Foundations of Artificial Intelligence
10. State-Space Search: Breadth-first Search

Malte Helmert and Thomas Keller

University of Basel

March 16, 2020

State-Space Search: Overview

Chapter overview: state-space search
- 5.–7. Foundations
- 8.–12. Basic Algorithms
  - 8. Data Structures for Search Algorithms
  - 9. Tree Search and Graph Search
  - 10. Breadth-first Search
  - 11. Uniform Cost Search
  - 12. Depth-first Search and Iterative Deepening
- 13.–19. Heuristic Algorithms

10.1 Blind Search

Blind Search

In Chapters 10–12 we consider blind search algorithms:

Blind Search Algorithms
Blind search algorithms use no information
about state spaces apart from the black box interface.

They are also called uninformed search algorithms.

contrast: heuristic search algorithms (Chapters 13–19)

Blind Search Algorithms: Examples

examples of blind search algorithms:
- ▶ breadth-first search (⤳ this chapter)
- ▶ uniform cost search (⤳ Chapter 11)
- ▶ depth-first search (⤳ Chapter 12)
- ▶ depth-limited search (⤳ Chapter 12)
- ▶ iterative deepening search (⤳ Chapter 12)

10.2 Breadth-first Search: Introduction

Breadth-first Search

Breadth-first search expands nodes in order of generation (FIFO).
⤳ e.g., open list as linked list or deque

A

open: A

- ▶ searches state space layer by layer
- ▶ always finds shallowest goal state first

Breadth-first Search

Breadth-first search expands nodes in order of generation (FIFO).
⤳ e.g., open list as linked list or deque

*open:* B, C

- ▶ searches state space layer by layer
- ▶ always finds shallowest goal state first

Breadth-first Search

Breadth-first search expands nodes in order of generation (FIFO).
⤳ e.g., open list as linked list or deque

open: C, D, E

- ▶ searches state space layer by layer
- ▶ always finds shallowest goal state first

Breadth-first Search

Breadth-first search expands nodes in order of generation (FIFO).
⤳ e.g., open list as linked list or deque

open: D, E, F, G, H

- ▶ searches state space layer by layer
- ▶ always finds shallowest goal state first

Breadth-first Search

Breadth-first search expands nodes in order of generation (FIFO).
⤳ e.g., open list as linked list or deque

open: E, F, G, H, I, J

- ▶ searches state space layer by layer
- ▶ always finds shallowest goal state first

Breadth-first Search

Breadth-first search expands nodes in order of generation (FIFO).

⤳ e.g., open list as linked list or deque

- ▶ searches state space layer by layer
- ▶ always finds shallowest goal state first

Breadth-first Search: Tree Search or Graph Search?

Breadth-first search can be performed

- ▶ without duplicate elimination (as a tree search)
  ⤳ BFS-Tree
- ▶ or with duplicate elimination (as a graph search)
  ⤳ BFS-Graph

(BFS = breadth-first search).

⤳ We consider both variants.

German: Breitensuche

10.3 BFS-Tree

Reminder: Generic Tree Search Algorithm

reminder from Chapter 9:

Generic Tree Search

```
open := new OpenList
open.insert(make_root_node())
while not open.is_empty():
    n := open.pop()
    if is_goal(n.state):
        return extract_path(n)
    for each ⟨a, s′⟩ ∈ succ(n.state):
        n′ := make_node(n, a, s′)
        open.insert(n′)
return unsolvable
```

BFS-Tree (1st Attempt)

breadth-first search without duplicate elimination (1st attempt):

```
BFS-Tree (1st Attempt)
open := new Deque
open.push_back(make_root_node())
while not open.is_empty():
    n := open.pop_front()
    if is_goal(n.state):
        return extract_path(n)
    for each ⟨a, s′⟩ ∈ succ(n.state):
        n′ := make_node(n, a, s′)
        open.push_back(n′)
return unsolvable
```

BFS-Tree (1st Attempt): Discussion

This is almost a usable algorithm, but it wastes some effort:

▶ In a breadth-first search, the first generated goal node is always the first expanded goal node. (Why?)

▶ Hence it is more efficient to already perform the goal test upon generating a node (rather than upon expanding it).

⇝ How much effort does this save?

BFS-Tree (2nd Attempt)

breadth-first search without duplicate elimination (2nd attempt):

```
BFS-Tree (2nd Attempt)
open := new Deque
open.push_back(make_root_node())
while not open.is_empty():
    n := open.pop_front()
    if is_goal(n.state):
        return extract_path(n)
    for each ⟨a, s′⟩ ∈ succ(n.state):
        n′ := make_node(n, a, s′)
        if is_goal(n′):
            return extract_path(n′)
        open.push_back(n′)
return unsolvable
```

BFS-Tree (2nd Attempt): Discussion

Where is the bug?

BFS-Tree (Final Version)

breadth-first search without duplicate elimination (final version):

BFS-Tree
if is_goal(init()):
    return $\langle\rangle$
open := **new** Deque
open.push_back(make_root_node())
while not *open*.is_empty():
    n := *open*.pop_front()
    for each $\langle a, s'\rangle \in$ succ(n.state):
        n' := make_node(n, a, s')
        if is_goal(s'):
            return extract_path(n')
        open.push_back(n')
return unsolvable

10.4 BFS-Graph

Reminder: Generic Graph Search Algorithm

reminder from Chapter 9:

Generic Graph Search
open := **new** OpenList
open.insert(make_root_node())
closed := **new** ClosedList
while not *open*.is_empty():
    n := *open*.pop()
    if *closed*.lookup(n.state) = **none**:
        closed.insert(n)
        if is_goal(n.state):
            return extract_path(n)
        for each $\langle a, s'\rangle \in$ succ(n.state):
            n' := make_node(n, a, s')
            open.insert(n')
return unsolvable

Adapting Generic Graph Search to Breadth-First Search

Adapting the generic algorithm to breadth-first search:
- ▶ similar adaptations to BFS-Tree
  (deque as open list, early goal test)
- ▶ as closed list does not need to manage node information,
  a set data structure suffices
- ▶ for the same reasons why early goal tests are a good idea,
  we should perform duplicate tests against the closed list
  and updates of the closed lists as early as possible

BFS-Graph (Breadth-First Search with Duplicate Elim.)

BFS-Graph

if is_goal(init()):
    return $\langle\rangle$
open := **new** Deque
open.push_back(make_root_node())
closed := **new** HashSet
closed.insert(init())
while not *open*.is_empty():
    n := *open*.pop_front()
    for each $\langle a, s'\rangle \in$ succ(n.state):
        n' := make_node(n, a, s')
        if is_goal(s'):
            return extract_path(n')
        if $s' \notin$ *closed*:
            closed.insert(s')
            open.push_back(n')
return unsolvable

10.5 Properties of Breadth-first Search

Properties of Breadth-first Search

Properties of Breadth-first Search:
- ▶ BFS-Tree is semi-complete, but not complete. (Why?)
- ▶ BFS-Graph is complete. (Why?)
- ▶ BFS (both variants) is optimal
  if all actions have the same cost (Why?),
  but not in general (Why not?).
- ▶ complexity: next slides

Breadth-first Search: Complexity

The following result applies to both BFS variants:

Theorem (time complexity of breadth-first search)

*Let b be the branching factor and d be the minimal
solution length of the given state space. Let $b \geq 2$.*

Then the time complexity of breadth-first search is

$$1 + b + b^2 + b^3 + \cdots + b^d = O(b^d)$$

Reminder: we measure time complexity in generated nodes.

It follows that the space complexity of both BFS variants
also is $O(b^d)$ (if $b \geq 2$). (Why?)

Breadth-first Search: Example of Complexity

example: $b = 10$; 100 000 nodes/second; 32 bytes/node

d	nodes	time	memory
3	1 111	0.01 s	35 KiB
5	111 111	1 s	3.4 MiB
7	10^7	2 min	339 MiB
9	10^9	3 h	33 GiB
11	10^{11}	13 days	3.2 TiB
13	10^{13}	3.5 years	323 TiB
15	10^{15}	350 years	32 PiB

BFS-Tree or BFS-Graph?

What is better, BFS-Tree or BFS-Graph?

advantages of BFS-Graph:

- ▶ complete
- ▶ much (!) more efficient if there are many duplicates

advantages of BFS-Tree:

- ▶ simpler
- ▶ less overhead (time/space) if there are few duplicates

Conclusion
BFS-Graph is usually preferable, unless we know that there is a negligible number of duplicates in the given state space.

10.6 Summary

Summary

- ▶ blind search algorithm: use no information except black box interface of state space
- ▶ breadth-first search: expand nodes in order of generation
  - ▶ search state space layer by layer
  - ▶ can be tree search or graph search
  - ▶ complexity $O(b^d)$ with branching factor b, minimal solution length d (if $b \geq 2$)
  - ▶ complete as a graph search; semi-complete as a tree search
  - ▶ optimal with uniform action costs