# Theory of Computer Science C2. The Halting Problem

Gabriele Röger

University of Basel

April 15, 2024

Gabriele Röger (University of Basel)

Theory of Computer Science

April 15, 2024

Gabriele Röger (University of Basel)

Theory of Computer Science

April 15, 2024

C2. The Halting Problem

Turing-recognizable vs. decidable

# C2.1 Turing-recognizable vs. decidable

Theory of Computer Science April 15, 2024 — C2. The Halting Problem

C2.1 Turing-recognizable vs. decidable

C2.2 The Halting Problem H

C2.3 H is Undecidable

C2.4 Reprise: Type-0 Languages

C2.5 Summary

Turing-recognizable vs. decidable

C2. The Halting Problem

#### Plan for this Chapter

- ▶ We will first revisit the notions Turing-recognizable and Turing-decidable and identify a connection between the two concepts.
- ▶ Then we will get to know an important undecidable problem, the halting problem.
- ► We show that it is Turing-recognizable. . .
- ▶ ... but not Turing-decidable.
- ► From these results we can conclude that there are languages that are not Turing-recognizable.
- ▶ Some of the postponed results on the closure and decidability properties of type 0 languages are direct implications of our findings.

April 15, 2024 April 15, 2024 Gabriele Röger (University of Basel) Theory of Computer Science Gabriele Röger (University of Basel) Theory of Computer Science

### Reminder: Turing-recognizable and Turing-decidable

Definition (Turing-recognizable Language)

We call a language Turing-recognizable if some deterministic Turing machine recognizes it.

A Turing machine that halts on all inputs (entering  $q_{\text{reject}}$  or  $q_{\text{accept}}$ ) is a decider. A decider that recognizes some language also is said to decide the language.

Definition (Turing-decidable Language)

We call a language Turing-decidable (or decidable) if some deterministic Turing machine decides it.

Gabriele Röger (University of Basel)

Theory of Computer Science

April 15, 2024

2024 5 / 3

Intuition

Are these two definitions meaningfully different? Yes!

(Turing-)decidable:

W accept
reject

Turing-recognizable

W accept
????

C2. The Halting Problem

Turing-recognizable vs. decidable

# Connection Turing-recognizable and Turing-decidable (1)

Reminder: For language L, we write  $\bar{L}$  do denote its complement.

Theorem (Decidable vs. Turing-recognizable)

A language L is decidable iff both L and  $\bar{L}$  are Turing-recognizable.

Proof.

 $(\Rightarrow)$ : obvious (Why?)

Connection Turing-recognizable and Turing-decidable (2)

Proof (continued).

C2. The Halting Problem

 $(\Leftarrow)$ : Let  $M_L$  be a DTM that recognizes L, and let  $M_{\bar{L}}$  be a DTM that recognizes  $\bar{L}$ .

The following algorithm decides L:

On a given input word w proceed as follows:

FOR  $s := 1, 2, 3, \ldots$ :

IF  $M_L$  stops on w in s steps in the accept state:

ACCEPT

IF  $M_{\bar{L}}$  stops on w in s steps in the accept state: REJECT

Why don't we first entirely simulate  $M_L$  on the input and only afterwards  $M_{\bar{l}}$ ?

Gabriele Röger (University of Basel)

Theory of Computer Science

April 15, 2024

Gabriele Röger (University of Basel)

Theory of Computer Science

April 15, 2024

Turing-recognizable vs. decidable

### Example: Decidable \neq Known Algorithm

Decidability of L does not mean we know how to decide it:

- ▶  $L = \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid \text{there are } n \text{ consecutive 7s}$ in the decimal representation of  $\pi\}$ .
- L is decidable.
- There are either 7-sequences of arbitrary length in  $\pi$  (case 1) or there is a maximal number  $n_0$  of consecutive 7s (case 2).
  - ► Case 1: accept for all *n*
  - ▶ Case 2: accept if  $n \le n_0$ , otherwise reject
- In both cases, we can decide the language.
- We just do not know what is the correct version (and what is  $n_0$  in case 2).

Gabriele Röger (University of Basel)

Gabriele Röger (University of Basel)

C2. The Halting Problem

Theory of Computer Science

April 15, 2024

April 15, 2024

The Halting Problem H

C2.2 The Halting Problem H

Gabriele Röger (University of Basel)

Theory of Computer Science

April 15, 2024

10 / 20

Content of the Course

automata theory & formal languages

Turing-computability

computability & Reductions

Complexity theory

Rice's theorem

Theory of Computer Science

C2. The Halting Problem

C2. The Halting Problem

The Halting Problem H

The Halting Problem H

## Reminder: Encodings of Turing Machines

- ▶ We have seen how every deterministic Turing machine with input alphabet  $\{0,1\}$  can be encoded as a word over  $\{0,1\}$ . Can there be several words that encode the same DTM?
- lackbox Not every word over  $\{0,1\}$  corresponds to such an encoding.
- ▶ To define for every  $w \in \{0,1\}^*$  a corresponding TM, we use an arbitrary fixed DTM  $\widehat{M}$  and define

$$M_w = \begin{cases} M' & \text{if } w \text{ is the encoding of some DTM } M' \\ \widehat{M} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

 $ightharpoonup M_w =$  "Turing machine encoded by w"

Gabriele Röger (University of Basel)

Theory of Computer Science

April 15, 2024

12 / 28

The Halting Problem H

Halting Problem

Definition (Halting Problem)

The halting problem is the language

 $H = \{ w \# x \in \{0, 1, \#\}^* \mid w, x \in \{0, 1\}^*, M_w \text{ started on } x \text{ terminates} \}$ 

"Does the computation of the TM encoded by w halt on input x?" "Does a given piece of code terminate on a given input?"

Gabriele Röger (University of Basel)

C2. The Halting Problem

Theory of Computer Science

April 15, 2024

13 / 28

H is Undecidable

C2.3 H is Undecidable

C2. The Halting Problem

The Halting Problem H

## The Halting Problem is Turing-recognizable

Theorem

The halting problem H is Turing-recognizable.

The following Turing machine U recognizes language H:

On input w#x:

- 1 If the input contains more than one # then reject.
- ② Simulate  $M_w$  (the TM encoded by w) on input x.
- $\odot$  If  $M_w$  halts, accept.

What does U do if  $M_w$  does not halt on the input?

*U* is an example of a so-called *universal Turing machine* which can simulate any other Turing machine from the description of that machine.

Gabriele Röger (University of Basel)

Theory of Computer Science

April 15, 2024

rii 15, 2024

C2. The Halting Problem

H is Undecidable

### Undecidability

- ► If some language or problem is not Turing-decidable then we call it undecidable.
- Intuitively, this means that for this problem there is no algorithm that is correct and terminates on all inputs.
- ➤ To establish the undeciability of the halting problem, we will consider a situation where we run a Turing machine/algorithm on its own encoding/source code.
- ▶ We have seen something similar in the very first lecture. . .

Gabriele Röger (University of Basel)

Theory of Computer Science

April 15, 2024

024 16 / 28

## Uncomputable Problems?

Consider functions whose inputs are strings:

```
def program_returns_true_on_input(prog_code, input_str):
    ...
    # returns True if prog_code run on input_str returns True
    # returns False if not

def weird_program(prog_code):
    if program_returns_true_on_input(prog_code, prog_code):
        return False
    else:
        return True
```



What is the return value of weird\_program if we run it on its own source code?

Gabriele Röger (University of Basel)

Theory of Computer Science

April 15, 2024

17 / 28

#### Solution

- We can make a case distinction:
  - ► Case 1: weird\_program returns True on its own source.

    Then weird\_program returns False on its own source code.
  - ► Case 2: weird\_program returns False on its own source.

    Then weird\_program returns True on its own source code.
- ► Contradiction in all cases, so weird\_program cannot exist.
- ► From the source we see that this can only be because subroutine program\_returns\_true\_on\_input cannot exist.
- ► Overall, we have proven that there cannot be a program with the behaviour described by the comments.
- ► For the undecidability of the halting problem, we will use an analogous argument, only with Turing machines instead of code and termination instead of return values.

Gabriele Röger (University of Basel)

Theory of Computer Science

April 15, 2024

18 / 28

C2. The Halting Problem

H is Undecidable

## Undecidability of the Halting Problem (1)

Theorem (Undecidability of the Halting Problem)

The halting problem H is undecidable.

#### Proof.

Proof by contradiction: we assume that the halting problem H was decidable and derive a contradiction.

So assume H is decidable and let D be a DTM that decides it. . . .

C2. The Halting Problem

H is Undecidable

# Undecidability of the Halting Problem (2)

#### Proof (continued).

Construct the following new machine M that takes a word  $x \in \{0,1\}^*$  as input:

- Execute D on the input x # x.
- If it rejects: accept.
- Otherwise: enter an endless loop.

Let w be the encoding of M. How will M behave on input w?

*M* run on *w* stops

iff D run on w # w rejects

iff *w*#*w* ∉ *H* 

iff M run on w does not stop (remember that w encodes M)

Contradiction! DTM M cannot exist.

 $\Rightarrow$  DTM *D* cannot exist, thus *H* is not decidable.

Gabriele Röger (University of Basel)

Theory of Computer Science

April 15, 2024

Gabriele Röger (University of Basel)

Theory of Computer Science

April 15, 2024

20 / 28

H is Undecidable

#### A Language that is not Turing-recognizable

We have the following results:

- A language L is decidable iff both L and  $\bar{L}$  are Turing-recognizable.
- ► The halting problem *H* is Turing-recognizable but not decidable.

#### Corollary

The complement  $\overline{H}$  of the halting problem H is not Turing-recognizable.

Gabriele Röger (University of Basel)

C2. The Halting Problem

Theory of Computer Science

April 15, 2024

21 / 28

21 / 28

Reprise: Type-0 Languages

C2.4 Reprise: Type-0 Languages

C2. The Halting Problem

H is Undecidable

#### **Exercises**

- ► True or false? There is a grammar that generates *H*.
- ► True or false? Not all languages are of type 0.

Justify your answers.



Gabriele Röger (University of Basel)

Theory of Computer Science

April 15, 2024

22 / 2

C2. The Halting Problem

Reprise: Type-0 Languages

# Back to Chapter B13: Closure Properties

	Intersection	Union	Complement	Concatenation	Star
Type 3	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Type 2	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
Type 1	Yes <sup>(2)</sup>	Yes <sup>(1)</sup>	Yes <sup>(2)</sup>	Yes <sup>(1)</sup>	Yes <sup>(1)</sup>
Type 0	Yes <sup>(2)</sup>	Yes <sup>(1)</sup>	No <sup>(3)</sup>	Yes <sup>(1)</sup>	Yes <sup>(1)</sup>

#### Proofs?

- (1) proof via grammars, similar to context-free cases
- (2) without proof
- (3) proof in later chapters (part C)

Gabriele Röger (University of Basel)

Theory of Computer Science

April 15, 2024

Gabriele Röger (University of Basel) Theory of Co

Theory of Computer Science

April 15, 2024

23 / 28

## Back to Chapter B13: Decidability

	Word problem	Emptiness problem	Equivalence problem	Intersection problem
Type 3	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Type 2	Yes	Yes	No	No
Type 1	Yes <sup>(1)</sup>	No <sup>(3)</sup>	No <sup>(2)</sup>	No <sup>(2)</sup>
Type 0	No <sup>(4)</sup>	No <sup>(4)</sup>	No <sup>(4)</sup>	No <sup>(4)</sup>

#### Proofs?

C2. The Halting Problem

- (1) same argument we used for context-free languages
- (2) because already undecidable for context-free languages
- (3) without proof
- (4) proofs in later chapters (part C)

Gabriele Röger (University of Basel)

Theory of Computer Science

Theory of Computer Science

April 15, 2024

April 15, 2024

Reprise: Type-0 Languages

25 / 28

# C2.5 Summary

Gabriele Röger (University of Basel)

C2. The Halting Problem

#### Reprise: Type-0 Languages

#### Answers to Old Questions

#### Closure properties:

- ► *H* is Turing-recognizable (and thus type 0) but not decidable.
- $\rightarrow$   $\bar{H}$  is not Turing-recognizable, thus not type 0.
- → Type-0 languages are not closed under complement.

#### Decidability:

- ► *H* is type 0 but not decidable.
- → word problem for type-0 languages not decidable
- → emptiness, equivalence, intersection problem: later in exercises (We are still missing some important results for this.)

Gabriele Röger (University of Basel)

Theory of Computer Science

April 15, 2024

26 / 28

C2. The Halting Problem

#### Summary

- ▶ A language L is decidable iff both L and  $\bar{L}$  are Turing-recognizable.
- ► The halting problem is the language

$$H = \{ w \# x \in \{0, 1, \#\}^* \mid w, x \in \{0, 1\}^*, \\ M_w \text{ started on } x \text{ terminates} \}$$

- ▶ The halting problem is Turing-recognizable but undecidable.
- ightharpoonup The complement language  $\overline{H}$  is an example of a language that is not even Turing-recognizable.

Gabriele Röger (University of Basel)

Theory of Computer Science

April 15, 2024

28 / 28