

Foundations of Artificial Intelligence

B13. State-Space Search: IDA*

Malte Helmert

University of Basel

March 26, 2025

State-Space Search: Overview

Chapter overview: state-space search

- B1–B3. Foundations
- B4–B8. Basic Algorithms
- B9–B15. Heuristic Algorithms
 - B9. Heuristics
 - B10. Analysis of Heuristics
 - B11. Best-first Graph Search
 - B12. Greedy Best-first Search, A^* , Weighted A^*
 - B13. IDA*
 - B14. Properties of A^* , Part I
 - B15. Properties of A^* , Part II

IDA*: Idea

IDA*

The main drawback of the presented best-first graph search algorithms is their space complexity.

Idea: use the concepts of iterative-deepening DFS

IDA*

The main drawback of the presented best-first graph search algorithms is their space complexity.

Idea: use the concepts of iterative-deepening DFS

- depth-limited search with increasing limits
- instead of **depth** we limit **f**
(in this chapter $f(n) := g(n) + h(n.state)$ as in A^*)

↪ **IDA*** (iterative-deepening A^*)

- **tree search**, unlike the previous best-first search algorithms

IDA*: Algorithm

Reminder: Iterative Deepening Depth-first Search

reminder from Chapter B8: iterative deepening depth-first search

Iterative Deepening DFS

```
for  $depth\_limit \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ :  
     $solution := depth\_limited\_search($ init $()$ ,  $depth\_limit$ )  
    if  $solution \neq none$ :  
        return  $solution$ 
```

function $depth_limited_search(s, depth_limit)$:

```
if  $is\_goal(s)$ :  
    return  $\langle \rangle$   
  
if  $depth\_limit > 0$ :  
    for each  $\langle a, s' \rangle \in succ(s)$ :  
         $solution := depth\_limited\_search(s', depth\_limit - 1)$   
        if  $solution \neq none$ :  
             $solution.push\_front(a)$   
            return  $solution$   
  
return  $none$ 
```

First Attempt: IDA* Main Function

first attempt: iterative deepening A* (IDA*)

IDA* (First Attempt)

```
for  $f\_limit \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ :  
     $solution := f\_limited\_search(\text{init}(), 0, f\_limit)$   
    if  $solution \neq \text{none}$ :  
        return  $solution$ 
```


First Attempt: f -Limited Search

```
function  $f\_limited\_search(s, g, f\_limit)$ :
```

```
if  $g + h(s) > f\_limit$ :
```

```
    return none
```

```
if  $is\_goal(s)$ :
```

```
    return  $\langle \rangle$ 
```

```
for each  $\langle a, s' \rangle \in succ(s)$ :
```

```
     $solution := f\_limited\_search(s', g + cost(a), f\_limit)$ 
```

```
    if  $solution \neq none$ :
```

```
         $solution.push\_front(a)$ 
```

```
        return solution
```

```
return none
```

IDA* First Attempt: Discussion

- The pseudo-code can be rewritten to be even more similar to our IDDFS pseudo-code. However, this would make our next modification more complicated.
- The algorithm follows the same principles as IDDFS, but takes path costs and heuristic information into account.
- For unit-cost state spaces and the trivial heuristic $h : s \mapsto 0$ for all states s , it behaves **identically** to IDDFS.
- For general state spaces, there is a problem with this first attempt, however.

Growing the f Limit

- In IDDFS, we grow the limit from the smallest limit that gives a non-empty search tree (0) by 1 at a time.
- This usually leads to exponential growth of the tree between rounds, so that re-exploration work can be amortized.
- In our first attempt at IDA*, there is no guarantee that increasing the f limit by 1 will lead to a larger search tree than in the previous round.
- This problem becomes worse if we also allow non-integer (fractional) costs, where increasing the limit by 1 would be very arbitrary.

Setting the Next f Limit

idea: let the f -limited search compute the next sensible f limit

- Start with $h(\text{init}())$, the smallest f limit that results in a non-empty search tree.
- In every round, increase the f limit to the **smallest** value that ensures that in the next round at least one additional path will be considered by the search.

↪ `f_limited_search` now returns two values:

- the next f limit that would include at least one new node in the search tree (∞ if no such limit exists; **none** if a solution was found), and
- the solution that was found (or **none**).

Final Algorithm: IDA* Main Function

final algorithm: iterative deepening A* (IDA*)

IDA*

```
f_limit = h(init())  
while f_limit ≠ ∞:  
    ⟨f_limit, solution⟩ := f_limited_search(init(), 0, f_limit)  
    if solution ≠ none:  
        return solution  
return unsolvable
```

Final Algorithm: f -Limited Search

```
function  $f\_limited\_search(s, g, f\_limit)$ :
```

```
if  $g + h(s) > f\_limit$ :
```

```
    return  $\langle g + h(s), \text{none} \rangle$ 
```

```
if  $is\_goal(s)$ :
```

```
    return  $\langle \text{none}, \langle \rangle \rangle$ 
```

```
 $new\_limit := \infty$ 
```

```
for each  $\langle a, s' \rangle \in succ(s)$ :
```

```
     $\langle child\_limit, solution \rangle := f\_limited\_search(s', g + cost(a), f\_limit)$ 
```

```
    if  $solution \neq \text{none}$ :
```

```
         $solution.push\_front(a)$ 
```

```
        return  $\langle \text{none}, solution \rangle$ 
```

```
     $new\_limit := \min(new\_limit, child\_limit)$ 
```

```
return  $\langle new\_limit, \text{none} \rangle$ 
```

Final Algorithm: f -Limited Search

```
function  $f\_limited\_search(s, g, f\_limit)$ :
```

```
if  $g + h(s) > f\_limit$ :
```

```
    return  $\langle g + h(s), \text{none} \rangle$ 
```

```
if  $is\_goal(s)$ :
```

```
    return  $\langle \text{none}, \langle \rangle \rangle$ 
```

```
 $new\_limit := \infty$ 
```

```
for each  $\langle a, s' \rangle \in succ(s)$ :
```

```
     $\langle child\_limit, solution \rangle := f\_limited\_search(s', g + cost(a), f\_limit)$ 
```

```
    if  $solution \neq \text{none}$ :
```

```
         $solution.push\_front(a)$ 
```

```
        return  $\langle \text{none}, solution \rangle$ 
```

```
     $new\_limit := \min(new\_limit, child\_limit)$ 
```

```
return  $\langle new\_limit, \text{none} \rangle$ 
```

IDA*: Properties

IDA*: Properties

Inherits important properties of A* and depth-first search:

- **semi-complete** if h safe and $cost(a) > 0$ for all actions a
- **optimal** if h admissible
- **space complexity** $O(\ell b)$, where
 - ℓ : length of longest generated path
(for unit cost problems: bounded by optimal solution cost)
 - b : branching factor

We state these without proof.

IDA*: Discussion

- compared to A* potentially considerable overhead because no **duplicates** are detected
 - ↪ exponentially slower in many state spaces
 - ↪ often combined with partial duplicate elimination (cycle detection, transposition tables)
- overhead due to **iterative increases** of f limit **often negligible**, but **not always**
 - especially problematic if action costs vary a lot: then it can easily happen that each new f limit only considers a small number of new paths

Summary

Summary

- IDA* is a tree search variant of A* based on iterative deepening depth-first search
- main advantage: low space complexity
- disadvantage: repeated work can be significant
- most useful when there are few duplicates