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## Overview: Course

contents of this course:
A. background $\checkmark$
$\triangleright$ mathematical foundations and proof techniques
B. automata theory and formal languages $\checkmark$
$\triangleright$ What is a computation?
C. Turing computability
$\triangleright$ What can be computed at all?
D. complexity theory
$\triangleright$ What can be computed efficiently?
E. more computability theory
$\triangleright$ Other models of computability

## Main Question

Main question in this part of the course:
What can be computed by a computer?

## Hilbert's 10th Problem

## Algorithms

- Informally, an algorithm is a collection of simple instructions for carrying out some task.

■ Long history in mathematics since ancient times: descriptions of algorithms e. g. for finding prime numbers or the greatest common divisor.

- A formal notion of an algorithm itself was not defined until the 20th century.


## Hilbert's 10th Problem

Around 1900 David Hilbert (German mathematician) formulated 23 mathematical problems as challenge for the 20th century.
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## Diophantine Equations

- A polynomial is a sum of terms where each term is a product of a constant (the coefficient) and certain variables.
e. g. $6 x^{3} y z^{2}+3 x y^{2}-x^{3}-10$
- A polynomial equation is an equation $p=0$, where $p$ is a polynmial. A solutions of the equation is called a root of $p$. e. g. $6 x^{3} y z^{2}+3 x y^{2}-x^{3}-10$ has a root $x=5, y=3, z=0$.

■ Diophantine equations are polynomial equations, where only integral roots (assigning only integer values to the variables) count as solutions.
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## Hilbert's 10th problem

Given a Diophantine equation with any number of unknown quantities and with rational integral numerical coefficients:
To devise a process according to which it can be determined in a finite number of operations whether the equation is solvable in rational integers.
[178 Specify an algorithm that takes a polynomial with integer coefficients as input and outputs whether it has an integral root.
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## Hilbert's 10th problem

Given a Diophantine equation with any number of unknown quantities and with rational integral numerical coefficients:
To devise a process according to which it can be determined in a finite number of operations whether the equation is solvable in rational integers.
[18웅 Specify an algorithm that takes a polynomial with integer coefficients as input and outputs whether it has an integral root.

There is no such algorithm!
(implication of Matiyasevich's theorem from 1970)

## Questions



## Questions?

Church-Turing Thesis

## Formal Notion of Algorithm?

■ What is an algorithm?

- intuitive model of algorithm (cookbook recipe)
- vs. algorithm in modern programming language
- vs. formal mathematical models

■ Proving that no algorithm exists requires
a clear notion of algorithm.

## Church-Turing Thesis
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## Church-Turing Thesis

## Church-Turing Thesis

All functions that can be computed in the intuitive sense can be computed by a Turing machine.

- cannot be proven (why not?)

■ but there is significant evidence such as equivalence of TMs and different register machines:

- Counter machine: concept of registers
- Random-access machine (RAM): adds indirect addressing

■ Random-access stored-program machines: related to the von Neumann architecture (very close to modern computer systems)

## What about the Infinite Tape?

■ Turing Machines have access to infinite storage.
■ Computer systems do not.
■ However: A halting (in particular: accepting) computation of a TM can only use a finite part of the tape.

■ If a problem is undecidable, we cannot solve it with a computer, no matter how much memory we provide.

## Turing Completeness

## Church-Turing Thesis

All functions that can be computed in the intuitive sense can be computed by a Turing machine.

## Vice versa:

We say that a programming language is Turing-complete to express that it can compute everything a Turing machine can.

- We can show Turing completeness by showing that with the programming language we can simulate any Turing machine.


## Back to Hilbert's Problem

The corresponding formal problem (= language) is

$$
D=\{p \mid p \text { is a polynomial with an integral root }\}
$$

## Back to Hilbert's Problem

The corresponding formal problem (= language) is

$$
D=\{p \mid p \text { is a polynomial with an integral root }\}
$$

Formal way to say that "there is no algorithm for this problem":
$D$ is not Turing-decidable.

Encoding

## Finite Structures as Strings

- Turing machines take words (= strings) as input and can only represent strings on their tape.
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## Finite Structures as Strings

- Turing machines take words (= strings) as input and can only represent strings on their tape.
- Is this a limitation?

■ Not really!

- Computers also internally operate on binary numbers (words over $\{0,1\}$ ).
- We just need to define how a string encodes a certain structure e.g. how does a file of 0 s and 1 s specify an image?
- We will have a look at two examples:
- Example 1: Encoding of pairs of numbers

■ Example 2: Encoding of Turing machines

## Encoding and Decoding: Binary Encode

Consider the function encode : $\mathbb{N}_{0}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_{0}$ with:

$$
\operatorname{encode}(x, y):=\binom{x+y+1}{2}+x
$$

- encode is known as the Cantor pairing function
- encode is computable
- encode is bijective

|  | $x=0$ | $x=1$ | $x=2$ | $x=3$ | $x=4$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $y=0$ | 0 | 2 | 5 | 9 | 14 |
| $y=1$ | 1 | 4 | 8 | 13 | 19 |
| $y=2$ | 3 | 7 | 12 | 18 | 25 |
| $y=3$ | 6 | 11 | 17 | 24 | 32 |
| $y=4$ | 10 | 16 | 23 | 31 | 40 |

## Encoding and Decoding: Binary Decode

Consider the inverse functions decode $_{1}: \mathbb{N}_{0} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_{0}$ and decode $_{2}: \mathbb{N}_{0} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_{0}$ of encode:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{decode}_{1}(e n c o d e(x, y))=x \\
& \operatorname{decode}_{2}(\operatorname{encode}(x, y))=y
\end{aligned}
$$

- decode 1 and decode $_{2}$ are computable


## Turing Machines as Inputs

■ We will at some point consider problems that have Turing machines as their input.
$\rightsquigarrow ~ " p r o g r a m s ~ t h a t ~ h a v e ~ p r o g r a m s ~ a s ~ i n p u t ": ~$
cf. compilers, interpreters, virtual machines, etc.

- We have to think about how we can encode arbitrary Turing machines as words over a fixed alphabet.
- We use the binary alphabet $\Sigma=\{0,1\}$.

■ As an intermediate step we first encode over the alphabet $\Sigma^{\prime}=\{0,1, \#\}$.

## Encoding a Turing Machine as a Word (1)

Step 1: encode a Turing machine as a word over $\{0,1, \#\}$
Reminder: Turing machine $M=\left\langle Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, q_{0}, q_{\text {accept }}, q_{\text {reject }}\right\rangle$
Idea:
■ input alphabet $\Sigma$ should always be $\{0,1\}$
■ enumerate states in $Q$ and symbols in 「 and consider them as numbers $0,1,2, \ldots$

- blank symbol always receives number 2

■ start state always receives number 0 , accept state number 1 and reject state number 2
(we can special-case machines where the start state is the accept or reject state)
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Step 1: encode a Turing machine as a word over $\{0,1, \#\}$
Reminder: Turing machine $M=\left\langle Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, q_{0}, q_{\text {accept }}, q_{\text {reject }}\right\rangle$ Idea:

- input alphabet $\Sigma$ should always be $\{0,1\}$
- enumerate states in $Q$ and symbols in $\Gamma$ and consider them as numbers $0,1,2, \ldots$
- blank symbol always receives number 2

■ start state always receives number 0 , accept state number 1 and reject state number 2
(we can special-case machines where the start state is the accept or reject state)
Then it is sufficient to only encode $\delta$ explicitly:
■ Q: all states mentioned in the encoding of $\delta$
$■ \Gamma=\left\{0,1, \square, a_{3}, a_{4}, \ldots, a_{k}\right\}$, where $k$ is the largest symbol number mentioned in the $\delta$-rules

## Encoding a Turing Machine as a Word (2)

## encode the rules:

■ Let $\delta\left(q_{i}, a_{j}\right)=\left\langle q_{i^{\prime}}, a_{j^{\prime}}, D\right\rangle$ be a rule in $\delta$, where the indices $i, i^{\prime}, j, j^{\prime}$ correspond to the enumeration of states/symbols and $D \in\{\mathrm{~L}, \mathrm{R}\}$.

- encode this rule as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w_{i, j, i^{\prime}, j^{\prime}, D}=\# \# \operatorname{bin}(i) \# \operatorname{bin}(j) \# \operatorname{bin}\left(i^{\prime}\right) \# \operatorname{bin}\left(j^{\prime}\right) \# \operatorname{bin}(m), \\
& \text { where } m= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } D=\mathrm{L} \\
1 & \text { if } D=\mathrm{R}\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

- For every rule in $\delta$, we obtain one such word.
- All of these words in sequence (in arbitrary order) encode the Turing machine.


## Encoding a Turing Machine as a Word (3)

Step 2: transform into word over $\{0,1\}$ with mapping

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \mapsto 00 \\
& 1 \mapsto 01 \\
& \# \mapsto 11
\end{aligned}
$$

Turing machine can be reconstructed from its encoding. How?

## Encoding a Turing Machine as a Word (4)

## Example (step 1)

$\delta\left(q_{0}, a_{3}\right)=\left\langle q_{3}, a_{2}, R\right\rangle$ becomes \#\#0\#11\#11\#10\#1
$\delta\left(q_{3}, a_{1}\right)=\left\langle q_{1}, a_{0}, L\right\rangle$ becomes \#\#11\#1\#1\#0\#0
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## Exercise: Encoding of TMs (slido)

What would be the encoding of a transition $\delta\left(q_{0}, a_{0}\right)=\left(q_{1}, a_{2}, L\right)$ as word over $\{0,1\} ?$

## Turing Machine Encoded by a Word

goal: function that maps any word in $\{0,1\}^{*}$ to a Turing machine problem: not all words in $\{0,1\}^{*}$ are encodings of a Turing machine solution: Let $\widehat{M}$ be an arbitrary fixed deterministic Turing machine (for example one that always immediately stops). Then:

Definition (Turing Machine Encoded by a Word)
For all $w \in\{0,1\}^{*}$ :

$$
M_{w}= \begin{cases}M^{\prime} & \text { if } w \text { is the encoding of some DTM } M^{\prime} \\ \widehat{M} & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

## Notation for Encoding

■ Most of the time, we will not consider a particular encoding of non-string objects.
■ For a single object $O$, we will just write $\langle\langle O\rangle$ to denote some suitable encoding of $O$ as a string.
■ For several objects $O_{1}, \ldots, O_{n}$, we write $\left\langle O_{1}, \ldots, O_{n}\right\rangle$ for their encoding into a single string.
■ In the high-level description of a TM we can refer to them as the objects they are because on the lower level the TM can be programmed to handle the encoded representation accordingly.
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We describe a TM that recognizes $L$ :
On input $\langle G\rangle$, the encoding of a undirected graph $G$ :
(1) Select the first node of $G$ and mark it.
(2) Repeat until no more nodes are marked:

For each node in $G$, mark it if it is adjacent to a node that is already marked.
(3) Scan all the nodes of $G$ to determine whether they are all marked. If yes, accept, otherwise reject.

## Example

$$
L=\{\langle G\rangle \mid G \text { is a connected undirected graph }\}
$$

We describe a TM that recognizes $L$ :
On input $\langle G\rangle$, the encoding of a undirected graph $G$ :
(1) Select the first node of $G$ and mark it.
(2) Repeat until no more nodes are marked:

For each node in $G$, mark it if it is adjacent to a node that is already marked.
(3) Scan all the nodes of $G$ to determine whether they are all marked. If yes, accept, otherwise reject.

Implicit (lower-level detail): If the input does not encode an undirected graph, directly reject.
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## Summary

## Summary

■ main question: what can a computer compute?
■ approach: investigate formal models of computation $\rightarrow$ deterministic Turing machines

- Based on the (existing evidence for the) Church-Turing thesis, we will describe the behaviour of Turing machines on a higher abstraction level (such as pseudo-code).
■ The formal restriction of TMs to strings is not a practical limitation but can be handled with suitable encodings.

