

# Theory of Computer Science

## E1. LOOP & WHILE Computability

Gabriele Röger

University of Basel

May 22, 2023

# Theory of Computer Science

May 22, 2023 — E1. LOOP & WHILE Computability

E1.1 Introduction

E1.2 LOOP Programs

E1.3 WHILE Programs

E1.4 WHILE vs. LOOP

E1.5 Summary

# Overview: Course

contents of this course:

- A. background ✓
  - ▷ mathematical foundations and proof techniques
- B. automata theory and formal languages ✓
  - ▷ What is a computation?
- C. Turing computability ✓
  - ▷ What can be computed at all?
- D. complexity theory ✓
  - ▷ What can be computed efficiently?
- E. more computability theory
  - ▷ Other models of computability

# E1.1 Introduction

# Formal Models of Computation: LOOP/WHILE/GOTO

## Formal Models of Computation

- ▶ Turing machines
- ▶ LOOP, WHILE and GOTO programs
- ▶ (primitive recursive and  $\mu$ -recursive functions)

In this and the following chapter we get to know three simple models of computation (programming languages) and compare their power to Turing machines:

- ▶ LOOP programs  $\rightsquigarrow$  today
- ▶ WHILE programs  $\rightsquigarrow$  today
- ▶ GOTO programs  $\rightsquigarrow$  F2
- ▶ Comparison to DTMs  $\rightsquigarrow$  F2

# LOOP, WHILE and GOTO Programs: Basic Concepts

- ▶ LOOP, WHILE and GOTO programs are structured like programs in (simple) “traditional” programming languages
- ▶ use finitely many variables from the set  $\{x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots\}$  that can take on values in  $\mathbb{N}_0$
- ▶ differ from each other in the allowed “statements”

## E1.2 LOOP Programs

# LOOP Programs: Syntax

## Definition (LOOP Program)

**LOOP programs** are inductively defined as follows:

- ▶  $x_i := x_j + c$  is a LOOP program for every  $i, j, c \in \mathbb{N}_0$  (**addition**)
- ▶  $x_i := x_j - c$  is a LOOP program for every  $i, j, c \in \mathbb{N}_0$  (**modified subtraction**)
- ▶ If  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  are LOOP programs, then so is  $P_1; P_2$  (**composition**)
- ▶ If  $P$  is a LOOP program, then so is **LOOP**  $x_i$  **DO**  $P$  **END** for every  $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$  (**LOOP loop**)



# LOOP Programs: Semantics

## Definition (Semantics of LOOP Programs)

A LOOP program **computes** a  $k$ -ary function

$f : \mathbb{N}_0^k \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_0$ . The computation of  $f(n_1, \dots, n_k)$  works as follows:

- 1 Initially, the variables  $x_1, \dots, x_k$  hold the values  $n_1, \dots, n_k$ . All other variables hold the value 0.
- 2 During computation, the program modifies the variables as described on the following slides.
- 3 The result of the computation ( $f(n_1, \dots, n_k)$ ) is the value of  $x_0$  after the execution of the program.

# LOOP Programs: Semantics

## Definition (Semantics of LOOP Programs)

effect of  $x_i := x_j + c$ :

- ▶ The variable  $x_i$  is assigned the current value of  $x_j$  plus  $c$ .
- ▶ All other variables retain their value.

# LOOP Programs: Semantics

## Definition (Semantics of LOOP Programs)

effect of  $x_i := x_j - c$ :

- ▶ The variable  $x_i$  is assigned the current value of  $x_j$  minus  $c$  if this value is non-negative.
- ▶ Otherwise  $x_i$  is assigned the value 0.
- ▶ All other variables retain their value.

# LOOP Programs: Semantics

## Definition (Semantics of LOOP Programs)

effect of  $P_1; P_2$ :

- ▶ First, execute  $P_1$ .  
Then, execute  $P_2$  (on the modified variable values).

# LOOP Programs: Semantics

## Definition (Semantics of LOOP Programs)

effect of `LOOP  $x_i$  DO  $P$  END`:

- ▶ Let  $m$  be the value of variable  $x_i$  at the **start** of execution.
- ▶ The program  $P$  is executed  $m$  times in sequence.

# LOOP-Computable Functions

## Definition (LOOP-Computable)

A function  $f : \mathbb{N}_0^k \rightarrow_p \mathbb{N}_0$  is called **LOOP-computable** if a LOOP program that computes  $f$  exists.

**Note:** non-total functions are never LOOP-computable.  
(Why not?)

# LOOP Programs: Example

Example (LOOP program for  $f(x_1, x_2)$ )

```
LOOP  $x_1$  DO
  LOOP  $x_2$  DO
     $x_0 := x_0 + 1$ 
  END
END
```

Which (binary) function does this program compute?

# Syntactic Sugar or Essential Feature?

- ▶ We investigate the power of programming languages and other computation formalisms.
  - ▶ **Rich** language features help when writing complex programs.
  - ▶ **Minimalistic** formalisms are useful for proving statements over **all** programs.
- ↪ conflict of interest!

## Idea:

- ▶ Use **minimalistic core** for proofs.
- ▶ Use **syntactic sugar** when writing programs.



## Example: Syntactic Sugar

### Example (syntactic sugar)

We propose five new syntax constructs (with the obvious semantics):

- ▶  $x_i := x_j$  for  $i, j \in \mathbb{N}_0$
- ▶  $x_i := c$  for  $i, c \in \mathbb{N}_0$
- ▶  $x_i := x_j + x_k$  for  $i, j, k \in \mathbb{N}_0$
- ▶ **IF**  $x_i \neq 0$  **THEN**  $P$  **END** for  $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$
- ▶ **IF**  $x_i = c$  **THEN**  $P$  **END** for  $i, c \in \mathbb{N}_0$

Can we simulate these with the existing constructs?

## Example: Syntactic Sugar

### Example (syntactic sugar)

$x_i := x_j$  for  $i, j \in \mathbb{N}_0$

Simple abbreviation for  $x_i := x_j + 0$ .

## Example: Syntactic Sugar

### Example (syntactic sugar)

$x_i := c$  for  $i, c \in \mathbb{N}_0$

Simple abbreviation for  $x_i := x_j + c$ ,  
where  $x_j$  is a fresh variable, i.e., an otherwise unused variable  
that is not an input variable.  
(Thus  $x_j$  must always have the value 0 in all executions.)

## Example: Syntactic Sugar

### Example (syntactic sugar)

$x_i := x_j + x_k$  for  $i, j, k \in \mathbb{N}_0$

Abbreviation for:

$x_i := x_j;$

LOOP  $x_k$  DO

$x_i := x_i + 1$

END

Analogously we will also use the following:

- ▶  $x_i := x_j - x_k$
- ▶  $x_i := x_j + x_k - c - x_m + d$
- ▶ etc.

## Example: Syntactic Sugar

Example (syntactic sugar)

IF  $x_i \neq 0$  THEN  $P$  END for  $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$

Abbreviation for:

```
 $x_j := 0;$   
LOOP  $x_j$  DO  
   $x_j := 1$   
END;  
LOOP  $x_j$  DO  
   $P$   
END
```

where  $x_j$  is a fresh variable.

## Example: Syntactic Sugar

### Example (syntactic sugar)

IF  $x_i = c$  THEN  $P$  END for  $i, c \in \mathbb{N}_0$

Abbreviation for:

$x_j := 1$ ;

$x_k := x_i - c$ ;

IF  $x_k \neq 0$  THEN  $x_j := 0$  END;

$x_k := c - x_i$ ;

IF  $x_k \neq 0$  THEN  $x_j := 0$  END;

IF  $x_j \neq 0$  THEN

$P$

END

where  $x_j$  and  $x_k$  are fresh variables.

## E1.3 WHILE Programs

# WHILE Programs: Syntax

## Definition (WHILE Program)

**WHILE programs** are inductively defined as follows:

- ▶  $x_i := x_j + c$  is a WHILE program for every  $i, j, c \in \mathbb{N}_0$  (**addition**)
- ▶  $x_i := x_j - c$  is a WHILE program for every  $i, j, c \in \mathbb{N}_0$  (**modified subtraction**)
- ▶ If  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  are WHILE programs, then so is  $P_1; P_2$  (**composition**)
- ▶ If  $P$  is a WHILE program, then so is **WHILE**  $x_i \neq 0$  **DO**  $P$  **END** for every  $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$  (**WHILE loop**)



# WHILE Programs: Semantics

## Definition (Semantics of WHILE Programs)

The semantics of WHILE programs is defined exactly as for LOOP programs.

effect of **WHILE**  $x_i \neq 0$  **DO**  $P$  **END**:

- ▶ If  $x_i$  holds the value 0, program execution finishes.
- ▶ Otherwise execute  $P$ .
- ▶ Repeat these steps until execution finishes (potentially infinitely often).

# WHILE-Computable Functions

## Definition (WHILE-Computable)

A function  $f : \mathbb{N}_0^k \rightarrow_p \mathbb{N}_0$  is called **WHILE-computable** if a WHILE program that computes  $f$  exists.

# WHILE-Program: Example

## Example

**WHILE**  $x_1 \neq 0$  **DO**

$x_1 := x_1 - x_2;$

$x_0 := x_0 + 1$

**END**

What function  $f(x_1, x_2)$  does this program compute?

# E1.4 WHILE vs. LOOP

# WHILE-Computability vs. LOOP-Computability

## Theorem

*Every LOOP-computable function is WHILE-computable.  
The converse is not true.*

WHILE programs are therefore **strictly more powerful** than LOOP programs.

# WHILE-Computability vs. LOOP-Computability

Proof.

Part 1: Every LOOP-computable function is WHILE-computable.

Given any LOOP program, we construct an equivalent WHILE program, i. e., one computing the same function.

To do so, replace each occurrence of **LOOP  $x_j$  DO  $P$  END** with

```
 $x_j := x_j;$   
WHILE  $x_j \neq 0$  DO  
   $x_j := x_j - 1;$   
   $P$   
END
```

where  $x_j$  is a fresh variable.

...

# WHILE-Computability vs. LOOP-Computability

Proof (continued).

Part 2: Not all WHILE-computable functions are LOOP-computable.

The WHILE program

```
x1 := 1;  
WHILE x1 ≠ 0 DO  
  x1 := 1  
END
```

computes the function  $\Omega : \mathbb{N}_0 \rightarrow_p \mathbb{N}_0$  that is **undefined everywhere**.

$\Omega$  is hence WHILE-computable, but not LOOP-computable (because LOOP-computable functions are always total). □

# Syntactic Sugar

As we can simulate LOOP loops from LOOP programs with WHILE programs, we can use all syntactic sugar we have seen for LOOP programs in WHILE programs e.g.

- ▶  $x_i := x_j$  for  $i, j \in \mathbb{N}_0$
- ▶  $x_i := c$  for  $i, c \in \mathbb{N}_0$
- ▶  $x_i := x_j + x_k$  for  $i, j, k \in \mathbb{N}_0$
- ▶ IF  $x_i \neq 0$  THEN  $P$  END for  $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$
- ▶ IF  $x_i = c$  THEN  $P$  END for  $i, c \in \mathbb{N}_0$



# LOOP vs. WHILE: Is There a Practical Difference?

- ▶ We have shown that WHILE programs are **strictly more powerful** than LOOP programs.
- ▶ The **example** we used is not very relevant in practice because our argument only relied on the fact that LOOP-computable functions are always **total**.
- ▶ To terminate for every input is not much of a problem in practice. (Quite the opposite.)
- ▶ Are there any **total** functions that are WHILE-computable, but not LOOP-computable?

# Ackermann Function: History

- ▶ **David Hilbert** (1926) conjectured that **all computable** total functions are primitive recursive (= LOOP-computable).
  - ▶ **Wilhelm Ackermann** refuted the conjecture by supplying a counterexample (1928).
  - ▶ The counterexample was simplified by **Rózsa Péter** (1935).
- ↪ [here](#): simplified version

# Ackermann Function

## Definition (Ackermann function)

The **Ackermann function**  $a : \mathbb{N}_0^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_0$  is defined as follows:

$$a(0, y) = y + 1 \quad \text{for all } y \geq 0$$

$$a(x, 0) = a(x - 1, 1) \quad \text{for all } x > 0$$

$$a(x, y) = a(x - 1, a(x, y - 1)) \quad \text{for all } x, y > 0$$

**Note:** the recursion in the definition is bounded,  
so this defines a total function.

# Table of Values

	$y = 0$	$y = 1$	$y = 2$	$y = 3$	$y = k$
$a(0, y)$	1	2	3	4	$k + 1$
$a(1, y)$	2	3	4	5	$k + 2$
$a(2, y)$	3	5	7	9	$2k + 3$
$a(3, y)$	5	13	29	61	$2^{k+3} - 3$
$a(4, y)$	13	65533	$2^{65536} - 3$	$2^{2^{65536}} - 3$	$2^{2^{\dots^2}} - 3$ $\underbrace{\hspace{1.5cm}}_{k+3}$

# Computability of the Ackermann Function

## Theorem

*The Ackermann function is WHILE-computable,  
but not LOOP-computable.*

(Without proof.)

# E1.5 Summary

# Summary

- ▶ new models of computation for numerical functions:  
LOOP and WHILE programs
- ▶ closer to typical programming languages than Turing machines
- ▶ WHILE programs strictly more powerful than LOOP programs.
- ▶ WHILE-, but not LOOP-computable functions:
  - ▶ simple example: function that is undefined everywhere
  - ▶ more interesting example (total function):  
Ackermann function, which grows too fast  
to be LOOP-computable