^* \mid \text{there is an occurrence of validcard in } w \}$ and after the last occurrence of validcard there is no occurrence of push }

Exercise (slido)

Consider $\Sigma = \{push, validcard\}.$

What is |pushvalidcard|?



Questions



Questions?


Ways to Specify Formal Languages?

Sought: General concepts to define (often infinite) formal languages with finite descriptions.

- today: grammars
- later: automata, regular expressions, . . .

Grammars 000000000000000

Content of the Course



Grammar: Example

Variables $V = \{S, X, Y\}$ Alphabet $\Sigma = \{a, b, c\}$. Production rules:

$$\begin{array}{lll} \mathsf{S} \to \varepsilon & \mathsf{X} \to \mathsf{aXYc} & \mathsf{cY} \to \mathsf{Yc} \\ \mathsf{S} \to \mathsf{X} & \mathsf{X} \to \mathsf{abc} & \mathsf{bY} \to \mathsf{bb} \end{array}$$

Grammar: Example

Variables $V = \{S, X, Y\}$ Alphabet $\Sigma = \{a, b, c\}$. Production rules:

$$\begin{array}{lll} \mathsf{S} \to \varepsilon & \mathsf{X} \to \mathsf{aXYc} & \mathsf{cY} \to \mathsf{Yc} \\ \mathsf{S} \to \mathsf{X} & \mathsf{X} \to \mathsf{abc} & \mathsf{bY} \to \mathsf{bb} \end{array}$$

You start from S and may in each step replace the left-hand side of a rule with the right-hand side of the same rule. This way, derive a word over Σ .

Short-hand Notation for Rule Sets

We abbreviate several rules with the same left-hand side in a single line, using "|" for separating the right-hand sides.

For example, we write

$$X \to 0Y1 \mid XY$$

for:

$$X \rightarrow 0Y1$$
 and

$$\mathsf{X} \to \mathsf{X}\mathsf{Y}$$

Exercise

Variables $V = \{S, X, Y\}$ Alphabet $\Sigma = \{a, b, c\}.$ Production rules:


$$S
ightarrow \varepsilon \mid X$$
 $X
ightarrow aXYc \mid abc$
 $cY
ightarrow Yc$
 $bY
ightarrow bb$

Derive word aabbcc starting from S.



Application: Content Generation in Games

- http://www.gameaipro.com/
- GameAlPro 2, chapter 40 Procedural Content Generation: An Overview by Gillian Smith



Questions



Questions?

Grammars

Definition (Grammars)

A grammar is a 4-tuple $\langle V, \Sigma, R, S \rangle$ with:

- V finite set of variables (nonterminal symbols)
- lacksquare Σ finite alphabet of terminal symbols with $V \cap \Sigma = \emptyset$
- $R \subseteq (V \cup \Sigma)^* V (V \cup \Sigma)^* \times (V \cup \Sigma)^*$ finite set of rules
- $S \in V$ start variable

A rule is sometimes also called a production or a production rule.

What exactly does $R \subseteq (V \cup \Sigma)^* V(V \cup \Sigma)^* \times (V \cup \Sigma)^*$ mean?

 $(V \cup \Sigma)^*$: all words over $(V \cup \Sigma)$

- $(V \cup \Sigma)^*$: all words over $(V \cup \Sigma)$
- for languages L and L', their concatenation is the language $LL' = \{xy \mid x \in L \text{ and } y \in L'\}.$

- $(V \cup \Sigma)^*$: all words over $(V \cup \Sigma)$
- for languages L and L', their concatenation is the language $LL' = \{xy \mid x \in L \text{ and } y \in L'\}.$
- $(V \cup \Sigma)^* V (V \cup \Sigma)^*$: words composed from

What exactly does $R \subseteq (V \cup \Sigma)^* V(V \cup \Sigma)^* \times (V \cup \Sigma)^*$ mean?

Grammars 0000000000000000

- $(V \cup \Sigma)^*$: all words over $(V \cup \Sigma)$
- for languages L and L', their concatenation is the language $LL' = \{xy \mid x \in L \text{ and } y \in L'\}.$
- $(V \cup \Sigma)^* V (V \cup \Sigma)^*$: words composed from
 - \blacksquare a word over $(V \cup \Sigma)$,

- $(V \cup \Sigma)^*$: all words over $(V \cup \Sigma)$
- for languages L and L', their concatenation is the language $LL' = \{xy \mid x \in L \text{ and } y \in L'\}.$
- $(V \cup \Sigma)^* V (V \cup \Sigma)^*$: words composed from
 - \blacksquare a word over $(V \cup \Sigma)$,
 - followed by a single variable symbol,

- $(V \cup \Sigma)^*$: all words over $(V \cup \Sigma)$
- for languages L and L', their concatenation is the language $LL' = \{xy \mid x \in L \text{ and } y \in L'\}.$
- $(V \cup \Sigma)^* V (V \cup \Sigma)^*$: words composed from
 - \blacksquare a word over $(V \cup \Sigma)$,
 - followed by a single variable symbol,
 - followed by a word over $(V \cup \Sigma)$

- $(V \cup \Sigma)^*$: all words over $(V \cup \Sigma)$
- for languages L and L', their concatenation is the language $LL' = \{xy \mid x \in L \text{ and } y \in L'\}.$
- $(V \cup \Sigma)^* V (V \cup \Sigma)^*$: words composed from
 - a word over $(V \cup \Sigma)$,
 - followed by a single variable symbol,
 - followed by a word over $(V \cup \Sigma)$
 - \rightarrow word over $(V \cup \Sigma)$ containing at least one variable symbol

- $(V \cup \Sigma)^*$: all words over $(V \cup \Sigma)$
- for languages L and L', their concatenation is the language $LL' = \{xy \mid x \in L \text{ and } y \in L'\}.$
- $(V \cup \Sigma)^* V (V \cup \Sigma)^*$: words composed from
 - \blacksquare a word over $(V \cup \Sigma)$,
 - followed by a single variable symbol,
 - followed by a word over $(V \cup \Sigma)$
 - \rightarrow word over $(V \cup \Sigma)$ containing at least one variable symbol
- ×: Cartesian product

- $(V \cup \Sigma)^*$: all words over $(V \cup \Sigma)$
- for languages L and L', their concatenation is the language $LL' = \{xy \mid x \in L \text{ and } y \in L'\}.$
- $(V \cup \Sigma)^* V (V \cup \Sigma)^*$: words composed from
 - lacksquare a word over $(V \cup \Sigma)$,
 - followed by a single variable symbol,
 - followed by a word over $(V \cup \Sigma)$
 - ightarrow word over $(V \cup \Sigma)$ containing at least one variable symbol
- ×: Cartesian product
- $(V \cup \Sigma)^* V(V \cup \Sigma)^* \times (V \cup \Sigma)^*$: set of all pairs $\langle x, y \rangle$, where x word over $(V \cup \Sigma)$ with at least one variable and y word over $(V \cup \Sigma)$

- $(V \cup \Sigma)^*$: all words over $(V \cup \Sigma)$
- for languages L and L', their concatenation is the language $LL' = \{xy \mid x \in L \text{ and } y \in L'\}.$
- $(V \cup \Sigma)^* V (V \cup \Sigma)^*$: words composed from
 - a word over $(V \cup \Sigma)$,
 - followed by a single variable symbol,
 - followed by a word over $(V \cup \Sigma)$
 - ightarrow word over $(V \cup \Sigma)$ containing at least one variable symbol
- ×: Cartesian product
- $(V \cup \Sigma)^*V(V \cup \Sigma)^* \times (V \cup \Sigma)^*$: set of all pairs $\langle x, y \rangle$, where x word over $(V \cup \Sigma)$ with at least one variable and y word over $(V \cup \Sigma)$
- Instead of $\langle x, y \rangle$ we usually write rules in the form $x \to y$.

Rules: Examples

Example

Let $\Sigma = \{a, b, c\}$ and $V = \{X, Y, Z\}$.

Some examples of rules in $(V \cup \Sigma)^*V(V \cup \Sigma)^* \times (V \cup \Sigma)^*$:

$$\mathsf{X} \to \mathsf{XaY}$$

$$\mathsf{Yb} \to \mathtt{a}$$

$$XY \rightarrow \varepsilon$$

$$XYZ \rightarrow abc$$

$$\mathtt{abXc} \to \mathsf{XYZ}$$

Derivations

Definition (Derivations)

Let (V, Σ, R, S) be a grammar. A word $v \in (V \cup \Sigma)^*$ can be derived from word $u \in (V \cup \Sigma)^+$ (written as $u \Rightarrow v$) if

- ① u = xyz, v = xy'z with $x, z \in (V \cup \Sigma)^*$ and
- ② there is a rule $y \to y' \in R$.

We write: $u \Rightarrow^* v$ if v can be derived from u in finitely many steps (i. e., by using n derivations for $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$).

Language Generated by a Grammar

Definition (Languages)

The language generated by a grammar $G = \langle V, \Sigma, P, S \rangle$

$$\mathcal{L}(G) = \{ w \in \Sigma^* \mid S \Rightarrow^* w \}$$

is the set of all words from Σ^* that can be derived from S with finitely many rule applications.

■ $L_1 = \{a, aa, aaa, aaaa, ...\} = \{a\}^+$

Grammars 0000000000000000

Grammars

Example (Languages over $\Sigma = \{a, b\}$)

 $L_2 = \Sigma^*$

■
$$L_3 = \{a^n b^n \mid n \ge 0\} = \{\varepsilon, ab, aabb, aaabbb, \dots\}$$

$$L_4 = \{\varepsilon\}$$

$$L_5 = \emptyset$$

■ $L_6 = \{ w \in \Sigma^* \mid w \text{ contains twice as many as as bs} \}$ $= \{ \varepsilon, \mathtt{aab}, \mathtt{aba}, \mathtt{baa}, \dots \}$

Grammars 0000000000000000

Example (Turnstile)

 $G = \langle \{S, U\}, \{push, validcard, invalidcard\}, R, S \rangle$ with the following production rules in R:

Grammars

 $S \rightarrow push S$

 $S \rightarrow invalidcard S$


 $S \rightarrow validcard U$

 $U \rightarrow invalidcard U$

 $U \rightarrow validcard U$

 $U \rightarrow \varepsilon$

 $U \rightarrow push S$



 $\mathcal{L}(G) = \mathcal{L}_{turnstile}$ from section "formal languages"

Exercise

Specify a grammar that generates language

$$L = \{ w \in \{ a, b \}^* \mid |w| = 3 \}.$$






Questions?

Chomsky Hierarchy

Content of the Course



Noam Chomsky

- Avram Noam Chomsky (*1928)
- "the father of modern linguistics"
- American linguist, philosopher, cognitive scientist, social critic, and political activist



- combined linguistics, cognitive science and computer science
- opponent of U.S. involvement in the Vietnam war
- there is a Wikipedia page solemnly on his political positions
- → Organized grammars into the Chomsky hierarchy.

Chomsky Hierarchy

Definition (Chomsky Hierarchy)

- Every grammar is of type 0 (all rules allowed).
- Grammar is of type 1 (context-sensitive) if all rules are of the form $\alpha B \gamma \to \alpha \beta \gamma$ with $B \in V$ and $\alpha, \gamma \in (V \cup \Sigma)^*$ and $\beta \in (V \cup \Sigma)^+$
- Grammar is of type 2 (context-free) if all rules are of the form $A \to w$, where $A \in V$ and $w \in (V \cup \Sigma)^+$.
- Grammar is of type 3 (regular) if all rules are of the form $A \rightarrow w$, where $A \in V$ and $w \in \Sigma \cup \Sigma V$.

special case: rule $S \to \varepsilon$ is always allowed if S is the start variable and never occurs on the right-hand side of any rule.

Chomsky Hierarchy: Examples

Examples: blackboard

Chomsky Hierarchy

Definition (Type 0–3 Languages)

A language $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$ is of type 0 (type 1, type 2, type 3) if there exists a type-0 (type-1, type-2, type-3) grammar Gwith $\mathcal{L}(G) = L$.

Type k Language: Example (slido)

Example

Consider the language L generated by the grammar $\langle \{F, A, N, C, D\}, \{a, b, c, \neg, \land, \lor, (,)\}, R, F \rangle$ with the following rules *R*:


$F\toA$	$A\to\mathtt{a}$	$N \to \neg F$
$F\toN$	$A\to \mathtt{b}$	$C o (F \wedge F)$
$F\toC$	$A \to c$	D o (F ee F)
г , р		

Questions:


- Is L a type-0 language?
- Is L a type-1 language?
- Is L a type-2 language?
- Is L a type-3 language?



Chomsky Hierarchy



Chomsky Hierarchy



Note: Not all languages can be described by grammars. (Proof?)



Questions?

Summary

Summary

- Languages are sets of symbol sequences.
- Grammars are one possible way to specify languages.
- Language generated by a grammar is the set of all words (of terminal symbols) derivable from the start symbol.
- Chomsky hierarchy distinguishes between languages at different levels of expressiveness.