Planning and Optimization E8. Flow Heuristic

Malte Helmert and Gabriele Röger

Universität Basel

November 29, 2017

M. Helmert, G. Röger (Universität Basel)

Planning and Optimization

November 29, 2017

Planning and Optimization November 29, 2017 — E8. Flow Heuristic

E8.1 Introduction

E8.2 Flow Heuristic

E8.3 Summary

E8.4 Literature

E8. Flow Heuristic Introduction

E8.1 Introduction

M. Helmert, G. Röger (Universität Basel) Planning and Optimization November 29, 2017 2 / 32 E8. Flow Heuristic Content of this Course: Heuristic Types Delete Relaxation Abstraction Heuristic Types Critical Paths Landmarks **Network Flows** M. Helmert, G. Röger (Universität Basel) Planning and Optimization November 29, 2017

M. Helmert, G. Röger (Universität Basel) Planning and Optimization November 29, 2017

ntroduction

Reminder: SAS⁺ Planning Tasks

For a SAS⁺ planning task $\Pi = \langle V, I, O, \gamma \rangle$:

- ▶ V is a set of finite-domain state variables,
- ▶ Each atom has the form v = d with $v \in V, d \in dom(v)$.
- ightharpoonup Operator preconditions and the goal formula γ are conjunctions of atoms.
- ▶ Operator effects are conjunctions of atomic effects, i.e., they have the form $v_1 := d_1 \land \cdots \land v_n := d_n$.

M. Helmert, G. Röger (Universität Basel)

Planning and Optimization

November 29, 2017

5 / 32

E8. Flow Heuristic

Introduction

Example Task (1)

- ▶ One package, two trucks, two locations
- Variables:
 - pos-p with $dom(pos-p) = \{loc_1, loc_2, t_1, t_2\}$
 - ▶ pos-t-i with $dom(pos-t-i) = \{loc_1, loc_2\}$ for $i \in \{1, 2\}$
- ▶ The package is at location 1 and the trucks at location 2,
 - $I = \{ pos-p \mapsto loc_1, pos-t-1 \mapsto loc_2, pos-t-2 \mapsto loc_2 \}$
- ► The goal is to have the package at location 2 and truck 1 at location 1.
 - $\gamma = (pos-p = loc_2) \land (pos-t-1 = loc_1)$

M. Helmert, G. Röger (Universität Basel)

Planning and Optimization

November 29, 2017

5 / 22

E8. Flow Heuristic

Introduction

Example Task (2)

▶ Operators: for $i, j, k \in \{1, 2\}$:

$$load(t_i, loc_j) = \langle pos\text{-}t\text{-}i = loc_j \wedge pos\text{-}p = loc_j, \ pos\text{-}p := t_i, 1 \rangle$$
 $unload(t_i, loc_j) = \langle pos\text{-}t\text{-}i = loc_j \wedge pos\text{-}p = t_i, \ pos\text{-}p := loc_j, 1 \rangle$
 $drive(t_i, loc_j, loc_k) = \langle pos\text{-}t\text{-}i = loc_j, \ pos\text{-}t\text{-}i := loc_k, 1 \rangle$

E8. Flow Heuristic

Example Task: Observations

Consider some atoms of the example task:

- pos-p = loc₁ initially true and must be false in the goal
 at location 1 the package must be loaded
 one time more often than unloaded.
- pos-p = loc₂ initially false and must be true in the goal
 at location 2 the package must be unloaded one time more often than loaded.
- ▶ $pos-p = t_1$ initially false and must be false in the goal ▷ same number of load and unload actions for truck 1.

Can we derive a heuristic from this kind of information?

M. Helmert, G. Röger (Universität Basel)

Planning and Optimization

November 29, 2017

M. Helmert, G. Röger (Universität Basel)

Planning and Optimization

November 29, 2017

0 / 1

E8. Flow Heuristic Flow Heuristic

F8 2 Flow Heuristic

M. Helmert, G. Röger (Universität Basel)

Planning and Optimization

November 29, 2017

E8. Flow Heuristic

November 29, 2017

E8. Flow Heuristic Flow Heuristic

Network Flow Heuristics: General Idea

- ► Formulate flow constraints for each atom.
- ▶ These are satisfied by every plan of the task.
- ▶ The cost of a plan is $\sum_{o \in O} cost(o) \# o$
- ▶ The objective value of an integer program that minimizes this cost subject to the flow constraints is a lower bound on the plan cost (i.e., an admissible heuristic estimate).
- ▶ As solving the IP is NP-hard, we solve the LP relaxation instead.

How do we get the flow constraints?

E8. Flow Heuristic

Example: Flow Constraints

Let π be some arbitrary plan for the example task and let #o denote the number of occurrences of operator o in π . Then the following holds:

- ightharpoonup pos-p = loc_1 initially true and must be false in the goal ▷ at location 1 the package must be loaded one time more often than unloaded. $\#load(t_1, loc_1) + \#load(t_2, loc_1) =$ $1 + \#unload(t_1, loc_1) + \#unload(t_2, loc_1)$
- ightharpoonup pos-p = t_1 initially false and must be false in the goal ⊳ same number of load and unload actions for truck 1. $\#unload(t_1, loc_1) + \#unload(t_1, loc_2) =$ $\#load(t_1, loc_1) + \#load(t_1, loc_2)$

M. Helmert, G. Röger (Universität Basel)

Planning and Optimization

Flow Heuristic

Flow Heuristic

How to Derive Flow Constraints?

- ▶ The constraints formulate how often an atom can be produced or consumed.
- ▶ "Produced" (resp. "consumed") means that the atom is false (resp. true) before an operator application and true (resp. false) in the successor state.
- ▶ For general SAS⁺ operators, this depends on the state where the operator is applied: effect v := d only produces v = dif the operator is applied in a state s with $s(v) \neq d$.
- ▶ For general SAS⁺ tasks, the goal does not have to specify a value for every variable.
- ▶ All this makes the definition of flow constraints somewhat involved and in general such constraints are inequalitites.

Good news: easy for tasks in transition normal form

November 29, 2017

M. Helmert, G. Röger (Universität Basel)

Planning and Optimization

November 29, 2017

12 / 32

Flow Heuristic

Reminder: Transition Normal Form

Definition (Transition Normal Form)

A SAS⁺ planning task $\Pi = \langle V, I, O, \gamma \rangle$ is in transition normal form (TNF) if

- ▶ for all $o \in O$, vars(pre(o)) = vars(eff(o)), and
- $ightharpoonup vars(\gamma) = V.$

In words, an operator in TNF must mention the same variables in the precondition and effect, and a goal in TNF must mention all variables (= specify exactly one goal state).

M. Helmert, G. Röger (Universität Basel)

Planning and Optimization

November 29, 2017

13 / 32

E8. Flow Heuristic

Flow Heuristic

TNF for Example Task (1)

The example task is not in transition normal form:

- ► Load and unload operators have preconditions on the position of some truck but no effect on this variable.
- ▶ The goal does not specify a value for variable *pos-t-2*.

M. Helmert, G. Röger (Universität Basel)

Planning and Optimization

November 29, 2017

14 / 32

E8. Flow Heuristic

Flow Heuristic

TNF for Example Task (2)

Operators in transition normal form: for $i, j, k \in \{1, 2\}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \textit{load}(t_i, \textit{loc}_j) &= \langle \textit{pos-t-i} = \textit{loc}_j \land \textit{pos-p} = \textit{loc}_j, \\ \textit{pos-p} &:= t_i \land \textit{pos-t-i} := \textit{loc}_j, 1 \rangle \\ \textit{unload}(t_i, \textit{loc}_j) &= \langle \textit{pos-t-i} = \textit{loc}_j \land \textit{pos-p} = t_i, \\ \textit{pos-p} &:= \textit{loc}_j \land \textit{pos-t-i} := \textit{loc}_j, 1 \rangle \\ \textit{drive}(t_i, \textit{loc}_j, \textit{loc}_k) &= \langle \textit{pos-t-i} = \textit{loc}_j, \\ \textit{pos-t-i} &:= \textit{loc}_k, 1 \rangle \end{aligned}$$

E8. Flow Heuristic Flow Heuristic

TNF for Example Task (3)

To bring the goal in normal form,

- ▶ add an additional value **u** to dom(*pos-t-2*)
- add zero-cost operators

$$\textit{o}_{1} = \langle \textit{pos-t-2} = \textit{loc}_{1}, \textit{pos-t-2} := \textbf{u}, 0 \rangle$$
 and

$$o_2 = \langle pos-t-2 = loc_2, pos-t-2 := \mathbf{u}, 0 \rangle$$

Add $pos-t-2 = \mathbf{u}$ to the goal:

$$\gamma = (\textit{pos-p} = \textit{loc}_2) \land (\textit{pos-t-1} = \textit{loc}_1) \land (\textit{pos-t-2} = \mathbf{u})$$

Flow Heuristic

Notation

- ► In SAS⁺ tasks, states are variable assignments, conditions are conjunctions over atoms, and effects are conjunctions of atomic effects.
- ▶ In the following, we use a unifying notation to express that an atom is true in a state/entailed by a condition/ made true by an effect.
- ▶ For state s, we write $(v = d) \in s$ to express that s(v) = d.
- For a conjunction of atoms φ , we write $(v = d) \in \varphi$ to express that φ has a conjunct v = d (or alternatively $\varphi \models v = d$).
- For effect e, we write $(v = d) \in e$ to express that e contains the atomic effect v := d.

M. Helmert, G. Röger (Universität Basel)

M. Helmert, G. Röger (Universität Basel)

Planning and Optimization

November 29, 2017

17 / 32

E8. Flow Heuristic

Flow Houristic

Flow Constraints (1)

A flow constraint for an atom relates how often it can be produced to how often it can be consumed.

Let o be an operator in transition normal form. Then:

- ▶ o produces atom a iff $a \in eff(o)$ and $a \notin pre(o)$.
- ightharpoonup o consumes atom a iff $a \in pre(o)$ and $a \notin eff(o)$.
- ▶ Otherwise o is neutral wrt. atom a.

M. Helmert, G. Röger (Universität Basel)

Planning and Optimization

November 29, 2017

18 / 32

E8. Flow Heuristic

Flow Heuristic

Flow Constraints (2)

A flow constraint for an atom relates how often it can be produced to how often it can be consumed.

The constraint depends on the current state s and the goal γ . If γ mentions all variables (as in TNF), the following holds:

- ▶ If $a \in s$ and $a \in \gamma$ then atom a must be equally often produced and consumed.
- ▶ Analogously for $a \notin s$ and $a \notin \gamma$.
- ▶ If $a \in s$ and $a \notin \gamma$ then a must be consumed one time more often than it is produced.
- ▶ If $a \notin s$ and $a \in \gamma$ then a must be produced one time more often than it is consumed.

E8. Flow Heuristic

Flow Heuristic

Iverson Bracket

The dependency on the current state and the goal can concisely be expressed with Iverson brackets:

Definition (Iverson Bracket)

Let P be a logical proposition (= some statement that can be evaluated to true or false). Then

$$[P] = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } P \text{ is true} \\ 0 & \text{if } P \text{ is false.} \end{cases}$$

Example: $[2 \neq 3] = 1$

M. Helmert, G. Röger (Universität Basel)

Planning and Optimization

November 29, 2017

7 19 / 32

Planning and Optimization

November 29, 2017

20 / 32

Flow Heuristic

Flow Constraints (3)

Definition (Flow Constraint)

Let $\Pi = \langle V, I, O, \gamma \rangle$ be a task in transition normal form.

The flow constraint for atom a in state s is

$$[a \in s] + \sum_{o \in O: a \in \textit{eff}(o)} \mathsf{Count}_o = [a \in \gamma] + \sum_{o \in O: a \in \textit{pre}(o)} \mathsf{Count}_o$$

- ► Count_o is an LP variable for the number of occurrences of operator o.
- ▶ Neutral operators either appear on both sides or on none.

M. Helmert, G. Röger (Universität Basel)

Planning and Optimization

November 29, 2017

21 / 32

E8. Flow Heuristic

Flow Heuristic

Flow Heuristic

Definition (Flow Heuristic)

Let $\Pi = \langle V, I, O, \gamma \rangle$ be a SAS⁺ task in transition normal form and let $A = \{(v = d) \mid v \in V, d \in dom(v)\}$ be the set of atoms of Π .

The flow heuristic $h^{flow}(s)$ is the objective value of the following LP or ∞ if the LP is infeasible:

minimize
$$\sum_{o \in O} cost(o) \cdot Count_o$$
 subject to

$$[a \in s] + \sum_{o \in O: a \in \mathit{eff}(o)} \mathsf{Count}_o = [a \in \gamma] + \sum_{o \in O: a \in \mathit{pre}(o)} \mathsf{Count}_o \text{ for all } a \in A$$

 $Count_o \ge 0$ for all $o \in O$

M. Helmert, G. Röger (Universität Basel)

Planning and Optimization

November 29, 2017

22 / 22

Flow Heuristic

E8. Flow Heuristic Flow Heuristic

Flow Heuristic on Example Task

→ Blackboard

Flow Heuristic: Properties (1)

Theorem

E8. Flow Heuristic

The flow heuristic h^{flow} is goal-aware, safe, consistent and admissible.

Proof.

We prove goal-awareness and consistency, the other properties follow from these two.

Goal-awareness: If $s \models \gamma$ then $\mathsf{Count}_o = 0$ for all $o \in O$ is feasible and the objective function has value 0. As $\mathsf{Count}_o \geq 0$ for all variables and operator costs are nonnegative, the objective value cannot be smaller.

M. Helmert, G. Röger (Universität Basel)

Planning and Optimization

November 29, 2017

Flow Heuristic: Properties (2)

let s' = s[o]. Consider an optimal feasible vector \mathbf{y}' for the LP for s' and let $y_{o'}$ denote the value of Count_{o'} in this vector. Let **y** be the vector that assigns Count_o the value $v_0 + 1$ and all other variables $Count_{o'}$ ($o' \neq o$) the value $y_{o'}$. We show that **y** is feasible for the LP for s.

Let a = (v = d) be an atom. The flow constraint for a in state s is

$$[a \in s] + \sum_{o \in O: a \in \textit{eff}(o)} \mathsf{Count}_o = [a \in \gamma] + \sum_{o \in O: a \in \textit{pre}(o)} \mathsf{Count}_o$$

We consider how the flow constraint for a is affected by a change from s' to s and from y' to y.

Planning and Optimization

November 29, 2017

E8. Flow Heuristic

November 29, 2017

Proof (continued).

Consistency: Let o be an operator that is applicable in state s and

Proof (continued).

Flow Heuristic: Properties (3)

If $v \notin vars(pre(o))$, the constraint is not affected and stays satisfied as it is satisfied by \mathbf{y}' . Otherwise, we distinguish four cases:

- ▶ $a \in pre(o)$, $a \notin eff(o)$: Then $a \in s$ and $a \notin s'$, increasing the left-hand side by one. Counto only occurs on the right-hand side and increases by one, so the change is balanced.
- ▶ $a \notin pre(o)$, $a \in eff(o)$: Then $a \notin s$ and $a \in s'$, decreasing the left-hand side by one. Counto only occurs on the left-hand side and increases by one, so the change is balanced.
- ▶ $a \in pre(o), a \in eff(o)$: Then $a \in s$ and $a \in s'$ and Counton occurs on both sides, so the equation stays balanced.
- ▶ $a \notin pre(o)$, $a \notin eff(o)$: Then $a \notin s$ and $a \notin s'$ and Counton does not occur on either side of the equation.

M. Helmert, G. Röger (Universität Basel)

Planning and Optimization

November 29, 2017

E8. Flow Heuristic Flow Heuristic

Flow Heuristic: Properties (4)

Proof (continued).

As y > y' > 0, also the constraints that require the LP variables to be non-negative are satisfied.

The value of the objective function with **v** is $h^{flow}(s') + cost(o)$. Since \mathbf{v} is feasible for the LP for state s, this is an upper bound on $h^{\text{flow}}(s)$, so in total $h^{\text{flow}}(s) \leq h^{\text{flow}}(s') + cost(o)$.

E8. Flow Heuristic

E8.3 Summary

M. Helmert, G. Röger (Universität Basel)

M. Helmert, G. Röger (Universität Basel)

Planning and Optimization

November 29, 2017

Planning and Optimization

Summary

- ▶ A flow constraint for an atom describes how the number of producing operator applications is linked to the number of consuming operator applications.
- ▶ The flow heuristic computes a lower bound on the cost of each operator sequence that satisfies these constraints for all atoms.
- ▶ The heuristic only considers the number of occurrences of each operator, but ignores their order.

M. Helmert, G. Röger (Universität Basel)

Planning and Optimization

November 29, 2017

November 29, 2017

E8. Flow Heuristic

E8.4 Literature

M. Helmert, G. Röger (Universität Basel)

Planning and Optimization

November 29, 2017

30 / 32

E8. Flow Heuristic

Literature (1)

References on the flow heuristic:



Menkes van den Briel, J Benton, and Rao Kambhampati.

An LP-based Heuristic for Optimal Planning.

Proc. CP 2007, pp. 651-665, 2007.

Introduces the flow heuristic.



Blai Bonet.

An Admissible Heuristic for SAS+ Planning Obtained from the State Equation.

Proc. IJCAI 2013, pp. 2268-2274, 2013.

Rediscovery of flow heuristic plus some improvements.

E8. Flow Heuristic

Literature (2)



Blai Bonet and Menkes van den Briel.

Flow-based Heuristics for Optimal Planning: Landmarks and Merges.

Proc. ICAPS 2014, pp. 47-55, 2014.

More on improvements.



Florian Pommerening and Malte Helmert.

A Normal Form for Classical Planning Tasks.

Proc. ICAPS 2015. pp. 188-192. 2015.

Formulation for transition normal form.

M. Helmert, G. Röger (Universität Basel)

Planning and Optimization

November 29, 2017