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Relaxed Planning Graphs

### 36.1 Relaxed Planning Graphs

- relaxed planning graphs: represent which variables in $\Pi^{+}$
goal vertices $G^{i}$ if $v^{i} \in V^{i}$ for all $v \in G$ can be reached and how
- graphs with variable layers $V^{i}$ and action layers $A^{i}$
- variable layer $V^{0}$ contains the variable vertex $v^{0}$ for all $v \in I$
- action layer $A^{i+1}$ contains the action vertex $a^{i+1}$ for action a if $V^{i}$ contains the vertex $v^{\prime}$ for all $v \in \operatorname{pre}(a)$
- variable layer $V^{i+1}$ contains the variable vertex $v^{i+1}$ if previous variable layer contains $v^{i}$, or previous action layer contains $a^{i+1}$ with $v \in \operatorname{add}(a)$
- graph can be constructed for arbitrary many layers but stabilizes after a bounded number of layers $\rightsquigarrow V^{i+1}=V^{i}$ and $A^{i+1}=A^{i}($ Why? $)$
- directed edges:
- from $v^{i}$ to $a^{i+1}$ if $v \in \operatorname{pre}(a)$ (precondition edges)
- from $a^{i}$ to $v^{i}$ if $v \in \operatorname{add}(a)$ (effect edges)
- from $v^{i}$ to $G^{i}$ if $v \in G$ (goal edges)
- from $v^{i}$ to $v^{i+1}$ (no-op edges)
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## Illustrative Example

We will write actions a with $\operatorname{pre}(a)=\left\{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{k}\right\}$,
$\operatorname{add}(a)=\left\{q_{1}, \ldots, q_{l}\right\}, \operatorname{del}(a)=\emptyset$ and $\operatorname{cost}(a)=c$
as $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{k} \xrightarrow{c} q_{1}, \ldots, q_{1}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
V & =\{m, n, o, p, q, r, s, t\} \\
I & =\{m\} \\
G & =\{o, p, q, r, s\} \\
A & =\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4}, a_{5}, a_{6}\right\} \\
a_{1} & =m \xrightarrow{\rightarrow} n, o \\
a_{2} & =m, o \xrightarrow{\rightarrow} p \\
a_{3} & =n, o \xrightarrow{1} q \\
a_{4} & =n \xrightarrow{1} r \\
a_{5} & =p \xrightarrow{1} q, r \\
a_{6} & =p \xrightarrow{1} s
\end{aligned}
$$
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Relaxed Planning Graphs
Generic Relaxed Planning Graph Heuristic

Heuristic Values from Relaxed Planning Graph
function generic-rpg-heuristic $(\langle V, I, G, A\rangle, s)$ :
$\Pi^{+}:=\left\langle V, s, G, A^{+}\right\rangle$
for $k \in\{0,1,2, \ldots\}$ : $r p g:=R P G_{k}\left(\Pi^{+}\right) \quad$ [relaxed planning graph to layer $\left.k\right]$ if $r p g$ contains a goal node:

Annotate nodes of rpg.
if termination criterion is true:
return heuristic value from annotations else if graph has stabilized:
return $\infty$
$\rightsquigarrow$ general template for RPG heuristics
$\rightsquigarrow$ to obtain concrete heuristic: instantiate highlighted elements

## 36. Automated Planning: Delete Relaxation Heuristics <br> Relaxed Planning Graphs <br> Concrete Examples for Generic RPG Heuristic

Many planning heuristics fit this general template.
In this course:

- maximum heuristic $h^{\text {max }}$ (Bonet \& Geffner, 1999)
- additive heuristic $h^{\text {add }}$ (Bonet, Loerincs \& Geffner, 1997)
- Keyder \& Geffner's (2008) variant of the FF heuristic $h^{\mathrm{FF}}$ (Hoffmann \& Nebel, 2001)
remark:
- The most efficient implementations of these heuristics do not use explicit planning graphs, but rather alternative (equivalent) definitions.
36.2 Maximum and Additive
Heuristics
- $h^{\text {max }}$ and $h^{\text {add }}$ are the simplest RPG heuristics.
- Vertex annotations are numerical values.
- The vertex values estimate the costs
- to make a given variable true
- to reach and apply a given action
- to reach the goal
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$h^{\text {max }}$ and $h^{\text {add }}$
computation of annotations:

- costs of variable vertices:

0 in layer 0 ;
otherwise minimum of the costs of predecessor vertices

- costs of action and goal vertices:
maximum ( $h^{\text {max }}$ ) or sum ( $h^{\text {add }}$ ) of predecessor vertex costs; for action vertices $a^{i}$, also add $\operatorname{cost}(a)$
termination criterion:
- stability: terminate if $V^{i}=V^{i-1}$ and costs of all vertices in $V^{i}$ equal corresponding vertex costs in $V^{i-1}$
heuristic value:
- value of goal vertex in the last layer
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Maximum and Additive Heuristics: Intuition
intuition:

- variable vertices:
- choose cheapest way of reaching the variable
- action/goal vertices:
- $h^{\text {max }}$ is optimistic: assumption:
when reaching the most expensive precondition variable, we can reach the other precondition variables in parallel (hence maximization of costs)
- $h^{\text {add }}$ is pessimistic: assumption
all precondition variables must be reached completely independently of each other (hence summation of costs)


$$
h^{\max }(\{m\})=5
$$

Illustrative Example: $h^{\text {add }}$


$$
h^{\text {add }}(\{m\})=21
$$
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comparison of $h^{\text {max }}$ and $h^{\text {add }}$

- both are safe and goal-aware
- $h^{\text {max }}$ is admissible and consistent; $h^{\text {add }}$ is neither.
$\rightsquigarrow h^{\text {add }}$ not suited for optimal planning
- However, $h^{\text {add }}$ is usually much more informative than $h^{\text {max }}$. Greedy best-first search with $h^{\text {add }}$ is a decent algorithm.
- Apart from not being admissible, $h^{\text {add }}$ often vastly overestimates the actual costs because positive synergies between subgoals are not recognized.
$\rightsquigarrow$ FF heuristic
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## FF Heuristic

The FF Heuristic
identical to $h^{\text {add }}$, but additional steps at the end:

- Mark goal vertex in the last graph layer.
- Apply the following marking rules until nothing more to do:
- marked action or goal vertex?
$\rightsquigarrow$ mark all predecessors
- marked variable vertex $v^{i}$ in layer $i \geq 1$ ?
$\rightsquigarrow$ mark one predecessor with minimal $h^{\text {add }}$ value
(tie-breaking: prefer variable vertices; otherwise arbitrary)


## heuristic value:

- The actions corresponding to the marked action vertices build a relaxed plan.
- The cost of this plan is the heuristic value.


### 36.3 FF Heuristic



- Like $h^{\text {add }}, h^{\mathrm{FF}}$ is safe and goal-aware, but neither admissible nor consistent.
- approximation of $h^{+}$which is always at least as good as $h^{\text {add }}$
- usually significantly better
- can be computed in almost linear time $(O(n \log n))$ in the size of the description of the planning task
- computation of heuristic value depends on tie-breaking of marking rules ( $h^{\mathrm{FF}}$ not well-defined)
- one of the most successful planning heuristics


## Relationships of Relaxation Heuristics

Let $s$ be a state in the STRIPS planning task $\langle V, I, G, A\rangle$.
Then

- $h^{\text {max }}(s) \leq h^{+}(s) \leq h^{*}(s)$
- $h^{\text {max }}(s) \leq h^{+}(s) \leq h^{\mathrm{FF}}(s) \leq h^{\text {add }}(s)$
- $h^{*}$ and $h^{\mathrm{FF}}$ are incomparable
- $h^{*}$ and $h^{\text {add }}$ are incomparable
further remarks:
- For non-admissible heuristics, it is generally neither good nor bad to compute higher values than another heuristic.
- For relaxation heuristics, the objective is to approximate $h^{+}$ as closely as possible.
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Summary

- Many delete relaxation heuristics can be viewed as computations on relaxed planning graphs (RPGs).
- examples: $h^{\text {max }}, h^{\text {add }}, h^{\mathrm{FF}}$
- $h^{\text {max }}$ and $h^{\text {add }}$ propagate numeric values in the RPGs
- difference: $h^{\text {max }}$ computes the maximum of predecessor costs for action and goal vertices; $h^{\text {add }}$ computes the sum
- $h^{\mathrm{FF}}$ marks vertices and sums the costs of marked action vertices.
- generally: $h^{\text {max }}(s) \leq h^{+}(s) \leq h^{\mathrm{FF}}(s) \leq h^{\text {add }}(s)$

