

# Theory of Computer Science

G. Röger  
Spring Term 2019

University of Basel  
Computer Science

## Exercise meeting 2 — Solutions

### Exercise 2.1 (Formula Sets and Resolution)

Use the resolution calculus to show for the set of formulas

$$\text{KB} = \{(A \vee B), (\neg B \vee C)\}$$

that  $\text{KB} \models (A \vee C)$ .

#### Solution:

It holds that  $\text{KB} \models (A \vee C)$  iff  $\text{KB} \cup \{\neg(A \vee C)\}$  is unsatisfiable.

$\text{KB} \cup \{\neg(A \vee C)\}$  corresponds to the set of clauses  $\Delta = \{\{A, B\}, \{\neg B, C\}, \{\neg A\}, \{\neg C\}\}$ . We can derive  $\square$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} C_1 &= \{A, B\} \quad (\text{from } \Delta) \\ C_2 &= \{\neg B, C\} \quad (\text{from } \Delta) \\ C_3 &= \{\neg A\} \quad (\text{from } \Delta) \\ C_4 &= \{\neg C\} \quad (\text{from } \Delta) \\ C_5 &= \{B\} \quad (\text{from } C_1, C_3) \\ C_6 &= \{C\} \quad (\text{from } C_2, C_5) \\ C_7 &= \square \quad (\text{from } C_4, C_6) \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $\Delta$  is unsatisfiable.

### Exercise 2.2 (Syntax of Predicate Logic)

Which of the following expressions are syntactically correct formulas or terms for the following signature  $\mathcal{S}$ ? Analyse also all subformulas and all subterms. For the formulas, also state what kind of formulas they are (atomic formula, conjunction,  $\dots$ ).

$$\mathcal{S} = \langle \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{P} \rangle$$

with  $\mathcal{V} = \{x, y, z\}$ ,  $\mathcal{C} = \{c\}$ ,  $\mathcal{F} = \{f, g, h\}$ , where  $ar(f) = 3$ ,  $ar(g) = ar(h) = 1$ , and  $\mathcal{P} = \{Q, R, S\}$ , where  $ar(Q) = 2$ ,  $ar(R) = ar(S) = 1$ .

(a)  $f(x, y, z)$

#### Solution:

Term  $f(x, y, z)$  with subterms  $x, y$  and  $z$ .

(b)  $f(x, y)$

#### Solution:

Syntactically not correct because function symbol  $f$  has arity 3.

(c)  $Q(x, y)$

**Solution:**

Atomic formula  $Q(x, y)$  with subterms  $x$  and  $y$ .

(d)  $(g(x) = R(y))$

**Solution:**

$(g(x) = R(y))$  is syntactically not correct, because  $R(y)$  is a formula but the identity  $(=)$  would require a term.

(e)  $(g(x) = f(y, c, h(x)))$

**Solution:**

The formula  $(g(x) = f(y, c, h(x)))$  is an identity with sub-terms  $g(x)$  (with subterm  $x$ ) and  $f(y, c, h(x))$ . The latter has subterms  $x$  (a variable),  $c$  (a constant) and  $h(x)$  (a function term with variable  $x$  as subterm).

(f)  $\forall c Q(c, x)$

**Solution:**

Syntactically not correct because the quantifier ranges over a constant, not a variable.

(g)  $(R(x) \wedge \forall x S(x))$

**Solution:**

Formula  $(R(x) \wedge \forall x S(x))$  is a conjunction with atomic sub-formula  $R(x)$  (with sub-term  $x$ ) and universal quantification  $\forall x S(x)$ . The latter formula has an (atomic) sub-formula  $S(x)$  with term  $x$ .

(h)  $(g(h(x)) \wedge R(x))$

**Solution:**

Syntactically not correct because a conjunction is build from formulas but  $g(h(x))$  is a term.

(i)  $(\forall x \exists y (g(x) = y) \vee (h(x) = c))$

**Solution:**

This is a disjunction with subformulas  $\forall x \exists y (g(x) = y)$  and  $(h(x) = c)$ .

The universal quantification  $\forall x \exists y (g(x) = y)$  has subformula  $\exists y (g(x) = y)$ , which is an existential quantification with (identity) subformula  $(g(x) = y)$  build over terms  $g(x)$  (with sub-term  $x$ ) and  $y$ .

Formula  $(h(x) = c)$  is an identity with sub-terms  $h(x)$  and  $c$ .