

# Theory of Computer Science

M. Helmert  
F. Pommerening  
Spring Term 2016

University of Basel  
Computer Science

## Exercise Sheet 3

**Due: Wednesday, March 16, 2015**

*Note:* Submissions that are exclusively created with  $\text{\LaTeX}$  will receive a bonus mark. Please submit only the resulting PDF file (or a printout of this file).

**Exercise 3.1** (Equivalences; 1.5+1.5 Points)

- (a) Transform the following formula into CNF by applying the equivalence rules shown in the lecture. For each step, only apply one equivalence rule and also specify it.

$$\varphi = ((A \rightarrow B) \leftrightarrow \neg C)$$

- (b) Prove that the following formula is unsatisfiable by showing that  $\varphi \equiv (A \wedge \neg A)$  holds. Use the equivalence rules from the lectures, only apply one rule for each step and specify the applied rule.

$$\varphi = \neg((A \wedge (\neg B \rightarrow A)) \vee \neg A)$$

**Exercise 3.2** (Logical Consequence; 1.5+1.5 Points)

Consider the following formula set over  $\{A, B, C\}$ .

$$\text{KB} = \{(A \rightarrow \neg C), (A \vee \neg B), (\neg A \vee C)\}$$

- (a) Does a model  $\mathcal{I}$  of KB exist which is also a model for  $\varphi = (A \vee B)$ ? Prove your statement.  
(b) Prove that all models  $\mathcal{I}$  of KB are also models of  $\varphi = (\neg B \vee C)$ .

**Exercise 3.3** ((Contraposition Theorem; 2 Points)

Prove the contraposition theorem, that is, show for any set of formulas KB and any formulas  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  that

$$\text{KB} \cup \{\varphi\} \models \neg\psi \text{ iff } \text{KB} \cup \{\psi\} \models \neg\varphi.$$

*Hint:* you may use the deduction theorem.

**Exercise 3.4** (Predicate Logic; 2 Points)

Consider the following predicate logic formula  $\varphi$  with the signature  $\langle \{x\}, \{c\}, \{f\}, \{P\} \rangle$ .

$$\varphi = (\exists x (P(x) \wedge \neg P(f(x))) \wedge \forall x \neg (f(x) = c))$$

Specify a model  $\mathcal{I}$  of  $\varphi$  with  $\mathcal{I} = \langle U, \cdot^{\mathcal{I}} \rangle$  and  $U = \{u_1, u_2, u_3\}$ . Prove that  $\mathcal{I} \models \varphi$ . Why is no variable assignment  $\alpha$  required to specify a model of  $\varphi$ ?