Planning and Optimization
C11. Pattern Databases: Multiple Patterns

Malte Helmert and Gabriele Röger

Universität Basel

November 10, 2016

M. Helmert, G. Röger (Universität Basel)

Planning and Optimization

November 10, 2016

1 / 28

Planning and Optimization

November 10, 2016 — C11. Pattern Databases: Multiple Patterns

C11.1 Additivity & the Canonical Heuristic

C11.2 Dominated Additive Sets

C11.3 Redundant Patterns

C11.4 Summary

M. Helmert, G. Röger (Universität Basel)

Planning and Optimization

November 10, 2016

C11. Pattern Databases: Multiple Patterns

Additivity & the Canonical Heuristic

C11.1 Additivity & the Canonical Heuristic

C11. Pattern Databases: Multiple Patterns

Additivity & the Canonical Heuristic

#### Pattern Collections

- ► The space requirements for a pattern database grow exponentially with the number of state variables in the pattern.
- ▶ This places severe limits on the usefulness of single PDB heuristics  $h^P$  for larger planning task.
- ► To overcome this limitation, planners using pattern databases work with collections of multiple patterns.
- When using two patterns  $P_1$  and  $P_2$ , it is always possible to use the maximum of  $h^{P_1}$  and  $h^{P_2}$  as an admissible and consistent heuristic estimate.
- ▶ However, when possible, it is much preferable to use the sum of  $h^{P_1}$  and  $h^{P_2}$  as a heuristic estimate, since  $h^{P_1} + h^{P_2} \ge \max\{h^{P_1}, h^{P_2}\}$ .

M. Helmert, G. Röger (Universität Basel)

Planning and Optimization

November 10, 2016

16 4/20

### Criterion for Additive Patterns

#### Theorem (Additive Pattern Sets)

Let  $P_1, \ldots, P_k$  be patterns for an FDR planning task  $\Pi$ . If there exists no operator that has an effect on a variable  $v_i \in P_i$  and on a variable  $v_i \in P_i$  for some  $i \neq j$ , then  $\sum_{i=1}^{k} h^{P_i}$  is an admissible and consistent heuristic for  $\Pi$ .

#### Proof.

If there exists no such operator, then no label of  $\mathcal{T}(\Pi)$  affects both  $\mathcal{T}(\Pi)^{\pi_{P_i}}$  and  $\mathcal{T}(\Pi)^{\pi_{P_j}}$  for  $i \neq j$ . By the theorem on affecting transition labels, this means that any two projections  $\pi_{P_i}$  and  $\pi_{P_i}$ are orthogonal. The claim follows with the theorem on additivity for orthogonal abstractions.

A pattern set  $\{P_1, \dots, P_k\}$  which satisfies the criterion of the theorem is called an additive pattern set or additive set.

M. Helmert, G. Röger (Universität Basel)

Planning and Optimization

November 10, 2016

#### Additivity & the Canonical Heuristic

### Finding Additive Pattern Sets

The theorem on additive pattern sets gives us a simple criterion to decide which pattern heuristics can be admissibly added.

Given a pattern collection C (i.e., a set of patterns), we can use this information as follows:

- **9** Build the compatibility graph for C.
  - ▶ Vertices correspond to patterns  $P \in C$ .
  - ▶ There is an edge between two vertices iff no operator affects both incident patterns.
- Compute all maximal cliques of the graph. These correspond to maximal additive subsets of C.
  - ► Computing large cliques is an NP-hard problem, and a graph can have exponentially many maximal cliques.
  - ► However, there are output-polynomial algorithms for finding all maximal cliques (Tomita, Tanaka & Takahashi, 2004) which have led to good results in practice.

M. Helmert, G. Röger (Universität Basel)

November 10, 2016

C11. Pattern Databases: Multiple Patterns

Additivity & the Canonical Heuristic

### The Canonical Heuristic Function

### Definition (Canonical Heuristic Function)

Let  $\Pi$  be an FDR planning task, and let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a pattern collection for  $\Pi$ .

The canonical heuristic  $h^{\mathcal{C}}$  for pattern collection  $\mathcal{C}$  is defined as

$$h^{\mathcal{C}}(s) = \max_{\mathcal{D} \in \textit{cliques}(\mathcal{C})} \sum_{P \in \mathcal{D}} h^{P}(s),$$

where cliques(C) is the set of all maximal cliques in the compatibility graph for C.

For all choices of C, heuristic  $h^{C}$  is admissible and consistent.

C11. Pattern Databases: Multiple Patterns

M. Helmert, G. Röger (Universität Basel)

Additivity & the Canonical Heuristic

#### How Good is the Canonical Heuristic Function?

- ► The canonical heuristic function is the best possible admissible heuristic we can derive from C using our additivity criterion.
- ▶ In theory, even better heuristic estimates can be obtained from projection heuristics using a more general additivity criterion based on an idea called cost partitioning.
- $\rightsquigarrow$  We will return to this topic in Part D.

### Canonical Heuristic Function: Example

#### Example

Consider a planning task with state variables  $V = \{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$ and the pattern collection  $C = \{P_1, \dots, P_4\}$  with  $P_1 = \{v_1, v_2\}$ ,  $P_2 = \{v_1\}, P_3 = \{v_2\} \text{ and } P_4 = \{v_3\}.$ 

There are operators affecting each individual variable, and the only operators affecting multiple variables affect  $v_1$  and  $v_3$ .

What are the maximal cliques in the compatibility graph for C?

Answer:  $\{P_1\}, \{P_2, P_3\}, \{P_3, P_4\}$ 

What is the canonical heuristic function  $h^{\mathcal{C}}$ ?

Answer: 
$$h^{C} = \max\{h^{P_1}, h^{P_2} + h^{P_3}, h^{P_3} + h^{P_4}\}\$$
  
=  $\max\{h^{\{v_1, v_2\}}, h^{\{v_1\}} + h^{\{v_2\}}, h^{\{v_2\}} + h^{\{v_3\}}\}\}$ 

M. Helmert, G. Röger (Universität Basel)

Planning and Optimization

November 10, 2016

# C11.2 Dominated Additive Sets

M. Helmert, G. Röger (Universität Basel)

C11. Pattern Databases: Multiple Patterns

Planning and Optimization

November 10, 2016

C11. Pattern Databases: Multiple Patterns

Dominated Additive Sets

# Computing $h^{\mathcal{C}}$ Efficiently: Motivation

Consider  $h^{\mathcal{C}} = \max\{h^{\{v_1, v_2\}}, h^{\{v_1\}} + h^{\{v_2\}}, h^{\{v_2\}} + h^{\{v_3\}}\}.$ 

- ▶ We need to evaluate this expression for every search node.
- ▶ It is thus worth to spend some effort in precomputations to make the evaluation more efficient.

A naive implementation requires 4 PDB lookups (one for each pattern) and maximizes over 3 additive sets.

Can we do better than this?

C11. Pattern Databases: Multiple Patterns

Dominated Additive Sets

### Dominated Sum Theorem

### Theorem (Dominated Sum)

Let  $\{P_1, \ldots, P_k\}$  be an additive pattern set for an FDR planning task  $\Pi$ , and let P be a pattern with  $P_i \subset P$  for all  $i \in \{1, ..., k\}$ . Then  $\sum_{i=1}^k h^{P_i} < h^P$ .

#### Proof.

Because  $P_i \subseteq P$ , all projections  $\pi_{P_i}$  are coarsenings of the projection  $\pi_P$ . Let  $\mathcal{T}' := \mathcal{T}(\Pi)^{\pi_P}$ .

We can view each  $h^{P_i}$  as an abstraction heuristic for solving  $\mathcal{T}'$ .

By the argumentation of previous theorem,  $\{P_1, \dots, P_k\}$  is an additive pattern set and hence  $\sum_{i=1}^{k} h^{P_i}$  is an admissible heuristic for solving  $\mathcal{T}'$ . Hence,  $\sum_{i=1}^k h^{P_i}$  is bounded by the optimal goal distances in  $\mathcal{T}'$ , which implies  $\sum_{i=1}^k h^{P_i} \leq h^P$ .

Dominated Additive Sets

### Dominated Sum Corollary

#### Corollary (Dominated Sum)

Let  $\{P_1, \ldots, P_n\}$  and  $\{Q_1, \ldots, Q_m\}$  be additive pattern sets of an FDR planning task such that each pattern P<sub>i</sub> is a subset of some pattern  $Q_i$  (not necessarily proper). Then  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} h^{P_i} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{m} h^{Q_i}$ .

Proof.

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} h^{P_i} \stackrel{(1)}{\leq} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \sum_{P_i \subseteq Q_j} h^{P_i} \stackrel{(2)}{\leq} \sum_{j=1}^{m} h^{Q_j},$$

where (1) holds because each  $P_i$  is contained in some  $Q_i$ and (2) follows from the dominated sum theorem.

M. Helmert, G. Röger (Universität Basel)

C11. Pattern Databases: Multiple Patterns

Planning and Optimization

November 10, 2016

Redundant Patterns

# C11.3 Redundant Patterns

C11. Pattern Databases: Multiple Patterns

Dominated Additive Sets

### **Dominance Pruning**

- ▶ We can use the dominated sum corollary to simplify the representation of  $h^{\mathcal{C}}$ : sums that are dominated by other sums can be pruned.
- ▶ The dominance test can be performed in polynomial time.

#### Example

$$\max\{h^{\{v_1,v_2\}}, h^{\{v_1\}} + h^{\{v_2\}}, h^{\{v_2\}} + h^{\{v_3\}}\}\$$

$$= \max\{h^{\{v_1,v_2\}}, h^{\{v_2\}} + h^{\{v_3\}}\}\$$

number of additive sets reduced from 3 to 2

M. Helmert, G. Röger (Universität Basel)

Planning and Optimization

November 10, 2016

C11. Pattern Databases: Multiple Patterns

Redundant Patterns

#### Redundant Patterns

- ▶ The previous example shows that sometimes. not all patterns in a pattern collection are useful.
  - ▶ Pattern  $\{v_1\}$  could be removed because it does not affect the heuristic value.
- In this section, we will show that certain patterns are never useful and should thus never be considered.
- ► Knowing about such redundant patterns is useful for algorithms that try to find good patterns automatically.
- → It allows us to focus on the useful ones.

Redundant Patterns

#### Non-Goal Patterns

### Theorem (Non-Goal Patterns are Trivial)

Let  $\Pi$  be a SAS<sup>+</sup> planning task that is not trivially unsolvable, and let P be a pattern for  $\Pi$  such that no variable in P is mentioned in the goal formula of  $\Pi$ .

Then  $h^P(s) = 0$  for all states s.

#### Proof.

All states in the abstraction are goal states.

Patterns with no goal variables are redundant.

They should not be included in a pattern collection.

M. Helmert, G. Röger (Universität Basel)

Planning and Optimization

November 10, 2016

November 10, 2016

17 / 28

C11. Pattern Databases: Multiple Patterns

11. Pattern Databases: Multiple Patterns

Causal Graphs: Motivation

Redundant Patterns

- ► For more interesting notions of redundancy, we need to introduce causal graphs.
- ► Causal graphs describe the dependency structure between the state variables of a planning task.
- ► Causal graphs are a general tool for analyzing planning tasks.
- ▶ They are used in many contexts besides abstraction heuristics.

M. Helmert, G. Röger (Universität Basel)

Planning and Optimization

November 10, 2016

C11. Pattern Databases: Multiple Patterns

Redundant Patterns

### Causal Graphs

#### Definition (Causal Graph)

Let  $\Pi = \langle V, I, O, \gamma \rangle$  be an FDR planning task.

The causal graph of  $\Pi$ , written  $CG(\Pi)$ , is the directed graph whose vertices are the state variables V and which has an arc  $\langle u, v \rangle$  iff  $u \neq v$  and there exists an operator  $o \in O$  such that:

- ▶ *u* appears anywhere in *o* (in precondition, effect conditions or atomic effects), and
- v is modified by an effect of o.

Idea: an arc  $\langle u, v \rangle$  in the causal graph indicates that variable u is in some way relevant for modifying the value of v

C11. Pattern Databases: Multiple Patterns

Redundant Patterns

### Causally Relevant Variables

#### Definition (Causally Relevant)

Let  $\Pi = \langle V, I, O, \gamma \rangle$  be an FDR planning task, and let  $P \subseteq V$  be a pattern for  $\Pi$ .

We say that  $v \in P$  is causally relevant for P if  $CG(\Pi)$ , restricted to the variables of P, contains a directed path from v to a variable  $v' \in P$  that is mentioned in the goal formula  $\gamma$ .

Note: The definition implies that variables in P mentioned in the goal are always causally relevant for P.

M. Helmert, G. Röger (Universität Basel)

Planning and Optimization

November 10, 2016

### Causally Irrelevant Variables are Useless

Theorem (Causally Irrelevant Variables are Useless)

Let  $P \subseteq V$  be a pattern for an FDR planning task  $\Pi$ , and let  $P' \subset P$  consist of all variables that are causally relevant for P.

Then  $h^P(s) = h^{P'}(s)$  for all states s.

 $\rightsquigarrow$  Patterns P where not all variables are causally relevant are redundant. The smaller subpattern P' should be used instead.

M. Helmert, G. Röger (Universität Basel)

Planning and Optimization

November 10, 2016

C11. Pattern Databases: Multiple Patterns

Redundant Patterns

### Causally Irrelevant Variables are Useless: Proof

#### Proof Sketch.

(>): holds because  $\pi_P$  is a refinement of  $\pi_{P'}$ 

(<): Obvious if  $h^{P'}(s) = \infty$ ; else, consider an optimal abstract plan  $\langle o_1, \ldots, o_n \rangle$  for  $\pi_{P'}(s)$  in  $\mathcal{T}(\Pi)^{\pi_{P'}}$ .

W.l.o.g., each  $o_i$  modifies some variable in P'. (Other  $o_i$  are redundant and can be omitted.)

Because P' includes all variables causally relevant for P, no variable in  $P \setminus P'$  is mentioned in any  $o_i$  or in the goal.

Then the same abstract plan also is a solution for  $\pi_P(s)$  in  $\mathcal{T}(\Pi)^{\pi_P}$ . Hence, the optimal solution cost under abstraction  $\pi_P$ is no larger than under  $\pi_{P'}$ .

M. Helmert, G. Röger (Universität Basel)

Planning and Optimization

November 10, 2016

C11. Pattern Databases: Multiple Patterns

Redundant Patterns

### Causally Connected Patterns

### Definition (Causally Connected)

Let  $\Pi = \langle V, I, O, \gamma \rangle$  be an FDR planning task, and let  $P \subseteq V$  be a pattern for  $\Pi$ .

We say that P is causally connected if the subgraph of  $CG(\Pi)$ induced by P is weakly connected (i.e., contains a path from every vertex to every other vertex, ignoring arc directions). C11. Pattern Databases: Multiple Patterns

Redundant Patterns

### Disconnected Patterns are Decomposable

### Theorem (Causally Disconnected Patterns are Decomposable)

Let  $P \subseteq V$  be a pattern for a SAS<sup>+</sup> planning task  $\Pi$ that is not causally connected, and let  $P_1$ ,  $P_2$  be a partition of Pinto non-empty subsets such that  $CG(\Pi)$  contains no arc between the two sets.

Then 
$$h^{P}(s) = h^{P_1}(s) + h^{P_2}(s)$$
 for all states  $s$ .

 $\rightsquigarrow$  Causally disconnected patterns P are redundant. The smaller subpatterns  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  should be used instead.

M. Helmert, G. Röger (Universität Basel)

Planning and Optimization

November 10, 2016

M. Helmert, G. Röger (Universität Basel)

Planning and Optimization

November 10, 2016

Redundant Patterns

### Disconnected Patterns are Decomposable: Proof

#### Proof Sketch.

 $(\geq)$ : There is no arc between  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  in the causal graph, and thus there is no operator that affects both patterns.

Therefore, they are additive, and  $h^P \ge h^{P_1} + h^{P_2}$  follows from the dominated sum theorem.

( $\leq$ ): Obvious if  $h^{P_1}(s) = \infty$  or  $h^{P_2}(s) = \infty$ . Else, consider optimal abstract plans  $\rho_1$  for  $\mathcal{T}(\Pi)^{\pi_{P_1}}$  and  $\rho_2$  for  $\mathcal{T}(\Pi)^{\pi_{P_2}}$ .

Because the variables of the two projections do not interact, concatenating the two plans yields an abstract plan for  $\mathcal{T}(\Pi)^{\pi_P}$ .

Hence, the optimal solution cost under abstraction  $\pi_P$  is at most the sum of costs of  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$ , and thus  $h^P \leq h^{P_1} + h^{P_2}$ .

M. Helmert, G. Röger (Universität Basel)

Planning and Optimization

November 10, 2016

25 / 28

C11. Pattern Databases: Multiple Patterns

Janniary

# C11.4 Summary

M. Helmert, G. Röger (Universität Basel)

Planning and Optimization

November 10, 2016

. . . . . . . . . . . .

C11. Pattern Databases: Multiple Patterns

Summary

# Summary (1)

- ▶ When faced with multiple PDB heuristics (a pattern collection), we want to admissibly add their values where possible, and maximize where addition is inadmissible.
- A set of patterns is additive if each operator affects (i.e., assigns to a variable from) at most one pattern in the set.
- ► The canonical heuristic function is the best possible additive/maximizing combination for a given pattern collection given this additivity criterion.

C11. Pattern Databases: Multiple Patterns

Summa

# Summary (2)

Not all patterns need to be considered, as some are redundant:

- ▶ Patterns should include a goal variable (else  $h^P = 0$ ).
- ► Patterns should only include causally relevant variables (others can be dropped without affecting the heuristic value).
- ▶ Patterns should be causally connected (disconnected patterns can be split into smaller subpatterns at no loss).

M. Helmert, G. Röger (Universität Basel)

Planning and Optimization

November 10, 2016

M. Helmert, G. Röger (Universität Basel)

Planning and Optimization

November 10, 2016

20 /