Algorithms and Data Structures A5. Runtime Analysis: Introduction and Selection Sort

Gabriele Röger and Patrick Schnider

University of Basel

February 26, 2025

A5. Runtime Analysis: Introduction and Selection Sort

Runtime Analysis in General

1 / 20

A5.1 Runtime Analysis in General

Algorithms and Data Structures February 26, 2025 — A5. Runtime Analysis: Introduction and Selection Sort

A5.1 Runtime Analysis in General

A5.2 Example: Selection Sort

A5.3 Summary

2 / 20

A5. Runtime Analysis: Introduction and Selection Sort

Exact Runtime Analysis Unrealistic

- Would be nice: formula that determines for a specific input how long the computation will take.
- Exact runtime prediction is hard because of too many influencing factors.
 - Speed and architecture of the computer
 - Programming language
 - Compiler version
 - Current load (what else is running?)
 - Caching behavior

We neither can nor want to consider all this in a formula.

Runtime Analysis: 1st Simplification

Don't measure time but count operations

What is an operation?

- Ideally: one line of machine code or even more precisely one processor cycle
- Instead: constant-time operations
 - Constant time: running time independent of input.
 - Ignore runtime differences of different operations.
 - E.g. addition, assignments, branching, function call.
 - Roughly: operation = one line of code.
 - But: also consider what's behind it e.g. steps inside the called function.

Running time roughly proportional to the number of operations

6 / 20

A5. Runtime Analysis: Introduction and Selection Sort

Runtime Analysis: 2nd Simplification

Don't count exactly but use bounds!

- Mostly considering upper bounds How many steps does it take at most?
- Sometimes also lower bound How many steps are at least executed?

"'running time"' for bound on number of executed operations

As. Runtime Analysis: Introduction and Selection Sort
Runtime Analysis: 3rd Simplification
Bounds only relative to the input size
T(n): running time for input of size n
For adaptive algorithms we distinguish
Best case
running time for best possible input of size n
Worst case
running time for worst possible input of size n
Average case
average running time over all inputs of size n

5 / 20

Runtime Analysis in General

Runtime Analysis in General



graph

algorithms concepts heapsort

Selection Sort: Algorithm

1 def selection_sort(array): n = len(array)2 for i in range(n - 1): # i = 0, ..., n-23 # find index of minimum element at positions i, ..., n-14 min_index = i 5for j in range(i + 1, n): $\# j = i+1, \ldots, n-1$ 6

array[i], array[min_index] = array[min_index], array[i] 10

13 / 20

Example: Selection Sort

Example: Selection Sort

A5. Runtime Analysis: Introduction and Selection Sort Selection Sort: Analysis I

We show: $T(n) \le c' \cdot n^2$ for $n \ge 1$ and some constant c'

- Outer loop (3-10) and inner loop (6-8)
- Number of operations for each iteration of the outer loop:
 - Constant a for no. of operations in lines 7 and 8
 - Constant b for no. of operations in lines 5 and 10

i # operations
0
$$a(n-1)+b$$

1 $a(n-2)+b$
...
 $n-2$ $a \cdot 1+b$
> Total: $T(n) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} (a(n-(i+1))+b)$

A5. Runtime Analysis: Introduction and Selection Sort

Selection Sort with Cost Model

1 def selection_sort(array):

2 n = len(array)

4

6

7

8

- for i in range (n 1): # i = 0, ..., n-23
- # find index of minimum element at positions $i, \ldots, n-1$
- min index = i 5
 - for j in range(i + 1, n): $\# j = i+1, \ldots, n-1$
 - if array[j] < array[min_index]:</pre>
 - min_index = j
- *# swap element at position i with minimum element* 9 10
 - array[i], array[min_index] = array[min_index], array[i]



Example: Selection Sort

14 / 20

A5. Runtime Analysis: Introduction and Selection Sort

Selection Sort: Analysis II

$$T(n) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} (a(n - (i + 1)) + b)$$

= $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (a(n - i) + b)$
= $a \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (n - i) + b(n - 1)$
= $\frac{1}{2}a(n - 1)n + b(n - 1)$
 $\leq \frac{1}{2}an^2 + b(n - 1)$
 $\leq \frac{1}{2}an^2 + b(n - 1)n$
 $\leq \frac{1}{2}an^2 + bn^2 = (\frac{1}{2}a + b)n^2$
 \Rightarrow with $c' = (\frac{1}{2}a + b)$ it holds for $n \ge 1$ that $T(n) \le c' \cdot n^2$

A5. Runtime Analysis: Introduction and Selection Sort

Selection Sort: Analysis III

Too generous bound?

We show for $n \ge 2$: $T(n) \ge c \cdot n^2$ for some constant c

$$T(n) = \dots = \frac{1}{2}a(n-1)n + b(n-1)$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{2}a(n-1)n$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{4}an^{2} \qquad (n-1 \geq \frac{1}{2}n \text{ for } n \geq 2)$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{ with } c = \frac{1}{4}a \text{ it holds for } n \geq 2 \text{ that } T(n) \geq c \cdot n^{2}$$

Theorem

Selection sort has quadratic running time, i.e., there are constants $c > 0, c' > 0, n_0 > 0$ such that for $n \ge n_0$: $cn^2 \le T(n) \le c'n^2$.

A5. Runtime Analysis: Introduction and Selection Sort Summar A5.3 Summary

A5. Runtime Analysis: Introduction and Selection Sort Example: Selection Sort Selection Sort: Analysis IV Quadratic running time: twice as large input, fourfold running time

What does this mean in practice?

- Assumption: c = 1, one operation takes on average 10^{-8} sec.
- ▶ With 1000 elements, we wait $10^{-8} \cdot (10^3)^2 = 10^{-8} \cdot 10^6 = 10^{-2} = 0.02$ seconds.
- With 10 thousand elements, we wait $10^{-8} \cdot (10^4)^2 = 1$ second.
- With 100 thousand elements $10^{-8} \cdot (10^5)^2 = 100$ seconds.
- With 1 million elements $10^{-8} \cdot (10^6)^2$ seconds = 2.77 hours.
- With 1 billion elements 10⁻⁸ · (10⁹)² seconds = 317 years. 1 billion numbers with 4 bytes/number are "'only"' 4 GB.

Quadratic running time problematic for large inputs

18 / 20

Summar

A5. Runtime Analysis: Introduction and Selection Sort

Summary

- Runtime analysis considers bounds on the number of executed operations.
 - We don't count exactly.
 - We ignore how long each operation actually takes.
 - Running time should be roughly proportional to the number of operations.
- Selection sort has quadratic running time and performs a linear number of swaps and a quadratic number of key comparisons.

17 / 20

Example: Selection Sort