







#### C6. Rice's Theorem

Rice's Theorem

# Rice's Theorem (1)

- ▶ We have shown that the following problems are undecidable:
  - $\blacktriangleright$  halting problem H
  - $\blacktriangleright$  halting problem on empty tape  $H_0$
  - ▶ post correspondence problem PCP
- Many more results of this type could be shown.
- Instead, we prove a much more general result, Rice's theorem, which shows that a very large class of different problems are undecidable.
- Rice's theorem can be summarized informally as: every non-trivial question about what a given Turing machine computes is undecidable.

Gabriele Röger (University of Basel)

Theory of Computer Science

April 24, 2024

5 / 22

Rice's Theorem

C6. Rice's Theorem

Rice's Theorem (3)

Proof.

Let  $\Omega$  be the partial function that is undefined everywhere.

Case distinction.

Case 1:  $\Omega \in S$ 

Let  $q \in \mathcal{R} \setminus \mathcal{S}$  be an arbitrary computable partial function outside of S (exists because  $S \subseteq \mathcal{R}$  and  $S \neq \mathcal{R}$ ).

Let Q be a Turing machine that computes q.

C6. Rice's Theorem

# Rice's Theorem (2)

## Theorem (Rice's Theorem)

Let  $\mathcal{R}$  be the class of all computable partial functions. Let S be an arbitrary subset of  $\mathcal{R}$  except  $S = \emptyset$  or  $S = \mathcal{R}$ . Then the language

$$C(S) = \{w \in \{0, 1\}^* \mid the (partial) function computed by M_w \\ is in S\}$$

is undecidable.

Question: why the restriction to  $S \neq \emptyset$  and  $S \neq \mathcal{R}$ ?

Extension (without proof): in most cases neither C(S) nor  $\overline{C(S)}$  is Turing-recognizable. (But there are sets S for which one of the two languages is Turing-recognizable.)

Gabriele Röger (University of Basel)

C6. Rice's Theorem

Theory of Computer Science

April 24, 2024

6 / 22

Rice's Theorem Rice's Theorem (4) Proof (continued). We show that  $\overline{H}_0 \leq C(S)$ . Consider function  $f : \{0, 1\}^* \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^*$ , where f(w) is defined as follows:  $\blacktriangleright$  Construct TM *M* that first behaves on input *y* like  $M_{W}$ on the empty tape (independently of what y is). Afterwards (if that computation terminates!) M clears the tape, creates the start configuration of Qfor input y and then simulates Q.  $\blacktriangleright$  f(w) is the encoding of this TM M f is total and computable. . . .

Gabriele Röger (University of Basel)

. . .

Rice's Theorem

# C6. Rice's Theorem Rice's Theorem Rice's Theorem (5) Proof (continued). Which function is computed by the TM encoded by f(w)? $M_{f(w)} \text{ computes } \begin{cases} \Omega & \text{if } M_w \text{ does not terminate on } \varepsilon \\ q & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ For all words $w \in \{0, 1\}^*$ : $w \in H_0 \Longrightarrow M_w$ terminates on $\varepsilon$ $\implies M_{f(w)}$ computes the function q $\implies$ the function computed by $M_{f(w)}$ is not in S $\implies$ $f(w) \notin C(S)$ . . . Gabriele Röger (University of Basel) Theory of Computer Science April 24, 2024 9 / 22



# CG. Rice's Theorem (6) Proof (continued). Further: $w \notin H_0 \Longrightarrow M_w$ does not terminate on $\varepsilon$ $\Longrightarrow M_{f(w)}$ computes the function $\Omega$

- $\implies$  the function computed by  $M_{f(w)}$  is in  ${\mathcal S}$
- $\implies f(w) \in C(\mathcal{S})$
- Together this means:  $w \notin H_0$  iff  $f(w) \in C(S)$ , thus  $w \in \overline{H_0}$  iff  $f(w) \in C(S)$ . Therefore, f is a reduction of  $\overline{H_0}$  to C(S). Since  $H_0$  is undecidable,  $\overline{H_0}$  is also undecidable. We can conclude that C(S) is undecidable.
- Gabriele Röger (University of Basel)
- Theory of Computer Science



Rice's Theorem

. . .

10 / 22

April 24, 2024



Theory of Computer Science

Theory of Computer Science



# Undecidable Grammar Problems

## Some Grammar Problems

Given context-free grammars  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ , ...

- ▶ ... is  $\mathcal{L}(G_1) \cap \mathcal{L}(G_2) = \emptyset$ ?  $\blacktriangleright \dots \text{ is } |\mathcal{L}(G_1) \cap \mathcal{L}(G_2)| = \infty?$
- ▶ ... is  $\mathcal{L}(G_1) \cap \mathcal{L}(G_2)$  context-free?
- $\blacktriangleright \dots \text{ is } \mathcal{L}(G_1) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(G_2)?$
- $\blacktriangleright$  ... is  $\mathcal{L}(G_1) = \mathcal{L}(G_2)$ ?

Given a context-sensitive grammar  $G, \ldots$ 

- $\blacktriangleright$  ... is  $\mathcal{L}(G) = \emptyset$ ?
- ▶ ... is  $|\mathcal{L}(G)| = \infty$ ?

 $\rightsquigarrow$  all undecidable by reduction from PCP (see Schöning, Chapter 2.8)

Gabriele Röger (University of Basel)

April 24, 2024 18 / 22



Theory of Computer Science

Theory of Computer Science

#### C6. Rice's Theorem

#### Summary

## Summary

#### Rice's theorem:

"In general one cannot determine algorithmically what a given program (or Turing machine) computes."

### How to Prove Undecidability?

 $\blacktriangleright$  statements on the computed function of a TM/an algorithm  $\rightarrow$  easiest with Rice' theorem

Theory of Computer Science

- other problems
  - $\blacktriangleright$  directly with the definition of undecidability
    - $\rightarrow$  usually quite complicated
  - reduction from an undecidable problem, e.g.
    - $\rightarrow$  halting problem (H)
    - $\rightarrow$  Post correspondence problem (PCP)

April 24, 2024

21 / 22

C6. Rice's Theorem

# What's Next?

contents of this course:



22 / 22