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## Polynomial Reductions

## Polynomial Reductions: Idea

■ Reductions are a common and powerful concept in computer science. We know them from Part C.

- The basic idea is that we solve a new problem by reducing it to a known problem.


## Polynomial Reductions: Idea

■ Reductions are a common and powerful concept in computer science. We know them from Part C.

- The basic idea is that we solve a new problem by reducing it to a known problem.
- In complexity theory we want to use reductions that allow us to prove statements of the following kind: Problem A can be solved efficiently if problem $B$ can be solved efficiently.
- For this, we need a reduction from $A$ to $B$ that can be computed efficiently itself (otherwise it would be useless for efficiently solving $A$ ).


## Polynomial Reductions

## Definition (Polynomial Reduction)

Let $A \subseteq \Sigma^{*}$ and $B \subseteq \Gamma^{*}$ be decision problems.
We say that $A$ can be polynomially reduced to $B$, written $A \leq_{p} B$, if there is a function $f: \Sigma^{*} \rightarrow \Gamma^{*}$ such that:

■ $f$ can be computed in polynomial time by a DTM

- i. e., there is a polynomial $p$ and a DTM $M$ such that $M$ computes $f(w)$ in at most $p(|w|)$ steps given input $w \in \Sigma^{*}$
- $f$ reduces $A$ to $B$

■ i.e., for all $w \in \Sigma^{*}: w \in A$ iff $f(w) \in B$
$f$ is called a polynomial reduction from $A$ to $B$

## Polynomial Reductions: Remarks

- Polynomial reductions are also called Karp reductions (after Richard Karp, who wrote a famous paper describing many such reductions in 1972).
■ In practice, of course we do not have to specify a DTM for $f$ : it just has to be clear that $f$ can be computed in polynomial time by a deterministic algorithm.


## Polynomial Reductions: Example (1)

## Definition (HamiltonCycle)

HamiltonCycle is the following decision problem:

- Given: undirected graph $G=\langle V, E\rangle$
- Question: Does $G$ contain a Hamilton cycle?

Reminder:

## Definition (Hamilton Cycle)

A Hamilton cycle of $G$ is a sequence of vertices in $V$, $\pi=\left\langle v_{0}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\rangle$, with the following properties:

■ $\pi$ is a path: there is an edge from $v_{i}$ to $v_{i+1}$ for all $0 \leq i<n$

- $\pi$ is a cycle: $v_{0}=v_{n}$

■ $\pi$ is simple: $v_{i} \neq v_{j}$ for all $i \neq j$ with $i, j<n$

- $\pi$ is Hamiltonian: all nodes of $V$ are included in $\pi$


## Polynomial Reductions: Example (2)

## Definition (TSP)

TSP (traveling salesperson problem) is the following decision problem:

- Given: finite set $S \neq \emptyset$ of cities, symmetric cost function cost : $S \times S \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_{0}$, cost bound $K \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$
- Question: Is there a tour with total cost at most K, i.e., a permutation $\left\langle s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}\right\rangle$ of the cities with $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \operatorname{cost}\left(s_{i}, s_{i+1}\right)+\operatorname{cost}\left(s_{n}, s_{1}\right) \leq K ?$


## Polynomial Reductions: Example (3)

# Theorem (HAMILTONCYCLE $\leq_{p}$ TSP) HamiltonCycle $\leq_{p}$ TSP. 

## Proof.

$\rightsquigarrow$ blackboard

## Questions



## Questions?

## Exercise: Polynomial Reduction

## Definition (HamiltonianCompletion)

HamiltonianCompletion is the following decision problem:
■ Given: undirected graph $G=\langle V, E\rangle$, number $k \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$
■ Question: Can $G$ be extended with at most $k$ edges such that the resulting graph has a Hamilton cycle?

Show that
HamiltonCycle $\leq_{p}$ HamiltonianCompletion.


## Reminder: P and NP

P: class of languages that are decidable in polynomial time by a deterministic Turing machine

NP: class of languages that are decidable in polynomial time by a non-deterministic Turing machine

## Properties of Polynomial Reductions (1)

Theorem (Properties of Polynomial Reductions)
Let $A, B$ and $C$ decision problems.
(1) If $A \leq_{p} B$ and $B \in P$, then $A \in P$.
(2) If $A \leq_{p} B$ and $B \in N P$, then $A \in N P$.
(3) If $A \leq_{p} B$ and $A \notin P$, then $B \notin P$.
(9) If $A \leq_{p} B$ and $A \notin N P$, then $B \notin N P$.
(9) If $A \leq_{p} B$ and $B \leq_{p} C$, then $A \leq_{p} C$.

## Properties of Polynomial Reductions (2)

## Proof.

for 1 .:
We must show that there is a DTM deciding $A$ in polynomial time.

We know:

- There is a DTM $M_{B}$ that decides $B$ in time $p$, where $p$ is a polynomial.
- There is a DTM $M_{f}$ that computes a reduction from $A$ to $B$ in time $q$, where $q$ is a polynomial.


## Properties of Polynomial Reductions (3)

## Proof (continued).

Consider the machine $M$ that first behaves like $M_{f}$, and then (after $M_{f}$ stops) behaves like $M_{B}$ on the output of $M_{f}$.
$M$ decides $A$ :

- $M$ behaves on input $w$ as $M_{B}$ does on input $f(w)$, so it accepts $w$ if and only if $f(w) \in B$.
- Because $f$ is a reduction, $w \in A$ iff $f(w) \in B$.


## Properties of Polynomial Reductions (4)

## Proof (continued).

Computation time of $M$ on input $w$ :

- first $M_{f}$ runs on input $w: \leq q(|w|)$ steps
- then $M_{B}$ runs on input $f(w): \leq p(|f(w)|)$ steps

■ $|f(w)| \leq|w|+q(|w|)$ because in $q(|w|)$ steps, $M_{f}$ can write at most $q(|w|)$ additional symbols onto the tape
$\rightsquigarrow$ total computation time $\leq q(|w|)+p(|f(w)|)$

$$
\leq q(|w|)+p(|w|+q(|w|))
$$

$\rightsquigarrow$ this is polynomial in $|w| \rightsquigarrow A \in \mathrm{P}$.

## Properties of Polynomial Reductions (5)

## Proof (continued).

for 2 .:
analogous to 1 ., only that $M_{B}$ and $M$ are NTMs
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## Proof (continued).

for 2 .:
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## Properties of Polynomial Reductions (5)

## Proof (continued).

for 2.:
analogous to 1 ., only that $M_{B}$ and $M$ are NTMs
of $3 .+4$.:
equivalent formulations of 1. +2 . (contraposition)
of 5 .:
Let $A \leq_{p} B$ with reduction $f$ and $B \leq_{p} C$ with reduction $g$. Then $g \circ f$ is a reduction of $A$ to $C$.

The computation time of the two computations in sequence is polynomial by the same argument used in the proof for 1 .

## Questions



## Questions?

NP-Hardness and NP-Completeness

## NP-Hardness and NP-Completeness

## Definition (NP-Hard, NP-Complete)

Let $B$ be a decision problem.
$B$ is called NP-hard if $A \leq_{\mathrm{p}} B$ for all problems $A \in \mathrm{NP}$.
$B$ is called NP-complete if $B \in \mathrm{NP}$ and $B$ is NP-hard.

## NP-Complete Problems: Meaning

■ NP-hard problems are "at least as difficult" as all problems in NP.
■ NP-complete problems are "the most difficult" problems in NP: all problems in NP can be reduced to them.
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■ If $A \in \mathrm{P}$ for any NP-complete problem $A$, then $\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{NP}$. (Why?)

- That means that either there are efficient algorithms for all NP-complete problems or for none of them.


## NP-Complete Problems: Meaning

■ NP-hard problems are "at least as difficult" as all problems in NP.
■ NP-complete problems are "the most difficult" problems in NP: all problems in NP can be reduced to them.
■ If $A \in \mathrm{P}$ for any NP-complete problem $A$, then $\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{NP}$. (Why?)

- That means that either there are efficient algorithms for all NP-complete problems or for none of them.
■ Do NP-complete problems actually exist?


## Questions



## Questions?

## Summary

## Summary

- polynomial reductions: $A \leq_{\mathrm{p}} B$ if there is a total function $f$ computable in polynomial time, such that for all words $w: w \in A$ iff $f(w) \in B$
- $A \leq_{\mathrm{p}} B$ implies that $A$ is "at most as difficult" as $B$
- polynomial reductions are transitive

■ NP-hard problems $B: A \leq_{p} B$ for all $A \in$ NP
■ NP-complete problems $B: B \in$ NP and $B$ is NP-hard

