# Foundations of Artificial Intelligence

30. Propositional Logic: Reasoning and Resolution

Malte Helmert and Gabriele Röger

University of Basel

April 24, 2017

M. Helmert, G. Röger (University of Basel)

Foundations of Artificial Intelligence

April 24, 2017 1 / 19

# Foundations of Artificial Intelligence

April 24, 2017 — 30. Propositional Logic: Reasoning and Resolution

30.1 Reasoning

30.2 Resolution

30.3 Summary

Foundations of Artificial Intelligence

April 24, 2017 2 / 19

Propositional Logic: Overview

Chapter overview: propositional logic

- ▶ 29. Basics
- ▶ 30. Reasoning and Resolution
- ▶ 31. DPLL Algorithm
- ▶ 32. Local Search and Outlook

30. Propositional Logic: Reasoning and Resolution

30.1 Reasoning

M. Helmert, G. Röger (University of Basel) Foundations of Artificial Intelligence

Reasoning: Intuition

#### Reasoning: Intuition

- ► Generally, formulas only represent an incomplete description of the world.
- ▶ In many cases, we want to know if a formula logically follows from (a set of) other formulas.
- ▶ What does this mean?

M. Helmert, G. Röger (University of Basel) Foundations of Artificial Intelligence

April 24, 2017

30. Propositional Logic: Reasoning and Resolution

# Reasoning: Intuition

- ightharpoonup example:  $\varphi = (P \lor Q) \land (R \lor \neg P) \land S$
- $\triangleright$  *S* holds in every model of  $\varphi$ . What about P. Q and R?
- $\rightsquigarrow$  consider all models of  $\varphi$ :

| Р | Q | R | S |
|---|---|---|---|
| F | Т | F | Т |
| F | Т | Т | Т |
| Т | F | Т | Т |
| Т | Т | Т | Т |

#### Observation

- ▶ In all models of  $\varphi$ , the formula  $Q \vee R$  holds as well.
- ▶ We say: " $Q \lor R$  logically follows from  $\varphi$ ."

M. Helmert, G. Röger (University of Basel) Foundations of Artificial Intelligence

April 24, 2017

30. Propositional Logic: Reasoning and Resolution

# Reasoning: Formally

### Definition (logical consequence)

Let  $\Phi$  be a set of formulas. A formula  $\psi$  logically follows from  $\Phi$ (in symbols:  $\Phi \models \psi$ ) if all models of  $\Phi$  are also models of  $\psi$ .

German: logische Konsequenz, folgt logisch

In other words: for each interpretation 1. if  $I \models \varphi$  for all  $\varphi \in \Phi$ , then also  $I \models \psi$ .

### Question

How can we automatically compute if  $\Phi \models \psi$ ?

- ► One possibility: Build a truth table. (How?)
- ▶ Are there "better" possibilities that (potentially) avoid generating the whole truth table?

30. Propositional Logic: Reasoning and Resolution

# Reasoning: Deduction Theorem

### Proposition (deduction theorem)

Let  $\Phi$  be a finite set of formulas and let  $\psi$  be a formula. Then

$$\Phi \models \psi \quad \textit{iff} \quad (\bigwedge_{\varphi \in \Phi} \varphi) o \psi \ \textit{is a tautology}.$$

German: Deduktionssatz

Proof.

$$\Phi \models \psi$$

iff for each interpretation I: if  $I \models \varphi$  for all  $\varphi \in \Phi$ , then  $I \models \psi$ 

iff for each interpretation I: if  $I \models \bigwedge_{\varphi \in \Phi} \varphi$ , then  $I \models \psi$ 

iff for each interpretation  $I: I \not\models \bigwedge_{\varphi \in \Phi} \varphi$  or  $I \models \psi$ 

iff for each interpretation  $I: I \models (\bigwedge_{\varphi \in \Phi} \varphi) \rightarrow \psi$ 

iff  $(\bigwedge_{\varphi \in \Phi} \varphi) \to \psi$  is tautology

# Reasoning

#### Consequence of Deduction Theorem

Reasoning can be reduced to testing validity.

#### Algorithm

Question: Does  $\Phi \models \psi$  hold?

- **1** test if  $(\bigwedge_{\varphi \in \Phi} \varphi) \to \psi$  is tautology
- **2** if yes, then  $\Phi \models \psi$ , otherwise  $\Phi \not\models \psi$

In the following: Can we test for validity "efficiently", i.e., without computing the whole truth table?

Foundations of Artificial Intelligence

April 24, 2017

30. Propositional Logic: Reasoning and Resolution

30.2 Resolution

Foundations of Artificial Intelligence

April 24, 2017

30. Propositional Logic: Reasoning and Resolution

### Sets of Clauses

for the rest of this chapter:

- prerequisite: formulas in conjunctive normal form
- clause represented as a set C of literals
- $\blacktriangleright$  formula represented as a set  $\triangle$  of clauses

### Example

Let 
$$\varphi = (P \vee Q) \wedge \neg P$$
.

- $ightharpoonup \varphi$  in conjunctive normal form
- $\blacktriangleright \varphi$  consists of clauses  $(P \lor Q)$  and  $\neg P$
- $\blacktriangleright$  representation of  $\varphi$  as set of sets of literals:  $\{\{P,Q\}, \{\neg P\}\}\}$

Distinguish  $\square$  (empty clause) vs.  $\emptyset$  (empty set of clauses).

30. Propositional Logic: Reasoning and Resolution

### Resolution: Idea

#### Observation

- ▶ Testing for validity can be reduced to testing unsatisfiability.
- formula  $\varphi$  valid iff  $\neg \varphi$  unsatisfiable

### Resolution: Idea

- ightharpoonup method to test formula  $\varphi$  for unsatisfiability
- ightharpoonup idea: derive new formulas from  $\varphi$  that logically follow from  $\varphi$
- if empty clause  $\square$  can be derived  $\leadsto \varphi$  unsatisfiable

German: Resolution

M. Helmert, G. Röger (University of Basel) Foundations of Artificial Intelligence

The Resolution Rule

 $\frac{C_1 \cup \{\ell\}, C_2 \cup \{\bar{\ell}\}}{C_1 \cup C_2}$ 

- "From  $C_1 \cup \{\ell\}$  and  $C_2 \cup \{\bar{\ell}\}$ , we can conclude  $C_1 \cup C_2$ ."
- $C_1 \cup C_2$  is resolvent of parent clauses  $C_1 \cup \{\ell\}$  and  $C_2 \cup \{\bar{\ell}\}$ .
- ▶ The literals  $\ell$  and  $\bar{\ell}$  are called resolution literals. the corresponding proposition is called resolution variable.
- resolvent follows logically from parent clauses (Why?)

German: Resolutionsregel, Resolvent, Elternklauseln, Resolutionsliterale, Resolutionsvariable

Example

- $\blacktriangleright$  resolvent of  $\{A, B, \neg C\}$  and  $\{A, D, C\}$ ?
- resolvents of  $\{\neg A, B, \neg C\}$  and  $\{A, D, C\}$ ?

M. Helmert, G. Röger (University of Basel) Foundations of Artificial Intelligence

April 24, 2017

30. Propositional Logic: Reasoning and Resolution

Resolution: Derivations

Definition (derivation)

Notation:  $R(\Delta) = \Delta \cup \{C \mid C \text{ is resolvent of two clauses in } \Delta\}$ 

A clause D can be derived from  $\Delta$  (in symbols  $\Delta \vdash D$ ) if there is a sequence of clauses  $C_1, \ldots, C_n = D$  such that for all  $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ we have  $C_i \in R(\Delta \cup \{C_1, \dots, C_{i-1}\})$ .

German: Ableitung, abgeleitet

Lemma (soundness of resolution)

If  $\Delta \vdash D$ , then  $\Delta \models D$ .

Does the converse direction hold as well (completeness)?

German: Korrektheit, Vollständigkeit

M. Helmert, G. Röger (University of Basel)

Foundations of Artificial Intelligence

April 24, 2017

30. Propositional Logic: Reasoning and Resolution

### Resolution: Completeness?

The converse of the lemma does not hold in general.

example:

- $\blacktriangleright$  {{A, B}, {¬B, C}}  $\models$  {A, B, C}, but
- $\blacktriangleright \{\{A, B\}, \{\neg B, C\}\} \not\vdash \{A, B, C\}$

but: converse holds for special case of empty clause

Proposition (refutation-completeness of resolution)

 $\Delta$  is unsatisfiable iff  $\Delta \vdash \Box$ 

German: Widerlegungsvollständigkeit

consequences:

- ▶ Resolution is a complete proof method for testing unsatisfiability.
- ▶ Resolution can be used for general reasoning by reducing to a test for unsatisfiability.

30. Propositional Logic: Reasoning and Resolution

# Example

Let  $\Phi = \{P \lor Q, \neg P\}$ . Does  $\Phi \models Q$  hold?

Solution

- ▶ test if  $((P \lor Q) \land \neg P) \to Q$  is tautology
- equivalently: test if  $((P \lor Q) \land \neg P) \land \neg Q$  is unsatisfiable
- resulting set of clauses:  $\Phi'$ :  $\{\{P,Q\},\{\neg P\},\{\neg Q\}\}\}$
- resolving  $\{P, Q\}$  with  $\{\neg P\}$  yields  $\{Q\}$
- ▶ resolving  $\{Q\}$  with  $\{\neg Q\}$  yields  $\square$
- observation: empty clause can be derived. hence  $\Phi'$  unsatisfiable
- ightharpoonup consequently  $\Phi \models Q$

M. Helmert, G. Röger (University of Basel) Foundations of Artificial Intelligence

#### Resolution: Discussion

- ▶ Resolution is a complete proof method to test formulas for unsatisfiability.
- ▶ In the worst case, resolution proofs can take exponential time.
- ► In practice, a strategy which determines the next resolution step is needed.
- ▶ In the following chapter, we discuss the DPLL algorithm, which is a combination of backtracking and resolution.

M. Helmert, G. Röger (University of Basel) Foundations of Artificial Intelligence

April 24, 2017

17 / 19

30. Propositional Logic: Reasoning and Resolution

### Summary

- $\blacktriangleright$  Reasoning: the formula  $\psi$  follows from the set of formulas  $\Phi$ if all models of  $\Phi$  are also models of  $\psi$ .
- ▶ Reasoning can be reduced to testing validity (with the deduction theorem).
- ▶ Testing validity can be reduced to testing unsatisfiability.
- ▶ Resolution is a refutation-complete proof method applicable to formulas in conjunctive normal form.
- → can be used to test if a set of clauses is unsatisfiable.

30. Propositional Logic: Reasoning and Resolution

30.3 Summary

M. Helmert, G. Röger (University of Basel) Foundations of Artificial Intelligence

April 24, 2017

M. Helmert, G. Röger (University of Basel) Foundations of Artificial Intelligence