Theory of Computer Science
B3. Regular Languages

Gabriele Röger

University of Basel

March 15, 2021

B3.1 Introduction

B3.2 Epsilon Rules

B3.3 Finite Automata

B3.1 Introduction

Repetition: Regular Grammars

Definition (Regular Grammars)

A regular grammar is a 4-tuple $\langle V, \Sigma, R, S \rangle$ with

- V finite set of variables (nonterminal symbols)
- Σ finite alphabet of terminal symbols with $V \cap \Sigma = \emptyset$
- $R \subseteq (V \times (\Sigma \cup \Sigma V)) \cup \{\langle S, \varepsilon \rangle\}$ finite set of rules
- if $S \to \varepsilon \in R$, there is no $X \in V, y \in \Sigma$ with $X \to yS \in R$
- $S \in V$ start variable.

Rule $X \to \varepsilon$ is only allowed if $X = S$ and
S never occurs in the right-hand side of a rule.

Question (Slido)

With a regular grammar, how many steps does it
take to derive a non-empty word (over Σ) from
the start variable?

Repetition: Regular Languages

A language is regular if it is generated by some regular grammar.

Definition (Regular Language)

A language $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$ is regular
if there exists a regular grammar G with $\mathcal{L}(G) = L$.

Questions

▶ How restrictive is the requirement on ϵ rules?
  If we don't restrict the usage of ε as right-hand side of a rule,
  what does this change?

▶ How do regular languages relate to finite automata?
  Can all regular languages be recognized by a finite
  automaton? And vice versa?

▶ With what operations can we "combine" regular languages
  and the result is again a regular language?
  E.g. is the intersection of two regular languages regular?

B3.2 Epsilon Rules

Repetition: Regular Grammars

> ### Definition (Regular Grammars)
> A regular grammar is a 4-tuple $\langle V, \Sigma, R, S \rangle$ with
> - V finite set of variables (nonterminal symbols)
> - Σ finite alphabet of terminal symbols with $V \cap \Sigma = \emptyset$
> - $R \subseteq (V \times (\Sigma \cup \Sigma V)) \cup \{\langle S, \varepsilon \rangle\}$ finite set of rules
> - if $S \to \varepsilon \in R$, there is no $X \in V, y \in \Sigma$ with $X \to yS \in R$
> - $S \in V$ start variable.

Rule $X \to \varepsilon$ is only allowed if $X = S$ and
S never occurs in the right-hand side of a rule.
How restrictive is this?

Our Plan

We are going to show that every grammar with rules

$$R \subseteq V \times (\Sigma \cup \Sigma V \cup \varepsilon)$$

generates a regular language.

Question

> This is much simpler!
> Why don't we define
> regular languages
> via such grammars?

Question

Both variants (restricting the occurrence of ε on the right-hand side of rules or not) characterize exactly the regular languages.

In the following situations, which variant would you prefer?

▶ You want to prove something for all regular languages.

▶ You want to specify a grammar to establish that a certain language is regular.

▶ You want to write an algorithm that takes a grammar for a regular language as input.

Our Plan

We are going to show that every grammar with rules

$$R \subseteq V \times (\Sigma \cup \Sigma V \cup \varepsilon)$$

generates a regular language.

▶ The proof will be constructive, i.e. it will tell us how to
   construct a regular grammar for a language
   that is given by such a more general grammar.
▶ Two steps:
   1. Eliminate the start variable from the right-hand side of rules.
   2. Eliminate forbidden occurrences of ε.

Start Variable in Right-Hand Side of Rules

For every type-0 language L there is a grammar where the start variable does not occur on the right-hand side of any rule.

Theorem
For every grammar $G = \langle V, \Sigma, R, S \rangle$ there is a grammar
$G' = \langle V', \Sigma, R', S \rangle$ with rules
$R' \subseteq (V' \cup \Sigma)^* V' (V' \cup \Sigma)^* \times (V' \setminus \{S\} \cup \Sigma)^*$ such that
$\mathcal{L}(G) = \mathcal{L}(G')$.

Note: this theorem is true for all grammars.

Start Variable in Right-Hand Side of Rules: Example

Before we prove the theorem, let's illustrate its idea.
Consider $G = \langle \{S, X\}, \{a, b\}, R, S \rangle$ with the following rules in R:

$$bS \rightarrow \varepsilon \qquad S \rightarrow XabS \qquad bX \rightarrow aSa \qquad X \rightarrow abc$$

The new grammar has all original rules except that S is replaced
with a new variable S' (allowing to derive everything from S' that
could originally be derived from the start variable S):

$$bS' \rightarrow \varepsilon \qquad S' \rightarrow XabS' \qquad bX \rightarrow aS'a \qquad X \rightarrow abc$$

In addition, it has rules that allow to start from the original start
variable but switch to S' after the first rule application:

$$S \rightarrow XabS'$$

Start Variable in Right-Hand Side of Rules: Proof

Proof.
Let $G = \langle V, \Sigma, R, S \rangle$ be a grammar and $S' \notin V$ be a new variable.
Construct rule set R' from R as follows:

- ▶ for every rule $r \in R$, add a rule r' to R', where r' is the result of replacing all occurences of S in r with S'.

- ▶ for every rule $S \rightarrow w \in R$, add a rule $S \rightarrow w'$ to R', where w' is the result of replacing all occurences of S in w with S'.

Then $\mathcal{L}(G) = \mathcal{L}(\langle V \cup \{S'\}, \Sigma, R', S \rangle)$. $\square$

Note that the rules in R' are not fundamentally different from the rules in R. In particular:

- ▶ If $R \subseteq V \times (\Sigma \cup \Sigma V \cup \{\varepsilon\})$ then $R' \subseteq V' \times (\Sigma \cup \Sigma V' \cup \{\varepsilon\})$.
- ▶ If $R \subseteq V \times (V \cup \Sigma)^*$ then $R' \subseteq V' \times (V' \cup \Sigma)^*$.

Epsilon Rules

Theorem

For every grammar G with rules $R \subseteq V \times (\Sigma \cup \Sigma V \cup \{\varepsilon\})$
there is a regular grammar G' with $\mathcal{L}(G) = \mathcal{L}(G')$.

Epsilon Rules: Example

Let's again first illustrate the idea.

Consider $G = \langle \{S, X, Y\}, \{a, b\}, R, S \rangle$ with the following rules in R:

$S \to \varepsilon$ $\qquad$ $S \to aX$ $\qquad$ $X \to aX$ $\qquad$ $X \to aY$ $\qquad$ $Y \to bY$ $\qquad$ $Y \to \varepsilon$

1. The start variable does not occur on a right-hand side. ✓
2. Determine the set of variables that can be replaced with the empty word: $V_\varepsilon = \{S, Y\}$.
3. Eliminate forbidden rules: ~~X // H / E~~
4. If a variable from V_ε occurs in the right-hand side, add another rule that directly emulates a subsequent replacement with the empty word: $X \to a$ and $Y \to b$

Epsilon Rules

Theorem

For every grammar G with rules $R \subseteq V \times (\Sigma \cup \Sigma V \cup \{\varepsilon\})$
there is a regular grammar G' with $\mathcal{L}(G) = \mathcal{L}(G')$.

Proof.

Let $G = \langle V, \Sigma, R, S \rangle$ be a grammar s.t. $R \subseteq V \times (\Sigma \cup \Sigma V \cup \{\varepsilon\})$.
Use the previous proof to construct grammar $G' = \langle V', \Sigma, R', S \rangle$
s.t. $R' \subseteq V' \times (\Sigma \cup \Sigma(V' \setminus \{S\}) \cup \{\varepsilon\})$ and $\mathcal{L}(G') = \mathcal{L}(G)$.
Let $V_\varepsilon = \{A \mid A \to \varepsilon \in R'\}$.

Let R'' be the rule set that is created from R' by removing all rules
of the form $A \to \varepsilon$ ($A \neq S$). Additionally, for every rule of the form
$B \to xA$ with $A \in V_\varepsilon, B \in V', x \in \Sigma$ we add a rule $B \to x$ to R''.
Then $G'' = \langle V', \Sigma, R'', S \rangle$ is regular and $\mathcal{L}(G) = \mathcal{L}(G'')$. $\square$

Exercise (Slido)

Consider $G = \langle \{S, X, Y\}, \{a, b\}, R, S \rangle$ with the
following rules in R:

$$\begin{aligned} S &\to \varepsilon & S &\to aX \\ X &\to aX & X &\to aY \\ Y &\to bY & Y &\to \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Is G a regular grammar?
- ▶ Is $\mathcal{L}(G)$ regular?
- ▶ What is $\mathcal{L}(G)$?

B3.3 Finite Automata

Languages Recognized by DFAs are Regular

Theorem
Every language recognized by a DFA is regular (type 3).

Proof.
Let $M = \langle Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F \rangle$ be a DFA.
We define a regular grammar G with $\mathcal{L}(G) = \mathcal{L}(M)$.

Define $G = \langle Q, \Sigma, R, q_0 \rangle$ where R contains

- ▶ a rule $q \to aq'$ for every $\delta(q, a) = q'$, and
- ▶ a rule $q \to \varepsilon$ for every $q \in F$.

(We can eliminate forbidden epsilon rules
as described at the start of the chapter.)   . . .

Languages Recognized by DFAs are Regular

Theorem
Every language recognized by a DFA is regular (type 3).

Proof (continued).
For every $w = a_1 a_2 \ldots a_n \in \Sigma^*$:

$w \in \mathcal{L}(M)$

iff there is a sequence of states $q_0', q_1', \ldots, q_n'$ with
$\quad q_0' = q_0,\ q_n' \in F$ and $\delta(q_{i-1}', a_i) = q_i'$ for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$

iff there is a sequence of variables $q_0', q_1', \ldots, q_n'$ with
$\quad q_0'$ is start variable and we have $q_0' \Rightarrow a_1 q_1' \Rightarrow a_1 a_2 q_2' \Rightarrow$
$\quad \cdots \Rightarrow a_1 a_2 \ldots a_n q_n' \Rightarrow a_1 a_2 \ldots a_n.$

iff $w \in \mathcal{L}(G)$ $\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad$ $\square$

Exercise (Slido)

Specify a regular grammar that generates the
language recognized by this DFA.

Question

Is the inverse true as well:
for every regular language, is there a
DFA that recognizes it? That is, are the
languages recognized by DFAs exactly
the regular languages?

Yes!
We will prove this via a detour.

Picture courtesy of imagerymajestic / FreeDigitalPhotos.net

Regular Grammars are No More Powerful than NFAs

Theorem
For every regular grammar G there is an NFA M
with $\mathcal{L}(G) = \mathcal{L}(M)$.

Proof.
Let $G = \langle V, \Sigma, R, S \rangle$ be a regular grammar.
Define NFA $M = \langle Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F \rangle$ with

$$Q = V \cup \{X\}, \quad X \notin V$$
$$q_0 = S$$
$$F = \begin{cases} \{S, X\} & \text{if } S \to \varepsilon \in R \\ \{X\} & \text{if } S \to \varepsilon \notin R \end{cases}$$
$$B \in \delta(A, a) \text{ if } A \to aB \in R$$
$$X \in \delta(A, a) \text{ if } A \to a \in R$$

. . .

Regular Grammars are No More Powerful than NFAs

Theorem
For every regular grammar G there is an NFA M
with $\mathcal{L}(G) = \mathcal{L}(M)$.

Proof (continued).
For every $w = a_1 a_2 \ldots a_n \in \Sigma^*$ with $n \geq 1$:

$w \in \mathcal{L}(G)$

iff there is a sequence on variables $A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_{n-1}$ with
$\quad S \Rightarrow a_1 A_1 \Rightarrow a_1 a_2 A_2 \Rightarrow \cdots \Rightarrow a_1 a_2 \ldots a_{n-1} A_{n-1} \Rightarrow a_1 a_2 \ldots a_n.$

iff there is a sequence of variables $A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_{n-1}$ with
$\quad A_1 \in \delta(S, a_1), A_2 \in \delta(A_1, a_2), \ldots, X \in \delta(A_{n-1}, a_n).$

iff $w \in \mathcal{L}(M)$.

Case $w = \varepsilon$ is also covered because $S \in F$ iff $S \rightarrow \varepsilon \in R$. $\quad\square$

Finite Automata and Regular Languages

regular grammar

DFA ⟵——————————— NFA

In particular, this implies:

Corollary

$\mathcal{L}$ regular $\iff$ $\mathcal{L}$ is recognized by a DFA.

$\mathcal{L}$ regular $\iff$ $\mathcal{L}$ is recognized by an NFA.

Summary

▶ Regular grammars restrict the usage of ε in rules.

▶ This restriction is not necessary for the characterization of regular languages but convenient if we want to prove something for all regular languages.

▶ Finite automata (DFAs and NFAs) recognize exactly the regular languages.