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## Constraint Satisfaction Problems: Overview

Chapter overview: constraint satisfaction problems

- D1-D2. Introduction
- D3-D5. Basic Algorithms
- D3. Backtracking
- D4. Arc Consistency
- D5. Path Consistency
- D6-D7. Problem Structure


## Beyond Arc Consistency

## Beyond Arc Consistency: Path Consistency

## idea of arc consistency:

- For every assignment to a variable $u$ there must be a suitable assignment to every other variable $v$.
- If not: remove values of $u$ for which no suitable "partner" assignment to $v$ exists.
$\rightsquigarrow$ tighter unary constraint on $u$
This idea can be extended to three variables (path consistency):
- For every joint assignment to variables $u, v$ there must be a suitable assignment to every third variable $w$.
- If not: remove pairs of values of $u$ and $v$ for which no suitable "partner" assignment to $w$ exists.
$\rightsquigarrow$ tighter binary constraint on $u$ and $v$
German: Pfadkonsistenz


## Beyond Arc Consistency: i-Consistency

general concept of $i$-consistency for $i \geq 2$ :

- For every joint assignment to variables $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{i-1}$ there must be a suitable assignment to every $i$-th variable $v_{i}$.
- If not: remove value tuples of $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{i-1}$ for which no suitable "partner" assignment for $v_{i}$ exists.
$\rightsquigarrow$ tighter ( $i-1$ )-ary constraint on $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{i-1}$
- 2-consistency $=$ arc consistency
- 3-consistency $=$ path consistency (*)

We do not consider general $i$-consistency further
as larger values than $i=3$ are rarely used and we restrict ourselves to binary constraints in this course.
$\left(^{*}\right)$ usual definitions of 3 -consistency vs. path consistency differ when ternary constraints are allowed

## Path Consistency

## Path Consistency: Definition

## Definition (path consistent)

Let $\mathcal{C}=\left\langle V\right.$, dom, $\left.\left(R_{u v}\right)\right\rangle$ be a constraint network.
(1) Two different variables $u, v \in V$ are path consistent with respect to a third variable $w \in V$ if for all values $d_{u} \in \operatorname{dom}(u), d_{v} \in \operatorname{dom}(v)$ with $\left\langle d_{u}, d_{v}\right\rangle \in R_{u v}$ there is a value $d_{w} \in \operatorname{dom}(w)$ with $\left\langle d_{u}, d_{w}\right\rangle \in R_{u w}$ and $\left\langle d_{v}, d_{w}\right\rangle \in R_{v w}$.
(2) The constraint network $\mathcal{C}$ is path consistent if for all triples of different variables $u, v, w$, the variables $u$ and $v$ are path consistent with respect to $w$.

## Path Consistency on Running Example

Running Example

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{w z}=\{\langle 1,2\rangle,\langle 1,3\rangle,\langle 2,3\rangle\} \\
& R_{y z}=\{\langle 2,1\rangle,\langle 3,1\rangle,\langle 3,2\rangle,\langle 4,1\rangle,\langle 4,2\rangle,\langle 4,3\rangle\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Are $w$ and $y$ path consistent with respect to $z$ ?
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## Path Consistency: Remarks

## remarks:

- Even if the constraint $R_{u v}$ is trivial, path consistency can infer nontrivial constraints between $u$ and $v$.
- name "path consistency": path $u \rightarrow w \rightarrow v$ leads to new information on $u \rightarrow v$



## Path Consistency: Example


arc consistent, but not path consistent

## Processing Variable Triples: revise-3

analogous to revise for arc consistency:

## function revise-3(C, $u, v, w)$ :

$\left\langle V, \operatorname{dom},\left(R_{u v}\right)\right\rangle:=\mathcal{C}$
for each $\left\langle d_{u}, d_{v}\right\rangle \in R_{u v}$ :
if there is no $d_{w} \in \operatorname{dom}(w)$ with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle d_{u}, d_{w}\right\rangle \in R_{u w} \text { and }\left\langle d_{v}, d_{w}\right\rangle \in R_{v w}: \\
& \quad \text { remove }\left\langle d_{u}, d_{v}\right\rangle \text { from } R_{u v}
\end{aligned}
$$

input: constraint network $\mathcal{C}$ and three variables $u, v, w$ of $\mathcal{C}$ effect: $u, v$ path consistent with respect to $w$.
All violating pairs are removed from $R_{u v}$.
time complexity: $O\left(k^{3}\right)$ where $k$ is maximal domain size

## Enforcing Path Consistency: PC-2

analogous to AC-3 for arc consistency:

## function PC-2(C):

$\left\langle V, \operatorname{dom},\left(R_{u v}\right)\right\rangle:=\mathcal{C}$
queue := $\emptyset$
for each set of two variables $\{u, v\}$ :
for each $w \in V \backslash\{u, v\}$ :
insert $\langle u, v, w\rangle$ into queue
while queue $\neq \emptyset$ :
remove any element $\langle u, v, w\rangle$ from queue revise-3(C, $u, v, w)$
if $R_{u v}$ changed in the call to revise-3:
for each $w^{\prime} \in V \backslash\{u, v\}$ :
insert $\left\langle w^{\prime}, u, v\right\rangle$ into queue
insert $\left\langle w^{\prime}, v, u\right\rangle$ into queue

## PC-2: Discussion

The comments for AC-3 hold analogously.

- PC-2 enforces path consistency
- proof idea: invariant of the while loop:
if $\langle u, v, w\rangle \notin$ queue, then $u, v$ path consistent with respect to $w$
- time complexity $O\left(n^{3} k^{5}\right)$ for $n$ variables and maximal domain size $k$ (Why?)


## Summary

## Summary

- generalization of arc consistency (considers pairs of variables) to path consistency (considers triples of variables) and $i$-consistency (considers $i$-tuples of variables)
- arc consistency tightens unary constraints
- path consistency tightens binary constraints
- i-consistency tightens ( $i-1$ )-ary constraints
- higher levels of consistency more powerful but more expensive than arc consistency

