

# Foundations of Artificial Intelligence

M. Helmert, M. Wehrle  
T. Keller  
Spring Term 2016

University of Basel  
Computer Science

## Exercise Sheet 12

Due: May 27, 2016

### Exercise 12.1 (2+3 marks)

Consider again the planning task of Exercise 11.2 where an agent aims to raise a treasure by collecting a key and using it to open the chest that contains the treasure. Recall the problem formalization  $\Pi = \langle V, \text{dom}, I, G, A \rangle$  in the  $SAS^+$  formalism, where

- $V = \{loc, key, trs\}$  is the set of variables with  $\text{dom}(loc) = \{A, B, C\}$ ,  $\text{dom}(key) = \{\top, \perp\}$ , and  $\text{dom}(trs) = \{\top, \perp\}$ ;
- $I = \{loc \mapsto B, key \mapsto \perp, trs \mapsto \perp\}$  is the initial state;
- $G = \{key \mapsto \top, trs \mapsto \top\}$  is the goal description; and
- $A = \{move_{A,B}, move_{B,A}, move_{B,C}, move_{C,B}, take, open\}$  is the set of actions with

$pre(move_{A,B}) = \{loc \mapsto A\}$	$eff(move_{A,B}) = \{loc \mapsto B\}$	$cost(move_{A,B}) = 3$
$pre(move_{B,A}) = \{loc \mapsto B\}$	$eff(move_{B,A}) = \{loc \mapsto A\}$	$cost(move_{B,A}) = 3$
$pre(move_{B,C}) = \{loc \mapsto B\}$	$eff(move_{B,C}) = \{loc \mapsto C\}$	$cost(move_{B,C}) = 3$
$pre(move_{C,B}) = \{loc \mapsto C\}$	$eff(move_{C,B}) = \{loc \mapsto B\}$	$cost(move_{C,B}) = 3$
$pre(take) = \{key \mapsto \perp, loc \mapsto A\}$	$eff(take) = \{key \mapsto \top\}$	$cost(take) = 1$
$pre(open) = \{key \mapsto \top, loc \mapsto C\}$	$eff(open) = \{trs \mapsto \top\}$	$cost(open) = 1$

- (a) Provide a graph that represents the atomic projections for the variables  $loc$ ,  $key$  and  $trs$ .
- (b) Compute the merge-and-shrink abstraction for the given planning task. Assume that abstractions with up to  $K = 8$  states can be kept in memory and shrink the *larger* one of two abstractions  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  that are selected for the next merge step. Use the following strategies:
- merge strategy: start with  $loc$  and  $key$ , then merge the result with  $trs$
  - shrink strategy: combine nodes with equal goal distance, ordered by their goal distance (from small to large)

What is the value of the merge-and-shrink heuristic in the initial state?

### Exercise 12.2 (7 marks)

Consider the delete-free STRIPS planning task  $\Pi^+ = \langle V, I, G, A \rangle$ , with

- set of variables  $V = \{a, b, c, d, e, f, g\}$
- initial state  $I = \{a\}$ ,
- goal description  $G = \{g\}$ , and

- set of actions  $A = \{a_1, \dots, a_6\}$  with

$pre(a_1) = \{a\}$	$add(a_1) = \{b, d\}$	$cost(a_1) = 1$
$pre(a_2) = \{b\}$	$add(a_2) = \{d, e, f\}$	$cost(a_2) = 6$
$pre(a_3) = \{a\}$	$add(a_3) = \{c, d\}$	$cost(a_3) = 2$
$pre(a_4) = \{c, d\}$	$add(a_4) = \{e\}$	$cost(a_4) = 1$
$pre(a_5) = \{e\}$	$add(a_5) = \{f\}$	$cost(a_5) = 2$
$pre(a_6) = \{d, e, f\}$	$add(a_6) = \{g\}$	$cost(a_6) = 0.$

Compute  $h^{\text{LM-cut}}(I)$  and provide all intermediate results in the same way they were given in the example of the lecture (including the justification graph with  $h^{\text{max}}$  annotations).

*The exercise sheets can be submitted in groups of two students. Please provide both student names on the submission.*