Planning and Optimization

E6. Landmarks: LM-count Heuristic

Malte Helmert and Gabriele Röger

Universität Basel

November 27, 2017

M. Helmert, G. Röger (Universität Basel)

M. Helmert, G. Röger (Universität Basel)

Planning and Optimization

November 27, 2017 1 / 21

November 27, 2017

Planning and Optimization

November 27, 2017 — E6. Landmarks: LM-count Heuristic

E6.1 Landmark Orderings

E6.2 Landmark-count Heuristic

E6.3 Summary

M. Helmert, G. Röger (Universität Basel)

Planning and Optimization

November 27, 2017 2 / 21

Content of this Course: Landmarks Types Delete Relaxation MHS Heuristic Abstraction Unif. Cost Partitioning Cut Landmarks Heuristic Types Critical Paths LM-Cut Heuristic Landmarks AND/OR Landmarks Orderings Network Flows LM-Count Heuristic

Planning and Optimization

E6. Landmarks: LM-count Heuristic

Landmark Orderings

E6.1 Landmark Orderings

M. Helmert, G. Röger (Universität Basel)

Planning and Optimization

Landmark Orderings

Why Landmark Orderings?

- ► LM-cut heuristic uses integrated landmark and heuristic computation in each state.
- ▶ Other landmark-based heuristics compute landmarks once and propagate them over operator applications.
- ► Landmark orderings are used to detect landmarks that should be further considered because they (again) need to be satisfied later.

M. Helmert, G. Röger (Universität Basel)

Planning and Optimization

November 27, 2017 5 / 21

E6. Landmarks: LM-count Heuristic

Landmark Orderings

Terminology

Let $\pi = \langle o_1, \dots, o_n \rangle$ be a sequence of operators applicable in state I and let φ be a formula over the state variables.

- $ightharpoonup \varphi$ is true at time *i* if $I[\![\langle o_1,\ldots,o_i\rangle]\!] \models \varphi$.
- ▶ Also special case i = 0: φ is true at time 0 if $I \models \varphi$.
- ▶ No formula is true at time i < 0.
- φ is added at time i if it is true at time i but not at time i-1.
- $\triangleright \varphi$ is first added at time *i* if it is true at time *i* but not at any time i < i.

M. Helmert, G. Röger (Universität Basel)

Planning and Optimization

November 27, 2017

E6. Landmarks: LM-count Heuristic

Landmark Orderings

Landmark Orderings

Definition (Landmark Orderings)

Let φ and ψ be formula landmarks. There is

- ightharpoonup a natural ordering between φ and ψ (written $\varphi \to \psi$) if in each plan where ψ is true at time i, φ is true at some time i < i,
- \blacktriangleright a necessary ordering between φ and ψ (written $\varphi \rightarrow_n \psi$) if in each plan where ψ is added at time i, φ is true at time i-1.
- ightharpoonup a greedy-necessary ordering between φ and ψ (written $\varphi \rightarrow_{gn} \psi$) if in each plan where ψ is first added at time i, φ is true at time i-1.

E6. Landmarks: LM-count Heuristic

Landmark Orderings

Natural Orderings

Definition

There is a natural ordering between φ and ψ (written $\varphi \to \psi$) if in each plan where ψ is true at time i, φ is true at some time j < i.

- ▶ We can directly determine natural orderings from the *LM* sets computed from the simplified relaxed task graph.
- ▶ For fact landmarks v, v' with $v \neq v'$, if $n_{v'} \in LM(n_v)$ then $v' \to v$.

M. Helmert, G. Röger (Universität Basel)

Planning and Optimization

November 27, 2017

M. Helmert, G. Röger (Universität Basel)

Planning and Optimization

Landmark Orderings

Greedy-necessary Orderings

Definition

There is a greedy-necessary ordering between φ and ψ (written $\varphi \to_{gn} \psi$) if in each plan where ψ is first added at time i, φ is true at time i-1.

- ▶ We can again determine such orderings from the sRTG.
- \triangleright For an OR node n_v , we define the set of first achievers as $FA(n_v) = \{n_o \mid n_o \in succ(n_v) \text{ and } n_v \notin LM(n_o)\}.$
- ▶ Then $v' \rightarrow_{gn} v$ if $n_{v'} \in succ(n_o)$ for all $n_o \in FA(n_v)$.

M. Helmert, G. Röger (Universität Basel)

Planning and Optimization

November 27, 2017

November 27, 2017

E6. Landmarks: LM-count Heuristic

November 27, 2017

E6. Landmarks: LM-count Heuristic

Landmark-count Heuristic

Reached Landmarks

A landmark is reached by a path if it has been true in any traversed state.

Definitions (Reached Landmarks)

Let \mathcal{L} be a set of formula landmarks for task $\langle V, I, O, \gamma \rangle$ and let π be an operator sequence applicable in I.

The set of reached landmarks is defined as $Reached(\pi, \mathcal{L}) =$ $\begin{cases} \{\psi \in \mathcal{L} \mid I \models \psi\} & \pi = \langle \rangle \\ \text{Reached}(\pi', \mathcal{L}) \cup \{\psi \in \mathcal{L} \mid I[\![\pi]\!] \models \psi\} & \pi = \pi' \langle o \rangle \end{cases}$

Can be computed incrementally.

E6. Landmarks: LM-count Heuristic

Landmark-count Heuristic

Landmark-count Heuristic

Required Again

M. Helmert, G. Röger (Universität Basel)

A reached landmark is required again, if it is currently false but must be true due to an ordering or because it is required by the goal.

Planning and Optimization

F6.2 Landmark-count Heuristic

Definitions (Required Again)

Let \mathcal{L} be a set of formula landmarks for $\Pi = \langle V, I, O, \gamma \rangle$ with orderings Ord, and let π be an operator sequence applicable in I.

The set of landmarks that are required again is defined as $RegAgain(\pi, \mathcal{L}, Ord) = \{ \varphi \in Reached(\pi, \mathcal{L}) \mid I \llbracket \pi \rrbracket \not\models \varphi \text{ and } \}$ $(\gamma \models \varphi \text{ or exists } \varphi \rightarrow_{gn} \psi \in Ord : \psi \notin Reached(\pi, \mathcal{L}))$.

Planning and Optimization

Landmark-count Heuristic

Landmark-count Heuristic

The landmark-count heuristic counts the landmarks that have not been reached or are required again.

Definition (LM-count Heuristic)

Let Π be a planning task with initial state I and let \mathcal{L} be a set of landmarks for I with orderings Ord.

The LM-count heuristic for an operator sequence π that is applicable in I is

 $h_{\mathcal{L}}^{\mathsf{LM-count}}(\pi) = |(\mathcal{L} \setminus \mathit{reached}(\pi, \mathcal{L})) \cup \mathit{ReqAgain}(\pi, \mathcal{L}, \mathit{Ord})|.$

M. Helmert, G. Röger (Universität Basel)

Planning and Optimization

November 27, 2017

E6. Landmarks: LM-count Heuristic

Landmark-count Heuristic

LM-count Heuristic is Path-dependent

- ▶ LM-count heuristic gives estimates for paths (it is a path-dependent heuristic).
- ▶ Search algorithms need estimates for states.
- ▶ which was estimate for the currently considered path to the state.
- ▶ ~> heuristic estimate for a state is not well-defined.

M. Helmert, G. Röger (Universität Basel)

Planning and Optimization

November 27, 2017

E6. Landmarks: LM-count Heuristic

Landmark-count Heuristic

LM-count Heuristic is Inadmissible

Example

Consider STRIPS planning task $\Pi = \langle \{a, b\}, \emptyset, \{o\}, \{a, b\} \rangle$ with $o = \langle \emptyset, \{a, b\}, \emptyset, 1 \rangle$. Let $\mathcal{L} = \{a, b\}$ and $Ord = \emptyset$.

The estimate for the initial state $I = \{\}$ is $h_{\mathcal{L}}^{\mathsf{LM-count}}(\langle \rangle) = 2$ while $h^*(I) = 1$.

 $\rightsquigarrow h^{LM-count}$ is inadmissible.

E6. Landmarks: LM-count Heuristic

Landmark-count Heuristic

LM-count Heuristic: Comments

- Practical implementations store the set of reached landmarks for each state.
- ▶ LM-Count alone is not a particularily informative heuristic.
- ightharpoonup On the positive side, it complements h^{FF} very well.
- ► For example, the LAMA planning system alternates between expanding a state with minimal h^{FF} and minimal $h^{LM-count}$ estimate.
- ▶ There is an admissible variant of the heuristic based on operator cost partitioning.

M. Helmert, G. Röger (Universität Basel)

Planning and Optimization

November 27, 2017

M. Helmert, G. Röger (Universität Basel)

E6.3 Summary

M. Helmert, G. Röger (Universität Basel)

Planning and Optimization

November 27, 2017

November 27, 2017

E6. Landmarks: LM-count Heuristic

Summary

▶ The LM-count heuristic propagates landmarks over operator applications.

- ▶ It counts how many landmarks still need to be satisfied.
- ▶ The LM-count heuristic is inadmissible (but there is an admissible variant).
- ▶ Landmark orderings can be useful for detecting when a landmark should be further considered.

M. Helmert, G. Röger (Universität Basel)

Planning and Optimization

November 27, 2017

18 / 21

E6. Landmarks: LM-count Heuristic

Literature (1)

References on landmark heuristics:



Julie Porteous, Laura Sebastia and Joerg Hoffmann.

On the Extraction, Ordering, and Usage of Landmarks in Planning.

Proc. ECP 2001, pp. 174-182, 2013.

Introduces landmarks.



Malte Helmert and Carmel Domshlak.

Landmarks, Critical Paths and Abstractions: What's the Difference Anyway?

Proc. ICAPS 2009, pp. 162-169, 2009.

Introduces cut landmarks and LM-cut heuristic.

E6. Landmarks: LM-count Heuristic

Literature (2)



I in 7hu and Robert Givan.

Landmark Extraction via Planning Graph Propagation.

Doctoral Consortium ICAPS 2003, 2003.

Core idea for complete landmark generation.



Emil Keyder, Silvia Richter and Malte Helmert.

Sound and Complete Landmarks for And/Or Graphs

Proc. ECAI 2010, pp. 335-340, 2010.

Introduces landmarks from AND/OR graphs and usage of Π^m compilation.

M. Helmert, G. Röger (Universität Basel)

Planning and Optimization

November 27, 2017

20 / 21

Literature (3)



Silvia Richter and Matthias Westphal.

The LAMA Planner: Guiding Cost-Based Anytime Planning with Landmarks.

JAIR 39 (2010), pp. 127-177, 2010.

Introduces landmark-count heuristic and contains another landmark generation method.



Erez Karpas and Carmel Domshlak.

Cost-Optimal Planning with Landmarks.

Proc. IJCAI 2009, pp. 1728-1733, 2009.

Introduces admissible variant of landmark heuristic.

M. Helmert, G. Röger (Universität Basel)

Planning and Optimization

November 27, 2017 21 / 21

