

Foundations of Artificial Intelligence

38. Automated Planning: Landmarks

Malte Helmert

University of Basel

May 10, 2021

Planning Heuristics

We discuss **three basic ideas** for general heuristics:

- Delete Relaxation
- Abstraction
- **Landmarks** ↽ this and next chapter

Planning Heuristics

We discuss **three basic ideas** for general heuristics:

- Delete Relaxation
- Abstraction
- **Landmarks** ↵ this and next chapter

Basic Idea: Landmarks

landmark = something (e.g., an action) that must be part of **every solution**

Estimate solution costs based on unachieved landmarks.

Automated Planning: Overview

Chapter overview: automated planning

- 33. Introduction
- 34. Planning Formalisms
- 35.–36. Planning Heuristics: Delete Relaxation
- 37. Planning Heuristics: Abstraction
- 38.–39. Planning Heuristics: Landmarks
 - 38. Landmarks
 - 39. Landmark Heuristics

Delete Relaxation
●○○○○

Landmarks
○○○○

Exploiting Landmarks
○○○○○○○○

Summary
○○

Delete Relaxation

Landmarks and Delete Relaxation

- In this chapter, we discuss a further technique to compute planning heuristics: **landmarks**.
- We restrict ourselves to **delete-free** planning tasks:
 - For a STRIPS task Π , we compute its delete relaxed task Π^+ ,
 - and then apply landmark heuristics on Π^+ .
- Hence the objective of our landmark heuristics is to approximate the **optimal delete relaxed heuristic h^+** as accurately as possible.
- More advanced landmark techniques work directly on general planning tasks.

German: Landmarke

Delete-Free STRIPS planning tasks

reminder:

Definition (delete-free STRIPS planning task)

A **delete-free STRIPS planning task** is a 4-tuple $\Pi^+ = \langle V, I, G, A \rangle$ with the following components:

- V : finite set of **state variables**
- $I \subseteq V$: the **initial state**
- $G \subseteq V$: the set of **goals**
- A : finite set of **actions**, where for every $a \in A$, we define
 - $pre(a) \subseteq V$: its **preconditions**
 - $add(a) \subseteq V$: its **add effects**
 - $cost(a) \in \mathbb{N}_0$: its **cost**

denoted as $pre(a) \xrightarrow{cost(a)} add(a)$ (omitting set braces)

Delete-Free STRIPS Planning Task in Normal Form

A delete-free STRIPS planning task $\langle V, I, G, A \rangle$ is in **normal form** if

- I consists of exactly one element i : $I = \{i\}$
- G consists of exactly one element g : $G = \{g\}$
- Every action has at least one precondition.

German: Normalform

Every task can easily be transformed into an equivalent task in normal form. ([How?](#))

- In the following, we assume tasks in normal form.
- Describing A suffices to describe overall task:
 - V are the variables mentioned in A 's actions.
 - always $I = \{i\}$ and $G = \{g\}$
- In the following, we only describe A .

Example: Delete-Free Planning Task in Normal Form

Example

actions:

- $a_1 = i \xrightarrow{3} x, y$
- $a_2 = i \xrightarrow{4} x, z$
- $a_3 = i \xrightarrow{5} y, z$
- $a_4 = x, y, z \xrightarrow{0} g$

optimal solution?

Example: Delete-Free Planning Task in Normal Form

Example

actions:

- $a_1 = i \xrightarrow{3} x, y$
- $a_2 = i \xrightarrow{4} x, z$
- $a_3 = i \xrightarrow{5} y, z$
- $a_4 = x, y, z \xrightarrow{0} g$

optimal solution to reach $\{g\}$ from $\{i\}$:

- **plan:** a_1, a_2, a_4
- **cost:** $3 + 4 + 0 = 7$ ($= h^+(\{i\})$ because plan is **optimal**)

Delete Relaxation
ooooo

Landmarks
●ooo

Exploiting Landmarks
oooooooo

Summary
oo

Landmarks

Landmarks

Definition (landmark)

A **landmark** of a planning task Π is a set of actions L such that **every plan** must contain an action from L .

The **cost** of a landmark L , $\text{cost}(L)$ is defined as $\min_{a \in L} \text{cost}(a)$.

~~> landmark cost corresponds to (very simple) admissible heuristic

- Speaking more strictly, landmarks as considered in this course are called **disjunctive action landmarks**.
- other kinds of landmarks exist
(fact landmarks, formula landmarks, ...)

German: disjunktive Aktionslandmarke, Faktlandmarke, Formellandmarke

Example: Landmarks

Example

actions:

- $a_1 = i \xrightarrow{3} x, y$
- $a_2 = i \xrightarrow{4} x, z$
- $a_3 = i \xrightarrow{5} y, z$
- $a_4 = x, y, z \xrightarrow{0} g$

landmark examples?

Example: Landmarks

Example

actions:

- $a_1 = i \xrightarrow{3} x, y$
- $a_2 = i \xrightarrow{4} x, z$
- $a_3 = i \xrightarrow{5} y, z$
- $a_4 = x, y, z \xrightarrow{0} g$

some landmarks:

- $A = \{a_4\}$ (cost 0)
- $B = \{a_1, a_2\}$ (cost 3)
- $C = \{a_1, a_3\}$ (cost 3)
- $D = \{a_2, a_3\}$ (cost 4)
- also: $\{a_1, a_2, a_3\}$ (cost 3), $\{a_1, a_2, a_4\}$ (cost 0), ...

Overview: Landmarks

in the following:

- **exploiting landmarks:**

How can we compute an accurate heuristic
for a given set of landmarks?

~~ this chapter

- **finding landmarks:**

How can we find landmarks?

~~ next chapter

- **LM-cut heuristic:**

an algorithm to find landmarks and exploit them as heuristic

~~ next chapter

Delete Relaxation
ooooo

Landmarks
oooo

Exploiting Landmarks
●oooooooo

Summary
oo

Exploiting Landmarks

Exploiting Landmarks

Assume the set of landmarks $\mathcal{L} = \{A, B, C, D\}$.

How to **use** \mathcal{L} for computing heuristics?

- **sum** the costs: $0 + 3 + 3 + 4 = 10$
~~> **not admissible!**
- **maximize** the costs: $\max \{0, 3, 3, 4\} = 4$
~~> **usually yields a weak heuristic**
- better: **hitting sets** or **cost partitioning**

German: Hitting-Set, Kostenpartitionierung

Hitting Sets

Definition (hitting set)

given: finite **support set** X , **family of subsets** $\mathcal{F} \subseteq 2^X$,
cost $c : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_0^+$

hitting set:

- subset $H \subseteq X$ that “hits” all subsets in \mathcal{F} :
 $H \cap S \neq \emptyset$ for all $S \in \mathcal{F}$
- **cost** of H : $\sum_{x \in H} c(x)$

minimum hitting set (MHS):

- hitting set with minimal cost
- “classical” NP-complete problem (Karp, 1972)

Example: Hitting Sets

Example

$$X = \{a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4\}$$

$$\mathcal{F} = \{A, B, C, D\}$$

$$\text{with } A = \{a_4\}, \quad B = \{a_1, a_2\}, \quad C = \{a_1, a_3\}, \quad D = \{a_2, a_3\}$$

$$c(a_1) = 3, \quad c(a_2) = 4, \quad c(a_3) = 5, \quad c(a_4) = 0$$

minimum hitting set:

Example: Hitting Sets

Example

$$X = \{a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4\}$$

$$\mathcal{F} = \{A, B, C, D\}$$

$$\text{with } A = \{a_4\}, \quad B = \{a_1, a_2\}, \quad C = \{a_1, a_3\}, \quad D = \{a_2, a_3\}$$

$$c(a_1) = 3, \quad c(a_2) = 4, \quad c(a_3) = 5, \quad c(a_4) = 0$$

minimum hitting set: $\{a_1, a_2, a_4\}$ with cost $3 + 4 + 0 = 7$

Hitting Sets for Landmarks

idea: **landmarks** are interpreted as instance of **minimum hitting set**

Definition (hitting set heuristic)

Let \mathcal{L} be a set of landmarks for a delete-free planning task in normal form with actions A , action costs $cost$ and initial state I .

The **hitting set heuristic** $h^{\text{MHS}}(I)$ is defined as the minimal solution cost for the minimum hitting set instance with support set A , family of subsets \mathcal{L} and costs $cost$.

Proposition (Hitting Set Heuristic is Admissible)

The minimum hitting set heuristic h^{MHS} is admissible.

Why?

Approximation of h^{MHS}

- As computing minimal hitting sets is NP-hard, we want to approximate h^{MHS} in polynomial time.

Approximation of h^{MHS}

- As computing minimal hitting sets is NP-hard, we want to approximate h^{MHS} in polynomial time.

Optimal Cost Partitioning (Karpas & Domshlak, 2009)

idea: Construct a **linear program** (LP) for \mathcal{L} .

- rows** (constraints) correspond to **actions**
- columns** (variables) correspond to **landmarks**
- entries**: 1 if row action is contained in column landmark; 0 otherwise
- objective**: maximize sum of variables

heuristic value h^{OCP} (optimal cost partitioning):
objective value of LP

Example: Optimal Cost Partitioning

Example

$$\text{cost}(a_1) = 3, \text{ cost}(a_2) = 4, \text{ cost}(a_3) = 5, \text{ cost}(a_4) = 0$$

$$\mathcal{L} = \{A, B, C, D\}$$

$$\text{with } A = \{a_4\}, \ B = \{a_1, a_2\}, \ C = \{a_1, a_3\}, \ D = \{a_2, a_3\}$$

LP: maximize $a + b + c + d$ subject to $a, b, c, d \geq 0$ and

$$\begin{array}{rcl} b & + & c & \leq & 3 \\ b & + & d & \leq & 4 \\ c & + & d & \leq & 5 \\ a & & & & \leq 0 \end{array}$$

Example: Optimal Cost Partitioning

Example

$$cost(a_1) = 3, \ cost(a_2) = 4, \ cost(a_3) = 5, \ cost(a_4) = 0$$

$$\mathcal{L} = \{A, B, C, D\}$$

$$\text{with } A = \{a_4\}, \ B = \{a_1, a_2\}, \ C = \{a_1, a_3\}, \ D = \{a_2, a_3\}$$

LP: maximize $a + b + c + d$ subject to $a, b, c, d \geq 0$ and

$$\begin{array}{rclcl} b & + & c & \leq & 3 & a_1 \\ b & + & & d & \leq & 4 & a_2 \\ & c & + & d & \leq & 5 & a_3 \\ a & & & & \leq & 0 & a_4 \\ \hline A & B & C & D & & & \end{array}$$

Example: Optimal Cost Partitioning

Example

$$cost(a_1) = 3, \ cost(a_2) = 4, \ cost(a_3) = 5, \ cost(a_4) = 0$$

$$\mathcal{L} = \{A, B, C, D\}$$

$$\text{with } A = \{a_4\}, \ B = \{a_1, a_2\}, \ C = \{a_1, a_3\}, \ D = \{a_2, a_3\}$$

LP: maximize $a + b + c + d$ subject to $a, b, c, d \geq 0$ and

$$\begin{array}{rclcl} b & + & c & \leq & 3 & a_1 \\ b & + & d & \leq & 4 & a_2 \\ c & + & d & \leq & 5 & a_3 \\ a & & & \leq & 0 & a_4 \\ \hline A & B & C & D & & \end{array}$$

solution: ?

Example: Optimal Cost Partitioning

Example

$$cost(a_1) = 3, \ cost(a_2) = 4, \ cost(a_3) = 5, \ cost(a_4) = 0$$

$$\mathcal{L} = \{A, B, C, D\}$$

$$\text{with } A = \{a_4\}, \ B = \{a_1, a_2\}, \ C = \{a_1, a_3\}, \ D = \{a_2, a_3\}$$

LP: maximize $a + b + c + d$ subject to $a, b, c, d \geq 0$ and

$$\begin{array}{rclcl} b & + & c & \leq & 3 & a_1 \\ b & + & d & \leq & 4 & a_2 \\ c & + & d & \leq & 5 & a_3 \\ a & & & \leq & 0 & a_4 \\ \hline A & B & C & D & & \end{array}$$

solution: $a = 0, \ b = 1, \ c = 2, \ d = 3 \rightsquigarrow h^{\text{OCP}}(I) = 6$

Relationship of Heuristics

Proposition (h^{OCP} vs. h^{MHS})

Let \mathcal{L} be a set of landmarks for a planning task with initial state I .

Then $h^{\text{OCP}}(I) \leq h^{\text{MHS}}(I) \leq h^+(I)$

The heuristic h^{OCP} can be computed in polynomial time because linear programs can be solved in polynomial time.

Delete Relaxation
ooooo

Landmarks
oooo

Exploiting Landmarks
oooooooo

Summary
●○

Summary

Summary

- **Landmarks** are action sets such that every plan must contain at least one of the actions.
- **Hitting sets** yield the most accurate heuristic for a given set of landmarks, but the computation is NP-hard.
- **Optimal cost partitioning** is a polynomial approach for the computation of informative landmark heuristics.