

C3. Regular Languages: Regular Expressions, Pumping Lemma

Regular Expressions

C3.1 Regular Expressions

Theory of Compute March 26, 2018 — C3. Regula	r Science ar Languages: Regular Expr	essions, Pumping Lemma	
C3.1 Regular Exp	pressions		
C3.2 Pumping Le	emma		
C3.3 Minimal Au	tomata		
C3.4 Summary			
Gabriele Röger (University of Basel)	Theory of Computer Science	March 26, 2018	2 / 36







Regular Expressions: Omitting Parentheses

omitted parentheses by convention:

- Kleene closure α^* binds more strongly than concatenation $\alpha\beta$.
- Concatenation binds more strongly than alternative $\alpha|\beta$.
- Parentheses for nested concatenations/alternatives are omitted (we can treat them as left-associative; it does not matter).

Example: $ab^*c|\varepsilon|abab^*$ abbreviates ((((a(b^*))c)|\varepsilon)|(((ab)a)(b^*))).

Theory of Computer Science



C3. Regular Languages: Regular Expressions, Pumping Lemma

Finite Languages Can Be Described By Regular Expressions

Theorem

Every finite language can be described by a regular expression.

Proof.

For every word $w \in \Sigma^*$, a regular expression describing the language $\{w\}$ can be built from regular expressions $a \in \Sigma$ by using concatenations. (Use ε if $w = \varepsilon$.) For every finite language $L = \{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n\}$,

a regular expression describing L can be built from the regular expressions for $\{w_i\}$ by using alternatives. (Use \emptyset if $L = \emptyset$.)

C3. Regular Languages: Regular Expressions, Pumping Lemma

Regular Expressions

Regular Expressions: Language

Definition (Language Described by a Regular Expression) The language described by a regular expression γ , written $\mathcal{L}(\gamma)$, is inductively defined as follows:

- ▶ If $\gamma = \emptyset$, then $\mathcal{L}(\gamma) = \emptyset$.
- If $\gamma = \varepsilon$, then $\mathcal{L}(\gamma) = \{\varepsilon\}$.
- ▶ If $\gamma = a$ with $a \in \Sigma$, then $\mathcal{L}(\gamma) = \{a\}$.
- If γ = (αβ), where α and β are regular expressions, then L(γ) = L(α)L(β).
- If γ = (α|β), where α and β are regular expressions, then L(γ) = L(α) ∪ L(β).
- If γ = (α*) where α is a regular expression, then L(γ) = L(α)*.

Examples: blackboard

Gabriele Röger (University of Basel)

Theory of Computer Science

March 26, 2018 10 / 36

C3. Regular Languages: Regular Expressions, Pumping Lemma

Regular Expressions

Regular Expressions Not More Powerful Than NFAs

Theorem

For every language that can be described by a regular expression, there is an NFA that accepts it.

Proof.

Let γ be a regular expression. We show the statement by induction over the structure of regular expressions.

For $\gamma = \emptyset, \gamma = \varepsilon$ and $\gamma = a$, NFAs that accept $\mathcal{L}(\gamma)$ are obvious.

Regular Expressions

Regular Expressions

13 / 36

Regular Expressions

Regular Expressions Not More Powerful Than NFAs

Theorem

For every language that can be described by a regular expression, there is an NFA that accepts it.

Proof (continued).

For $\gamma = (\alpha\beta)$, let M_{α} and M_{β} be NFAs that (by ind. hypothesis) accept $\mathcal{L}(\alpha)$ and $\mathcal{L}(\beta)$. W.I.o.g., their states are disjoint.

Construct NFA M for $\mathcal{L}(\gamma)$ by "daisy-chaining" M_{α} and M_{β} :

- ▶ states: union of states of M_{α} and M_{β}
- ▶ start states: those of M_{α} ; if $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{L}(\alpha)$, also those of M_{β}
- end states: end states of M_{β}
- ► state transitions: all transitions of M_{α} and of M_{β} ; additionally: for every transition to an end state of M_{α} , an equally labeled transition to all start states of M_{β}

Gabriele Röger (University of Basel)

March 26, 2018

C3. Regular Languages: Regular Expressions, Pumping Lemma

Regular Expressions Not More Powerful Than NFAs

Theory of Computer Science

Theorem

For every language that can be described by a regular expression, there is an NFA that accepts it.

Proof (continued).

For $\gamma = (\alpha^*)$, by the induction hypothesis let $M_{\alpha} = \langle Q_{\alpha}, \Sigma, \delta_{\alpha}, S_{\alpha}, E_{\alpha} \rangle$ be an NFA that accepts $\mathcal{L}(\alpha)$.

If $\varepsilon \notin \mathcal{L}(\alpha)$, add an additional state to M_{α} that is a start and end state and not connected to other states. M_{α} now recognizes $\mathcal{L}(\alpha) \cup \{\varepsilon\}$.

M is constructed from M_{α} by adding the following new transitions: whenever M_{α} has a transition from s to end state s' with symbol a, add transitions from s to every start state with symbol a.

Then $\mathcal{L}(M) = \mathcal{L}(\gamma)$.

C3. Regular Languages: Regular Expressions, Pumping Lemma

Regular Expressions

Regular Expressions Not More Powerful Than NFAs

Theorem

For every language that can be described by a regular expression, there is an NFA that accepts it.

Proof (continued).

For $\gamma = (\alpha | \beta)$, by the induction hypothesis let $M_{\alpha} = \langle Q_{\alpha}, \Sigma, \delta_{\alpha}, S_{\alpha}, E_{\alpha} \rangle$ and $M_{\beta} = \langle Q_{\beta}, \Sigma, \delta_{\beta}, S_{\beta}, E_{\beta} \rangle$ be NFAs that accept $\mathcal{L}(\alpha)$ and $\mathcal{L}(\beta)$. W.l.o.g., $Q_{\alpha} \cap Q_{\beta} = \emptyset$.

Then the "union automaton"

$$M = \langle Q_{\alpha} \cup Q_{\beta}, \Sigma, \delta_{\alpha} \cup \delta_{\beta}, S_{\alpha} \cup S_{\beta}, E_{\alpha} \cup E_{\beta} \rangle$$

accepts the language $\mathcal{L}(\gamma)$.

German: Vereinigungsautomat

Gabriele Röger (University of Basel)

Theory of Computer Science

March 26, 2018 14 / 36

. . .

C3. Regular Languages: Regular Expressions, Pumping Lemma

Regular Expressions

DFAs Not More Powerful Than Regular Expressions

Theorem

Every language accepted by a DFA can be described by a regular expression.

Without proof.

The set of languages that can be described by regular expressions is exactly the set of regular languages.

This follows directly from the previous two theorems.

Gabriele Röger (University of Basel)

Theory of Computer Science

Regular Expressions

March 26, 2018

17 / 36

19 / 36

C3. Regular Languages: Regular Expressions, Pumping Lemma Pumping Lemma Overview Regular Languages Grammars & Grammars DFAs Regular NFAs Languages Automata & Regular Formal Languages Expressions Context-free Pumping Languages Lemma Minimal Automata Context-sensitive & Type-0 Languages properties Gabriele Röger (University of Basel) Theory of Computer Science March 26, 2018

C3.2 Pumping Lemma

Gabriele Röger (University of Basel)

Theory of Computer Science

March 26, 2018 18 / 36





Pumping Lemma

Pumping Lemma

Theorem (Pumping Lemma)

Let L be a regular language. Then there is an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (a pumping number for L) such that all words $x \in L$ with $|x| \ge n$ can be split into x = uvw with the following properties:

 $|v| \geq 1,$

 $|uv| \leq n$, and

3 $uv^i w \in L$ for all i = 0, 1, 2, ...

Question: what if *L* is finite?

Gabriele Röger (University of Basel)

Theory of Computer Science

C3. Regular Languages: Regular Expressions, Pumping Lemma

Pumping Lemma

21 / 36

March 26, 2018

Pumping Lemma: Proof

Theorem (Pumping Lemma)

Let L be a regular language. Then there is an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (a pumping number for L) such that all words $x \in L$ with $|x| \ge n$ can be split into x = uvw with the following properties:

1 $|v| \ge 1$,

- $|uv| \leq n$, and
- **3** $uv^i w \in L$ for all i = 0, 1, 2, ...

Proof (continued).

Choose a split x = uvw so M is in the same state after reading u and after reading uv. Obviously, we can choose the split in a way that $|v| \ge 1$ and $|uv| \le |Q|$ are satisfied. ...

C3. Regular Languages: Regular Expressions, Pumping Lemma

Pumping Lemma

Pumping Lemma: Proof

Theorem (Pumping Lemma)

Let L be a regular language. Then there is an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (a pumping number for L) such that all words $x \in L$ with $|x| \ge n$ can be split into x = uvw with the following properties:

■ $|v| \ge 1$, ② $|uv| \le n$, and

3 $uv^i w \in L$ for all i = 0, 1, 2, ...

Proof.

For regular *L* there exists a DFA $M = \langle Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, E \rangle$ with $\mathcal{L}(M) = L$. We show that n = |Q| has the desired properties. Consider an arbitrary $x \in \mathcal{L}(M)$ with length $|x| \ge |Q|$. Including the start state, *M* visits |x| + 1 states while reading *x*. Because of $|x| \ge |Q|$ at least one state has to be visited twice. ...

Theory of Computer Science

Gabriele Röger (University of Basel)

March 26, 2018 22 / 36

C3. Regular Languages: Regular Expressions, Pumping Lemma

Pumping Lemma

Pumping Lemma: Proof

Theorem (Pumping Lemma)

Let L be a regular language. Then there is an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (a pumping number for L) such that all words $x \in L$ with $|x| \ge n$ can be split into x = uvw with the following properties:

- **1** $|v| \ge 1$,
- $|uv| \leq n$, and
- **3** $uv^i w \in L$ for all i = 0, 1, 2, ...

Proof (continued).

The word v corresponds to a loop in the DFA after reading u and can thus be repeated arbitrarily often. Every subsequent continuation with w ends in the same end state as reading x. Therefore $uv^i w \in \mathcal{L}(M) = L$ is satisfied for all i = 0, 1, 2, ...

Theory of Computer Science



Pumping Lemma: Application

Using the pumping lemma (PL):

Proof of Nonregularity

- ▶ If *L* is regular, then the pumping lemma holds for *L*.
- ▶ By contraposition: if the PL does not hold for L, then *L* cannot be regular.
- ▶ That is: if there is no $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with the properties of the PL, then *L* cannot be regular.

Theory of Computer Science

Gabriele Röger (University of Basel)

March 26, 2018

Pumping Lemma

25 / 36

Pumping Lemma

C3. Regular Languages: Regular Expressions, Pumping Lemma

Pumping Lemma: Example

Example The language $L = \{a^n b^n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is not regular.

Proof.

Assume L is regular. Then let p be a pumping number for L. The word $x = a^{p}b^{p}$ is in L and has length $\geq p$. Let x = uvw be a split with the properties of the PL. Then the word $x' = uv^2 w$ is also in *L*. Since $|uv| \le p$, uv consists only of symbols a and $x' = a^{|u|}a^{2|v|}a^{p-|uv|}b^p = a^{p+|v|}b^p$. Since $|v| \ge 1$ it follows that $p + |v| \ne p$ and thus $x' \notin L$. This is a contradiction to the PL. \rightsquigarrow *L* is not regular.

C3. Regular Languages: Regular Expressions, Pumping Lemma

Pumping Lemma: Caveat

Caveat:

The pumping lemma is a necessary condition for a language to be regular, but not a sufficient one.

- → there are languages that satisfy the pumping lemma conditions but are not regular
- \rightsquigarrow for such languages, other methods are needed to show that they are not regular (e.g., the Myhill-Nerode theorem)

Gabriele Röger (University of Basel)

Theory of Computer Science

March 26, 2018 26 / 36







Minimal Automaton: Definition

Definition

A minimal automaton for a regular language L is a DFA $M = \langle Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, E \rangle$ with $\mathcal{L}(M) = L$ and a minimal number of states.

This means there is no DFA $M' = \langle Q', \Sigma, \delta', q'_0, E' \rangle$ with $\mathcal{L}(M) = \mathcal{L}(M')$ and |Q'| < |Q|.

How to find a minimal automaton?

Idea:

- Start with any DFA that accepts the language.
- Merge states from which exactly the same words lead to an end state.



C3. Regular Languages: Regular Expressions, Pumping Lemma Minimal Automataon: Algorithm Input: DFA M (without states that are unreachable from the start state) Output: list of states that have to be merged to obtain an equivalent minimal automaton Create table of all pairs of states {q, q'} with q ≠ q'. Mark all pairs {q, q'} with q ∈ E and q' ∉ E. If there is an unmarked pair {q, q'} where {δ(q, a), δ(q', a)} for some a ∈ Σ is already marked, then also mark {q, q'}. All states in pairs that are still unmarked can be merged into one state.

Minimal Automata



C3.4 Summary

C3. Regular Languages: Regular Expressions, Pumping Lemma Computation and Uniqueness of Minimal Automata

Theorem

The algorithm described on the previous slides produces a minimal automaton for the language accepted by the given input DFA.

Theorem

All minimal automata for a language L are unique up to isomorphism (i.e., renaming of states).

Without proof.

Gabriele Röger (University of Basel)

Theory of Computer Science

March 26, 2018 34 / 36

Minimal Automata

C3. Regular Languages: Regular Expressions, Pumping Lemma

Summary

- ▶ Regular expressions are another way to describe languages.
- All regular languages can be described by regular expressions, and all regular expressions describe regular languages.
- ▶ Hence, they are equivalent to finite automata.
- The pumping lemma can be used to show that a language is not regular.
- Minimal automata are the smallest possible DFAs for a given language and are unique for each language.



Theory of Computer Science

Summarv