

# Foundations of Artificial Intelligence

## 24. Constraint Satisfaction Problems: Backtracking

Malte Helmert

University of Basel

April 11, 2022

# Foundations of Artificial Intelligence

## April 11, 2022 — 24. Constraint Satisfaction Problems: Backtracking

24.1 CSP Algorithms

24.2 Naive Backtracking

24.3 Variable and Value Orders

24.4 Summary

## Constraint Satisfaction Problems: Overview

Chapter overview: constraint satisfaction problems:

- ▶ 22.–23. Introduction
- ▶ 24.–26. Basic Algorithms
  - ▶ 24. Backtracking
  - ▶ 25. Arc Consistency
  - ▶ 26. Path Consistency
- ▶ 27.–28. Problem Structure

## 24.1 CSP Algorithms

## CSP Algorithms

In the following chapters, we consider **algorithms for solving** constraint networks.

basic concepts:

- ▶ **search**: check partial assignments systematically
- ▶ **backtracking**: discard inconsistent partial assignments
- ▶ **inference**: derive equivalent, but tighter constraints to reduce the size of the search space

## 24.2 Naive Backtracking

## Naive Backtracking (= Without Inference)

**function** NaiveBacktracking( $\mathcal{C}, \alpha$ ):

$\langle V, \text{dom}, (R_{uv}) \rangle := \mathcal{C}$

**if**  $\alpha$  is inconsistent with  $\mathcal{C}$ :

**return inconsistent**

**if**  $\alpha$  is a total assignment:

**return**  $\alpha$

select **some variable**  $v$  for which  $\alpha$  is not defined

**for each**  $d \in \text{dom}(v)$  **in some order**:

$\alpha' := \alpha \cup \{v \mapsto d\}$

$\alpha'' := \text{NaiveBacktracking}(\mathcal{C}, \alpha')$

**if**  $\alpha'' \neq \text{inconsistent}$ :

**return**  $\alpha''$


**return inconsistent**

**input**: constraint network  $\mathcal{C}$  and partial assignment  $\alpha$  for  $\mathcal{C}$   
(first invocation: empty assignment  $\alpha = \emptyset$ )

**result**: solution of  $\mathcal{C}$  or **inconsistent**

## Naive Backtracking: Example

Consider the constraint network for the following graph coloring instance:





## 24.3 Variable and Value Orders

## Naive Backtracking

```

function NaiveBacktracking( $\mathcal{C}, \alpha$ ):
 $\langle V, \text{dom}, (R_{uv}) \rangle := \mathcal{C}$ 
if  $\alpha$  is inconsistent with  $\mathcal{C}$ :
    return inconsistent
if  $\alpha$  is a total assignment:
    return  $\alpha$ 
select some variable  $v$  for which  $\alpha$  is not defined
for each  $d \in \text{dom}(v)$  in some order:
     $\alpha' := \alpha \cup \{v \mapsto d\}$ 
     $\alpha'' := \text{NaiveBacktracking}(\mathcal{C}, \alpha')$ 
    if  $\alpha'' \neq \text{inconsistent}$ :
        return  $\alpha''$ 
return inconsistent
  
```

## Variable Orders

- ▶ Backtracking does not specify in which order **variables** are considered for assignment.
- ▶ Such orders can strongly influence the search space size and hence the search performance.  
 ~→ **example**: exercises

German: Variablenordnung

## Value Orders

- ▶ Backtracking does not specify in which order the **values** of the selected variable  $v$  are considered.
- ▶ This is not as important because it **does not matter** in subtrees without a solution. (*Why not?*)
- ▶ **If** there is a solution in the subtree, then ideally a value that leads to a solution should be chosen. (*Why?*)

German: Werteordnung

## Static vs. Dynamic Orders

we distinguish:

- ▶ **static** orders (fixed prior to search)
- ▶ **dynamic** orders (selected variable or value order depends on the search state)

comparison:

- ▶ dynamic orders obviously more powerful
- ▶ static orders  $\rightsquigarrow$  no computational overhead during search

The following ordering criteria can be used statically, but are more effective combined with inference ( $\rightsquigarrow$  later) and used dynamically.

## Variable Orders

two common variable ordering criteria:

- ▶ **minimum remaining values:**  
prefer variables that have small **domains**
  - ▶ **intuition:** few subtrees  $\rightsquigarrow$  smaller tree
  - ▶ **extreme case:** only **one** value  $\rightsquigarrow$  forced assignment
- ▶ **most constraining variable:**  
prefer variables contained in **many** nontrivial constraints
  - ▶ **intuition:** constraints tested early  
 $\rightsquigarrow$  inconsistencies recognized early  $\rightsquigarrow$  smaller tree

**combination:** use minimum remaining values criterion, then most constraining variable criterion to break ties

## Value Orders

### Definition (conflict)

Let  $\mathcal{C} = \langle V, \text{dom}, (R_{uv}) \rangle$  be a constraint network.  
For variables  $v \neq v'$  and values  $d \in \text{dom}(v)$ ,  $d' \in \text{dom}(v')$ ,  
the assignment  $v \mapsto d$  is **in conflict** with  $v' \mapsto d'$  if  $\langle d, d' \rangle \notin R_{vv'}$ .

value ordering criterion for partial assignment  $\alpha$   
and selected variable  $v$ :

- ▶ **minimum conflicts:** prefer values  $d \in \text{dom}(v)$   
such that  $v \mapsto d$  causes as few conflicts as possible  
with variables that are unassigned in  $\alpha$

## 24.4 Summary

## Summary: Backtracking

basic search algorithm for constraint networks: **backtracking**

- ▶ extends the (initially empty) partial assignment step by step until an **inconsistency** or a **solution** is found
- ▶ is a form of **depth-first search**
- ▶ depth-first search particularly well-suited because state space is directed tree and all solutions at same (known) depth

## Summary: Variable and Value Orders

- ▶ **Variable orders** influence the performance of backtracking significantly.
  - ▶ goal: **critical** decisions as early as possible
- ▶ **Value orders** influence the performance of backtracking on **solvable** constraint networks significantly.
  - ▶ goal: **most promising** assignments first