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## State-Space Search: Overview

Chapter overview: state-space search

- B1-B3. Foundations
- B4-B8. Basic Algorithms
- B4. Data Structures for Search Algorithms
- B5. Tree Search and Graph Search
- B6. Breadth-first Search
- B7. Uniform Cost Search
- B8. Depth-first Search and Iterative Deepening
- B9-B15. Heuristic Algorithms


## B6.1 Blind Search

## Blind Search

In Chapters B6-B8 we consider blind search algorithms:

## Blind Search Algorithms

Blind search algorithms use no information about state spaces apart from the black box interface. They are also called uninformed search algorithms.
contrast: heuristic search algorithms (Chapters B9-B14)

## Blind Search Algorithms: Examples

examples of blind search algorithms:

- breadth-first search ( $\rightsquigarrow$ this chapter)
- uniform cost search ( $\rightsquigarrow$ Chapter B7)
- depth-first search ( $\rightsquigarrow$ Chapter B8)
- depth-limited search ( $\rightsquigarrow$ Chapter B8)
- iterative deepening search ( $\rightsquigarrow$ Chapter B8)


## B6.2 Breadth-first Search: Introduction

## Running Example: Reminder

bounded inc-and-square:

- $S=\{0,1, \ldots, 9\}$
- $A=\{i n c, s q r\}$
- $\operatorname{cost}(i n c)=\operatorname{cost}(s q r)=1$
- $T$ s.t. for $i=0, \ldots, 9$ :
$-\langle i, i n c,(i+1) \bmod 10\rangle \in T$
- $\left\langle i\right.$, sqr, $\left.i^{2} \bmod 10\right\rangle \in T$
- $s_{1}=1$
- $S_{G}=\{6,7\}$



## Idea

breadth-first search:

- expand nodes in order of generation (FIFO)
$\rightsquigarrow$ open list is linked list or deque
- we start with an example using graph search

German: Breitensuche

## Example: Generic Graph Search with FIFO Expansion

next
open: $\left[\begin{array}{l}\downarrow \\ 1\end{array}\right]$
closed: $\}$

## Example: Generic Graph Search with FIFO Expansion



## next open: $\left[\begin{array}{l}\downarrow \\ 2\end{array}\right]$ closed: $\{1\}$

## Example: Generic Graph Search with FIFO Expansion



##  <br> closed: $\{1,2\}$

## Example: Generic Graph Search with FIFO Expansion




## Example: Generic Graph Search with FIFO Expansion



$$
\begin{array}{ll} 
& \left.\begin{array}{l}
\text { next } \\
\text { open: } \\
\text { [④) (4) (9) }
\end{array}\right] \\
\text { closed: } & \{1,2,3\}
\end{array}
$$

## Example: Generic Graph Search with FIFO Expansion



$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { open: } \left.\begin{array}{ll}
\text { next } \\
\text { closed: } & \{1,2,3,4\} \\
\hline 4) & \{1,2]
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example: Generic Graph Search with FIFO Expansion


$\begin{array}{ll} \\ \text { open: } \\ \text { next } \\ \text { closed: } & {\left[\begin{array}{l}\downarrow \\ 9\end{array}\right.} \\ \text { (5) (6) }]\end{array}$

## Example: Generic Graph Search with FIFO Expansion



## Example: Generic Graph Search with FIFO Expansion



## Example: Generic Graph Search with FIFO Expansion



## Observations from Example

breadth-first search behaviour:

- state space is searched layer by layer
$\rightsquigarrow$ shallowest goal node is always found first


## Breadth-first Search: Tree Search or Graph Search?

Breadth-first search can be performed

- without duplicate elimination (as a tree search)
$\rightsquigarrow$ BFS-Tree
- or with duplicate elimination (as a graph search) $\rightsquigarrow$ BFS-Graph
(BFS = breadth-first search).
$\rightsquigarrow$ We consider both variants.


## B6.3 BFS-Tree

## Reminder: Generic Tree Search Algorithm

## reminder from Chapter B5:

Generic Tree Search
open := new OpenList
open.insert(make_root_node())
while not open.is_empty():

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n:=\text { open.pop }() \\
& \text { if is_goal }(n . \text { state }) \text { : } \\
& \quad \text { return extract_path }(n) \\
& \text { for each }\left\langle a, s^{\prime}\right\rangle \in \operatorname{succ}(n . \text { state }): \\
& n^{\prime}:=\operatorname{make\_ node}\left(n, a, s^{\prime}\right) \\
& \quad \text { open.insert }\left(n^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

return unsolvable

## BFS-Tree (1st Attempt)



## Running Example: BFS-Tree (1st Attempt)



## Opportunities for Improvement

- In a BFS, the first generated goal node is always the first expanded goal node. (Why?)
$\rightsquigarrow$ It is more efficient to perform the goal test upon generating a node (rather than upon expanding it).
$\rightsquigarrow$ How much effort does this save?


## BFS-Tree without Early Goal Tests



## BFS-Tree with Early Goal Tests



## BFS-Tree (2nd Attempt)



## BFS-Tree (2nd Attempt): Discussion

Where is the bug?

## BFS-Tree (Final Version)

## breadth-first search without duplicate elimination (final version):

```
BFS-Tree
if is_goal(init()):
    return <\rangle
open:= new Deque
open.push_back(make_root_node())
while not open.is_empty():
    n:=open.pop_front()
    for each }\langlea,\mp@subsup{s}{}{\prime}\rangle\in\operatorname{succ}(n.state)
        n':= make_node( }n,a,\mp@subsup{s}{}{\prime}
        if is_goal(s'):
                return extract_path( }\mp@subsup{n}{}{\prime}
    open.push_back( }\mp@subsup{n}{}{\prime}\mathrm{ )
return unsolvable
```


## B6.4 BFS-Graph

## Reminder: Generic Graph Search Algorithm

```
reminder from Chapter B5:
```

```
Generic Graph Search
open := new OpenList
open.insert(make_root_node())
closed:= new ClosedList
while not open.is_empty():
    n:= open.pop()
    if closed.lookup(n.state) = none:
        closed.insert(n)
        if is_goal(n.state):
        return extract_path(n)
        for each }\langlea,\mp@subsup{s}{}{\prime}\rangle\in\operatorname{succ}(n.state)
        n':= make_node( }n,a,\mp@subsup{s}{}{\prime}
        open.insert( }\mp@subsup{n}{}{\prime}\mathrm{ )
```

return unsolvable

## Adapting Generic Graph Search to Breadth-First Search

Adapting the generic algorithm to breadth-first search:

- similar adaptations to BFS-Tree
(deque as open list, early goal tests)
- as closed list does not need to manage node information, a set data structure suffices
- for the same reasons why early goal tests are a good idea, we should perform duplicate tests against the closed list and updates of the closed lists as early as possible


## BFS-Graph (Breadth-First Search with Duplicate Elim.)

```
BFS-Graph
if is_goal(init()):
    return <>
open:= new Deque
open.push_back(make_root_node())
closed:= new HashSet
closed.insert(init())
while not open.is_empty():
    n:=open.pop_front()
    for each }\langlea,\mp@subsup{s}{}{\prime}\rangle\in\operatorname{succ}(n.state)
        n':= make_node( }n,a,\mp@subsup{s}{}{\prime}
        if is_goal(s}\mp@subsup{s}{}{\prime})
        return extract_path( }\mp@subsup{n}{}{\prime
        if s}\mp@subsup{s}{}{\prime}\not\in\mathrm{ closed:
        closed.insert(s')
        open.push_back( }\mp@subsup{n}{}{\prime}
return unsolvable
```


## BFS-Graph: Example



## BFS-Graph: Example



## BFS-Graph: Example


open: $\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { next } \\ \text { closed: } \\ \{1,2,3,4\}\end{array}\right]$

BFS-Graph: Example



BFS-Graph: Example

closed: $\{1,2,3,4,5,6,9\}$

## B6.5 Properties of Breadth-first Search

## Properties of Breadth-first Search

Properties of Breadth-first Search:
BFS-Tree is semi-complete, but not complete. (Why?)

- BFS-Graph is complete. (Why?)
- BFS (both variants) is optimal
if all actions have the same cost (Why?), but not in general (Why not?).
- complexity: next slides


## Breadth-first Search: Complexity

The following result applies to both BFS variants:
Theorem (time complexity of breadth-first search)
Let $b$ be the branching factor and $d$ be the minimal solution length of the given state space. Let $b \geq 2$.

Then the time complexity of breadth-first search is

$$
1+b+b^{2}+b^{3}+\cdots+b^{d}=O\left(b^{d}\right)
$$

Reminder: we measure time complexity in generated nodes.
It follows that the space complexity of both BFS variants also is $O\left(b^{d}\right)$ (if $b \geq 2$ ). (Why?)

## Breadth-first Search: Example of Complexity

example: $b=13 ; 100000$ nodes/second; 32 bytes/node


Rubik's cube:

- branching factor: $\approx 13$
- typical solution length: 18

| $d$ | nodes | time | memory |
| ---: | ---: | :---: | ---: |
| 4 | 30940 | 0.3 s | 966 KiB |
| 6 | $5.2 \cdot 10^{6}$ | 52 s | 159 MiB |
| 8 | $8.8 \cdot 10^{8}$ | 147 min | 26 GiB |
| 10 | $10^{11}$ | 17 days | 4.3 TiB |
| 12 | $10^{13}$ | 8 years | 734 TiB |
| 14 | $10^{15}$ | 1352 years | 121 PiB |
| 16 | $10^{17}$ | $2.2 \cdot 10^{5}$ years | 20 EiB |
| 18 | $10^{20}$ | $38 \cdot 10^{6}$ years | 3.3 ZiB |

## BFS-Tree or BFS-Graph?

Which is better, BFS-Tree or BFS-Graph?
advantages of BFS-Graph:

- complete
- much (!) more efficient if there are many duplicates
advantages of BFS-Tree:
- simpler
- less overhead (time/space) if there are few duplicates


## Conclusion

BFS-Graph is usually preferable, unless we know that there is a negligible number of duplicates in the given state space.

## B6.6 Summary

## Summary

- blind search algorithm: use no information except black box interface of state space
- breadth-first search: expand nodes in order of generation
- search state space layer by layer
- can be tree search or graph search
- complexity $O\left(b^{d}\right)$ with branching factor $b$, minimal solution length $d$ (if $b \geq 2$ )
- complete as a graph search; semi-complete as a tree search
- optimal with uniform action costs

